

Senate Bill 6514 Work Group 8/9/18 Webinar Agenda

1. Introduction (10:00-10:15)

- a. Objectives
 - i. To inform Request for Proposals (RFP) review criteria development
 - ii. To review grant work group recommendations to-date and address outstanding RFP issues
- b. Review 7/25 and 7/30 online meetings
- c. Review grant program purpose outlined in [SB 6514](#) sections 3(1) and 1(4)(c):
 - i. *3(1) The purpose of the grant program is to provide funding to postsecondary institutions for the institutions to create partnerships with health care entities to provide mental health, behavioral health, and suicide prevention to students in their institutions.*
 - ii. *1(4)The legislature intends to implement task force recommendations by:
(c) Creating a grant program for resource-challenged institutions to help develop suicide prevention programs in those institutions, which may include for example, enhancing treatment services to student veterans; creating campus-wide crisis services; expanding existing crisis plans to integrate suicide intervention; reentry, including medical leave that supports reentry; postvention; and creating links and referral systems between campus behavioral health resources and community-based mental health resources.*

2. Discussion (10:15-11:00)

- a. From your perspective, what are the most important criteria proposal reviewers should focus on when scoring proposals, and how would you prioritize them?
 - i. SB 6514 Section 3(2)(b) includes criteria that apply to public institutions. Does anyone object to broadening these to apply to all institutions?

The council must identify which public institutions of higher education have the greatest need, have a clear and strong demonstration of willingness from leadership to utilize the statewide resources created under section 2 of this act, and can develop partnerships to enhance capacity. From those identified public institutions of higher education, proposals that enhance treatment services to student veterans must be given priority.
 - ii. The following suggestions arose during prior meetings: (1) review criteria should address how relevant and valuable a partner is and the authenticity of partner involvement (including community partners).
 - iii. Suggestions from the public input compilation ([see the Suicide Prevention Grant webpage](#))
 - iv. Your ideas

- b. Review and revise the following draft recommendations:
 - i. The maximum award amount should be \$60,000.
 - ii. The RFP should not include sector set asides, but the structure of the RFP should enable more than 6 awards to be made. [Note: during the 7/30/18 meeting, a suggestion was made to remove the language after “asides.” With a maximum award of \$60,000, that language is no longer necessary.]
 - iii. The RFP should allow optional partners to be included in partnerships, without restriction on the number of applicants a partner that is not a postsecondary education institution can partner with.
 - iv. The RFP should allow joint applications by consortia of institutions.
- c. Address outstanding issues:
 - i. Should we allow multiple applications per postsecondary education institution or limit applications to one per institution or one per campus? Related issue: if an institution or campus applies as part of a consortium, does that count towards its limit?
 - ii. Are consortia subject to the same maximum award amount as other applicants, and if not, what is the maximum award amount for consortia?
 - iii. How should an award to a consortium involving more than one public institution or a mix of public and private institutions count with regard to the “first six awards to public institutions” rule?
 - iv. Should we impose an equitable geographic distribution requirement?
- d. New issues (to be determined)

3. Public Comment (11:00-11:15)

4. Recommendations (11:15-11:25)

5. Other (11:25-11:30)

- a. What other questions and/or comments do you have?
- b. Next Steps