

Senate Bill 6514 Work Group 8/23/18 Webinar Agenda

1. Introduction (1:30-1:45)

- a. Objective
 - i. To inform RFP development
- b. Review 8/9 online meeting recommendations (are there any edits to meeting notes?):
 - i. Keep the framing language in the RFP broad, and do not break the spectrum of work into multiple pieces with separate funding and scoring criteria for each.
 - ii. Apply the section 3(2)(b) criteria to all applicants.
 - iii. Let applicants establish their own objectives (consistent with the purpose language of the bill) and their own activities; and require their own evaluation plan to see how successful they are at meeting their objectives.
 - iv. Review criteria include:
 - 1) Partnership quality
 - 2) Need, with components related to high-risk student populations served and lack of resources to help those populations
 - 3) Outcomes for Washington students
 - 4) Cultural competency
 - 5) Sustainability (but do not emphasize it)
- c. Review other recommendations to-date:
 - i. The maximum award amount should be \$60,000.
 - ii. The RFP should not include sector set asides, but the structure of the RFP should enable more than 6 awards to be made. [Note: during the meeting, a suggestion was made to remove the language after “asides.” With a maximum award of \$60,000, that language is no longer necessary.]
 - iii. The RFP should allow optional partners to be included in partnerships, without restriction on the number of applicants a partner that is not a postsecondary education institution can partner with.
 - iv. The RFP should allow joint applications by consortia of institutions.

2. Discussion (1:45-2:30)

- a. Refine recommendations (e.g. a more precise definition of need?)
- b. Outstanding issues
 - i. Should we allow multiple applications per postsecondary education institution or limit applications to one per institution or one per campus? Related issue: if an institution or campus applies as part of a consortium, does that count towards its limit?
 - ii. Are consortia subject to the same maximum award amount as other applicants, and if not, what is the maximum award amount for consortia?

- iii. How should an award to a consortium involving more than one public institution or a mix of public and private institutions count with regard to the “first six awards to public institutions” rule?
- iv. Should we impose an equitable geographic distribution requirement or at least allow selection panel to consider it?
- c. Key RFP components
- d. New issues (to be determined)

3. Public Comment (2:30-2:45)

4. Recommendations (2:45-2:55)

5. Other (2:55-3:00)

- a. What other questions and/or comments do you have?
- b. Next Steps