

WEIAOB Meeting

Thursday, July 17, 2025 10:00 AM-12:00 PM





- Call to Order and Introductions (15 min.)
- Presentation and Discussion: Board Governance Workgroup (45 min.)
- Discussion: Election of Co-chairs for 2025-26 (20 min.)
- Presentation and Discussion: 2026 Legislative Ad Hoc Advisory Committee (10 min.)
- Presentation: WSIPP Study on Guided Pathways (20 min.)
- Public Comment (5 min.)
- Closing (5 min.)



- Jane Broom (Co-chair)
- Sen. T'wina Nobles (Co-chair)
- Sen. Judy Warnick
- Rep. Dave Paul
- Rep. Alex Ybarra
- Dr. Steven Ashby
- Ash Awad
- Barbara Hulit
- Charles Knutson

- Ruben Flores
- Chris Bailey
- Dr. Terri Standish-Kuon
- Jeff Vincent
- Bill Lyne
- Eleni Papadakis
- Heather Kurtenbach
- Collin Bannister
- Mollie Kuwahara



- Thursday, July 17, 10 AM–12 PM (today)
- Thursday, October 9, 10 AM–12 PM

All meetings will take place virtually.



Presentation and Discussion: Board Governance Workgroup

Joel Anderson and Jane Broom



Discussion: Election of Co-chairs for 2025-26

Joel Anderson and Jane Broom



Presentation and Discussion: 2026 Legislative Ad Hoc Advisory Committee

Joel Anderson and Jane Broom

GUIDED PATHWAYS: IMPLEMENTATION & STUDENT OUTCOMES

WASHINGTON STATE INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC POLICY

Julia Cramer Sr. Research Associate July 17, 2024

TODAY I'LL COVER

- Introduce WSIPP
- Our legislative assignment
- Background on Guided Pathways
- Approach to studying implementation and results
- Approach to studying student outcomes and results
- Looking ahead to final report
- Questions

WASHINGTON STATE INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC POLICY

Non-partisan research at legislative/board direction

WSIPP Board of Directors

Senator Chris Gildon, Co-Chair Senate Tina Orwall Senator Marko Liias Senator Mark Schoesler Kim Johnson, Senate Staff Dir. K.D. Chapman-See, OFM Erin Guthrie, UW John Carmichael, TESC Representative Larry Springer, Co-Chair Representative Cyndy Jacobsen Representative Timm Ormsby Representative Suzanne Schmidt Jill Reinmuth, House Staff Dir. Sahar Fathi, Governor's Office Shari McMahan, EWU Bidisha Mandal, WSU

LEGISLATIVE ASSIGNMENT E2SSB 5194 (2021)

Preliminary report – March 2024

- Evaluate the effect of the guided pathways model on early student outcomes including student retention and persistence, college-level English and math within the first year, and graduation and transfer rates.
- Review the implementation of the guided pathways model in Washington and any available evidence of the effectiveness of the program.

Final report – December 2029

• Evaluate the effect of guided pathways on longer-term student outcomes including degree completion, time to degree, transfer to four-year institutions, employment, and earnings.

WHAT IS GUIDED PATHWAYS?

- Developed in 2015 by the Community College Research Center (CCRC).
- Not a strictly-defined program model. It's a whole-college framework that aims to help students choose academic paths and earn credentials or transfer on time.
- Colleges use the framework to reform academic programs, advising, student services, data collection, instructional practices....etc.
 - Mostly community colleges. (~400 in the U.S. currently)
- As a result, implementation varies from college to college.

GP Area	Map Pathways	Help students choose a path	Help students stay on path	Ensure learning
College Activities	Meta-majorsProgram maps			
Student Outcomes	Identify areas of interestDeclare program			

GP Area	Map Pathways	Help students choose a path	Help students stay on path	Ensure learning
College Activities	Meta-majorsProgram maps	 Onboarding Career exploration Advising Education plans 		
Student Outcomes	Identify areas of interestDeclare program	 Declare program Pass college-level English and math courses 		

GP Area	Map Pathways	Help students choose a path	Help students stay on path	Ensure learning
College Activities	Meta-majorsProgram maps	 Onboarding Career exploration Advising Education plans 	 Advising Program monitoring 	
Student Outcomes	Identify areas of interestDeclare program	 Declare program Pass college-level English and math courses 	 Retention Pass college-level courses Credit accumulation GPA Earn credential Transfer 	

GP Area	Map Pathways	Help students choose a path	Help students stay on path	Ensure learning
College Activities	Meta-majorsProgram maps	 Onboarding Career exploration Advising Education plans 	 Advising Program monitoring 	 Course design Instructional practices
Student Outcomes	Identify areas of interestDeclare program	 Declare program Pass college-level English and math courses 	 Retention Pass college-level courses Credit accumulation GPA Earn credential Transfer 	

GP Area	Map Pathways	Help students choose a path	Help students stay on path	Ensure learning
College Activities	Meta-majorsProgram maps	 Onboarding Career exploration Advising Education plans 	 Advising Program monitoring 	 Course design Instructional practices
Student Outcomes	Identify areas of interestDeclare program	 Declare program Pass college-level English and math courses 	 Retention Pass college-level courses Credit accumulation GPA Earn credential Transfer 	

RESEARCH ON GUIDED PATHWAYS

- Most research is descriptive or case studies about implementation.
- No program evaluations with comparison groups have been conducted to show a causal relationship between Guided Pathways and student outcomes.

Evaluation challenges

- Not a strictly defined program and activities vary from college to college.
- Framework is implemented college-wide, and in most states, across all colleges at the same time, so identifying an unaffected comparison group is difficult.
- Reforms overlap with other policies or programs, making it hard to isolate a GP effect.

GUIDED PATHWAYS IN WASHINGTON



GUIDED PATHWAYS IN WASHINGTON



APPROACH: IMPLEMENTATION

We conducted a survey to learn about implementation

- Submitted to all 34 community and technical colleges. 33 responded.
- Framed questions around 4 legislative requirement areas.
 - Mapping educational pathways
 - Advising and career counseling
 - Data analysis
 - Student support services
- Reviewed responses and summarized main themes.
 - All responding colleges are implementing GP to some extent.
 - 18 colleges are implementing activities in <u>all four legislative requirement areas</u>.
 - Shared activities across colleges but overall, implementation varies.

SURVEY RESPONSES: MAPPING EDUCATIONAL PATHWAYS

Map educational pathways aligned with K-12 and university curriculum and skills needed to enter the workforce.

- 30 colleges reported activities in this area
- Themes across colleges:
 - Using program maps to schedule courses
 - Redesigning courses



SURVEY RESPONSES: ADVISING & CAREER COUNSELING

Advising that helps students make informed program choices and develop completion plans.... emphasizes early planning and is culturally competent.

- 28 colleges reported activities in this area
- Themes across colleges:
 - Revamping student orientations
 - Redesigning course placement processes
 - Revising college success and exploratory courses



SURVEY RESPONSES: DATA ANALYSIS OF STUDENT LEARNING

Data is used to inform program development, pathways, and interventions to help students succeed.

- 22 colleges reported activities in this area
- Themes across colleges:
 - Creating data dashboards
 - Creating early alert systems
 - Building a data-informed culture



SURVEY RESPONSES: STUDENT SUCCESS SUPPORTS

Services include programs based on research that help close equity gaps among underserved student populations and improve completion rates.

- 26 colleges reported activities in this area
- Themes across colleges:
 - Developing corequisite courses
 - Promoting professional development opportunities
 - Strengthening traditional student support services

SURVEY RESPONSES: SUCCESSES

- Guided Pathways success areas:
 - Increased collaboration between staff, faculty, and advisors
 - Improvements related to equity
 - Positive changes to campus culture



SURVEY RESPONSES: CHALLENGES

- Guided Pathways challenge areas:
 - COVID-19 related challenges
 - Staff capacity and turnover
 - College-wide changes to data system (ctcLink)
 - Program buy-in



APPROACH: STUDENT OUTCOMES

We received data from SBCTC to examine trends in outcomes between students enrolled in:

- **GP colleges:** 13 colleges implementing Guided Pathways between 2015 and 2019 (early adopters)
- Non-GP colleges: 21 colleges that had not yet adopted Guided Pathways (adopted after 2019)

APPROACH: STUDENT OUTCOMES

- Retention: % of students who remain enrolled or complete a credential between fall term of their first and second year.
- College-level courses: % of students who pass college-level math and English courses in their first year.
- Credit accumulation: % of full-time students who obtain 45 credits or complete a credential in their first year.
- **GPA:** average GPA in their first year.

FIRST-YEAR RETENTION



http://www.wsipp.wa.gov

Slide 18 of 26

FIRST-YEAR RETENTION



FIRST-YEAR COURSE COMPLETION - ENGLISH



FIRST-YEAR COURSE COMPLETION - ENGLISH



FIRST-YEAR COURSE COMPLETION - MATH



FIRST-YEAR COURSE COMPLETION - MATH



FIRST-YEAR CREDIT ACCUMULATION



FIRST-YEAR CREDIT ACCUMULATION



FIRST-YEAR GPA



http://www.wsipp.wa.gov

Slide 22 of 26

FIRST-YEAR GPA



http://www.wsipp.wa.gov

Slide 22 of 26

TAKEAWAYS

Implementation

- All responding colleges are implementing Guided Pathways to some extent.
- 18 are implementing activities in all four legislative requirement areas.
- Guided Pathways implementation varies from college to college.

Student Outcomes

- Retention, course completion, credit accumulation and GPA increased at both GP and non-GP colleges and differences in outcomes between groups is small.
- Outcomes increased for most student populations (i.e., socio-economic status, race, gender, educational experience)

LIMITATIONS

Implementation Results

- Survey responses may not capture all Guided Pathways work colleges are doing.
 - 1 college didn't respond. We cannot speak to its implementation.
- Survey responses may describe efforts that began before GP or from other reforms that coexist with GP.

Student Outcome Results

- Our analysis is descriptive, not causal.
- Differences in outcomes between college types could be due to Guided Pathways, other programs, and/or college and student factors.

WHAT'S NEXT?

Final report due December 2029

Evaluate the effect of guided pathways on longer-term student outcomes including degree completion, time to degree, transfer to four-year institutions, employment, and earnings.

Intermediate work

- Tracking Guided Pathways research and policy changes.
- Tracking other major initiatives colleges are doing.
- Exploring state and national data sources.
- Exploring additional ways to track implementation in Washington.



Questions?

Julia.Cramer@wsipp.wa.gov

http://www.wsipp.wa.gov

Slide 26 of 26