Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance Meeting

December 16, 2015
2 pm – 4 pm

Seattle Metropolitan Chamber of Commerce
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1500, Seattle, WA 98101
Microsoft Meeting Room A
Today’s Agenda

2:00-2:15  Welcome/Introductions  Maud Daudon
2:15-2:40  Benchmark Report Card  Mary Kay Dugan
2:40-3:10  Industry-Education Partnerships  Nova Gattman/Mary Kay Dugan
3:10-3:25  STEM Alliance Next Year:
            Goals and Priorities  Mary Kay Dugan
3:25-3:35  Recap of NGA Site Visits  Daryl Monear
3:35-3:50  Next Steps  Mary Kay Dugan
3:50-4:00  Adjourn & Well Wishes  Gene Sharratt
Today’s Goal: Finalize and approve report card content today.


Process: Iterative process for development – recognizing a supplemental budget year and the focus of the Governor.
  • Brainstorming on initial recommendations with the Alliance at the September meeting.
  • Formed a recommendations and review subcommittee in September: Violet Boyer, Jeff Charbonneau, Gil Mendoza, Sam Whiting, Jane Broom, Caroline King, Daryl Monear, Maddy Thompson, and Marcie Maxwell.
  • Subcommittee met twice by phone to develop the recommendations and also provided written feedback.
  • The Alliance members reviewed the drafts multiple times.
  • Last meeting (Summit), another opportunity for written feedback.

Discussion:
  • Subcommittee comments?
  • Recommended changes to content?
Industry Education Partnership Task Force

Today’s Goal: Discuss the Goals for the Task Force and Membership.

Objective: Form a workgroup to help plan and facilitate high quality partnerships between industry and education to help align and advance STEM needs state-wide.

NGA Grant Overview: Nova Gattman

Discussion:

• Feedback from the Alliance on goals/objectives for the Task Force?
• Membership? Volunteers?
• Meetings? How often?
• Other?
Today’s Goal: Obtain your feedback on this year’s activities and plans for next year.

Last year: Full Alliance met quarterly:

• March: Olympia Discussed priorities with the Governor and objectives for the Alliance including formation of Metrics and Industry/Education Partnership workgroups.

• May: Seattle ISB Tour and discussed the measures for the Dashboard and the Report Card.

• September: Olympia Met with Governor Inslee, Benchmark Report Card, Brainstorming on Recommendations to the Legislature and Formed the Report Card Recommendations Subcommittee.

• December (Summit): Bellevue Matt Steuerwalt on Governor’s Budget Priorities, Brent Parton on the NGA grant and Industry/Education Partnerships and a Demonstration of Washington’s Talent Supply and Demand Dashboard.

• Today: Seattle Finalize Report Card, Form Industry Education Partnerships and Plan for Next Year’s Activities.
Feedback from Alliance on Activities for Next Year

**Metrics Work Group:** Formed to help develop measures for the STEM Talent Supply and Demand Dashboard was formed and met in May and August (Aviation HS).

**Discussion:**
- Feedback on the work/activities for the Alliance this year? Goals for next year?
- Feedback on the meeting content, frequency and location?
- When should the first meeting of 2016 be held?
- Feedback on communication to the Alliance?
- What else should the Alliance be doing next year?
Re-Cap: National Governors Association Site Visits

Today’s Goal: Update you on the site visits from the NGA grant team on December 2.

Facilitator: Daryl Monnear, Associate Director of Academic Affairs and Policy – Washington Student Achievement Council.

Site Visit Locations: Raisbeck Aviation HS and South Seattle College (Georgetown Campus).
Next Steps


STEM Talent Supply and Demand Dashboard: Provided a preliminary look at Dec. 1 meeting. Goals is finish the screen shots by the end of the month. Full roll out and development of new measures next year.

Next Alliance Meeting: We will send out a calendar invitation for dates during the first quarter of 2016.
Adjourn & Well Wishes

Gene Sharratt, Executive Director
Washington Student Achievement Council
WASHINGTON—The NGA Center for Best Practices today announced that six states—Indiana, Iowa, Montana, New Hampshire, Utah and Washington—will participate in the 2016 Policy Academy on Scaling Work-Based Learning. Work-based learning blends work experience and applied learning to develop youth and young adults’ foundational and technical skills to expand their education, career and employment opportunities.

The policy academy offers a unique opportunity for state workforce and education leaders to develop strategies to scale high-quality, work-based learning opportunities for young adults. The idea is to connect 16- to 29-year-olds with middle-skills career opportunities in STEM-intensive industries (those in the science, technology, engineering and math areas) such as advanced manufacturing, health care, information technology and energy.

The 18-month leadership program focuses on helping state teams incorporate work-based learning into their overall talent pipeline as a way to establish clear career pathways for young people and develop a skilled workforce.

“Preparing our young people for the modern workforce is a priority all governors share,” said Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, who is also the chair of the NGA Education and Workforce Committee. “Washington is excited to participate in this opportunity to develop strategies that will help our young people succeed.”

###

Printed from the NGA Website.
Grant Overview
Washington was awarded a $100,000 grant from the National Governors Association to create a policy framework to increase work-based learning for youth ages 16-29, particularly in STEM fields. (Turn the page for more details on Washington’s academy goals.)

The Workforce Board was honored to be asked by Governor Inslee to lead the effort on the policy academy. The Workforce Board and the Governor’s Office will co-chair the Core Team.

Increasing access to work-based learning is a longstanding initiative of the Workforce Board and its Core Team partners. The Policy Academy will work to connect young adults to middle-skill opportunities in STEM fields, including advanced manufacturing, healthcare, IT, and energy.

Timeline: This 18-month leadership program runs through June 30, 2017.

Six states were selected to participate: Indiana, Iowa, Montana, New Hampshire, Utah and Washington. These states will work together to develop individual state policies, share what they’ve learned, and look for federal policy reform opportunities.

State Core Team Members
- Association of Washington Business
- Department of Commerce
- Department of Social and Health Services
- Employment Security Department
- Governor’s Office
- Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction
- State Board for Community and Technical Colleges
- Washington Building & Construction Trades Council
- Washington Student Achievement Council
- Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board

Join our Home Team!
- Not a member of the state’s Core Team? We still want your input! The Workforce Board is recruiting members for a Home Team that serves as an advisory board for the Core Team.

- Contact Workforce Board Legislative Director Nova Gattman at nova.gattman@wtb.wa.gov or (360) 709-4612 for more details.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Washington’s Policy Academy Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Create a state plan for an administrative and statutory policy framework that helps ensure work-based learning opportunities for all young people aged 16-29.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify policy and funding roadblocks to scaling and creating work-based learning opportunities—and propose solutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Place emphasis on disadvantaged, marginalized, and place-bound youth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Design a fundable and sustainable infrastructure to expand work-based learning.** |
| - Offer technical support for businesses to create work-based learning opportunities. |
| - Ensure students can access and benefit from such opportunities. |
| - Provide professional development for frontline staff to help students and businesses make meaningful connections. |

| **Create a performance accountability system for work-based learning.** |
| - Identify the metrics and create a system to evaluate the impact of investments in work-based learning. |
| - Develop a rubric to help practitioners assess the quality of their program designs. |

| **Host a Governor’s Summit on Youth Employment and Work-Based Learning.** |
| - Increasing work-based learning opportunities requires stronger partnerships among stakeholders. Governor Inslee’s Summit will: |
|   - Build a network of committed champions. |
|   - Gather initial feedback and encourage ongoing participation in developing a new system. |
|   - Provide a professional development program to establish a common knowledge base among stakeholders. |
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Washington State’s economy is booming, producing great jobs that offer competitive salaries in world-class technology, aerospace, clean-energy, and biomedical companies. But there is a critical shortage of workers needed to fill these jobs, and it is most acute in the state’s high demand jobs in science, technology, engineering, and math — collectively known as STEM.

In response to Washington’s STEM challenge, Governor Inslee proposed the creation of the STEM Education Innovation Alliance. Formed in 2014, its members were to represent a broad range of business, labor, non-profit, and educational organizations, with the role of advising the Governor on strategic planning and the formation of effective partnerships in support of STEM initiatives in the state. In addition, the STEM Education Innovation Alliance is charged with submitting an annual STEM Benchmark Report Card to the Legislature each January in order to report on STEM economic and workforce trends, measure progress in improving STEM education in Washington, and communicate strategic priorities.

The following report serves as the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance’s second annual Benchmark Report Card to the Legislature to summarize the STEM Talent Supply and Demand Dashboard results and provide recommendations for improving STEM opportunities for all residents of our state.

STEM Talent Supply and Demand Dashboard Results:

- Raising awareness about STEM opportunities is key to STEM literacy and access to economic opportunities in our state; and, the good news is that knowledge of the term STEM has been growing in the last two years.

- Interest in STEM fields at early ages, an important key to increasing the number of students pursuing STEM-related fields, has increased slightly since 2010.

- Success in education, particularly in STEM subjects such as math, is affected by preparedness, especially in the early stages, but Washington has a ways to go to ensure that all students are meeting standards.

- Readiness for post-High School training and education is also key to meeting the demand for STEM-trained workers. In particular, readiness in the field of computer science is of critical importance to meeting future employer demands. Today, only about 27 districts in the state offer Advanced Placement (AP) computer science:

- Ensuring the supply of graduates from post-secondary institutions with degrees and credentials in STEM fields is critical to our state’s future success. In our state, less than 20% of college graduates have a STEM degree.

- Aligning STEM education programs with workforce needs of key economic sectors is necessary for the growth of our economy. This includes ensuring that we have an adequate supply of STEM-trained workers in Washington to meet the demand of employers and understanding the potential gaps. Today, the answer is clear. There isn’t enough supply of STEM workers to fill employer demand for these skills; and the gap is especially acute for employers seeking individuals with computer science degrees and skills.

Recommendations:

This report details several budget and policy recommendations that we urge you to act upon because we strongly believe that they are critical to our state’s economic future and build upon current successful state-wide efforts. Important work underway we support include career and college readiness efforts such as: kindergarten readiness, Common Core State Standards, Next Generation Science Standards, Smarter Balanced assessments, dual credit/dual enrollment coursework, and computer science education programs. We believe that these reforms move our state towards rigorous expectations and opportunities for all our students. Our recommendations are as follows:
1. Fund College in the High School courses for more low income students in STEM-related courses.

2. Increase the funding and expand the MESA program from 6 Community Colleges to 12 Community and Technical Colleges this session, then to 34 CTC’s in the following biennium.

3. Invest in educators’ endorsements in computer science teaching by providing professional development opportunities so that they can nurture student interest in computational thinking in preparation for post-secondary programs and good jobs in this high demand field. Our specific recommendation is to consider accelerating the path to provide computer science opportunities for all students in Washington by investing more in the computer science endorsement scholarships for educators legislated last year. Our goal is for every student to experience computer science learning as a part of his/her education. As well, we recommend that EVERY classroom in the state participate in the Hour of Code during Computer Science Education week in December.

4. Endorse the Washington Student Achievement Council’s (WSAC) request to sustain the Governor’s STEM Alliance and the STEM Talent Supply and Demand Data Dashboard.

Unless much more is done to address the need for a STEM workforce, the skills gap will only increase in the years ahead. Many students will continue to leave Washington State to pursue higher education elsewhere, and employers will continue to seek out-of-state and international STEM talent to fill their workforce needs. Without improvement, leading companies may be driven to locate more and more jobs outside of the state. But, with focused effort, our state could be a national leader in STEM education. Washington should seize this opportunity to lead its residents into high-paying jobs and economic prosperity in the future. Action is needed now, and the recommendations set forth in this report are critical to making progress to advance STEM education and a STEM-trained workforce in our state.

**INTRODUCTION**

Washington State’s economy is booming, producing great jobs that offer competitive salaries in world-class technology, aerospace, clean-energy, and biomedical and natural resource based companies. But there is a critical shortage of workers needed to fill these jobs, and it is most acute in the state’s most high demand jobs in science, technology, engineering, and math – collectively known as STEM.

- A 2013 joint report by the Boston Consulting Group and the Washington Roundtable, calculated that, even after importing highly educated workers from out of state and abroad, Washington is missing out on an opportunity to fill as many as 25,000 high-skill jobs – a number that could double by 2017.
- Only 9 out of 100 children born in Washington will ultimately end up as employees in a STEM-related field in the state – far fewer than the number needed to fill Washington jobs requiring STEM-related skills. The situation is worse for low-income students, who are less academically prepared for the STEM workforce than their more affluent peers. Currently only 40 percent of high school students in Washington graduate with competency in STEM topics.\(^1\)

STEM fields hold the jobs of tomorrow – and Washingtonians need to be ready to seize them.

In response to Washington’s STEM challenge, Governor Inslee proposed the creation of the STEM Education Innovation Alliance, which was approved by the Washington State Legislature in 2013 in Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill 1872 (E2SHB 1872). Its members were to represent a broad range of business, labor, non-profit, and educational organizations, with the role of advising the Governor on strategic planning and the formation of effective partnerships in support of STEM education initiatives. In addition, the STEM Alliance is charged with submitting an annual STEM Benchmark Report Card to the Legislature each January in order to report on STEM economic and workforce trends, measure progress in improving STEM education in Washington, and communicate strategic priorities.

\(^1\) Boston Consulting Group, *Opportunity for All: Investing in Washington States’ STEM Education Pipeline*, 2014
The following report serves as the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance’s second annual Benchmark Report Card to the Legislature to provide recommendations for improving STEM opportunities for all residents of our state. This report is submitted by Matt Steuerwalt, Executive Director of Policy, Office of the Governor; and Gene Sharratt, Executive Director of the Washington Student Achievement Council on behalf of the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance.

In addition to the preparation of this report, key accomplishments of the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance to date are as follows:

- In March 2015, the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance met with the Governor and formed a metrics sub-group committee to help in the development of state-wide STEM measures to track STEM progress in the state.
- In May and August 2015, the metrics sub-group committee met to discuss and operationalize the measures that are reported in this STEM Benchmark Report Card.
- In September of 2015, the development of an online STEM Talent Supply and Demand Dashboard for reporting progress on state-wide STEM measures began. Completion is expected in late December 2015.
- In November 2015, the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance issued four priority policy recommendations to Governor Inslee. These are detailed in the recommendations section of this report.
- In December of 2015, an Education and Industry partnership sub-group committee was formed to promote greater coordination of STEM activities.

Current funding to support the activities of the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance is being provided by a National Governors Association (NGA) Center for Best Practices grant. This grant program supports states in planning and taking action to better align their education and training systems to meet the needs and employment opportunities in their states. Washington was one of eight states to earn a full award beginning in 2014. The two-year NGA-STEM grant provides $170,000 in funding and other resources to advance the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance agenda. Funding has been supplemented by significant in-kind contributions from WSAC, ERDC, and Washington STEM.

BACKGROUND

Washington sits at the top of many state rankings in the areas of innovation-related human capital and research development.² Focusing on the technology sector, we find that among a sample of states with significant technology sectors, Washington is the largest importer of technology degrees as a proportion of the population. The state has one of the highest proportions of STEM jobs in the nation.³ However, the state ranks low in the production of computer science and engineering degrees relative to job openings in those fields. And it ranks last among high-tech-intensive states in the proportion of high school graduates who go directly to college.

Washington currently cannot meet the demand for STEM talent with qualified local employees.⁴ Nationally there are 2.5 times as many entry-level, STEM-related job postings as there are STEM graduates.⁵ If the education “pipeline” supplying STEM workers is not fixed in Washington, the state will not be able to preserve and expand the number of jobs in this innovative sector. Already Washington employers rely heavily on talent from other states and nations to meet demand for this dynamic sector. Without progress in this area, STEM employers may begin to look to other parts of the country for more fertile ground on which to develop their companies.

² STEM State-Level Analysis, Anthony P. Carnevale, Nicole Smith, and Michelle Melton, Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce, October 2011, https://cew.georgetown.edu/stem/states.
⁵ http://burning-glass.com/research/stem/
What is Holding Back Washington State? Beginning in the period before kindergarten and up to the time of obtaining full-time employment, many Washingtonians fall off the track for achieving some of the state’s greatest economic opportunities. A Boston Consulting Group analysis shows that Washington loses 91 out of every 100 potential STEM employees in its workforce at some point “from cradle to career.” Many of the students who are lost in the transition do so because of a lack of academic preparedness and applied experience. Some of the key areas of concern identified in the Boston Consulting Group 2014 report are as follows:

- **Early Learning**: Nearly one-third of children are not ready for kindergarten (and even fewer are ready for math). They enter the K-12 education system at a disadvantage due to access to affordable high-quality preschool and lack of STEM learning opportunities.

- **K-12 Education**: Of every 100 children born in Washington, only 40 will graduate high school on track for a STEM-related career. The rest will not be prepared because of poor academic performance, limited proficiency in math and science, or a lack of interest in STEM subjects and limited access to rich community based experiences that provide the context for STEM.

- **Transition to Post-Secondary Education and Training**: Only 22 of every 100 students will pursue STEM-related postsecondary education in Washington. The major factors for this sharp decline include the lack of capacity in the state’s higher education system, students’ disinterest in STEM or in attending an in-state university, and student inability to afford college and STEM training opportunities.

- **Postsecondary Education**: Of those 22 STEM-major students who do enroll in college, only 13 will graduate from a two- or four-year college with a STEM-related degree. The rest will drop out, switch majors, or fail to complete on time.

- **Career**: Finally, only 9 of every 100 student born in Washington will ultimately become employees in a STEM-related field in the state. Many others will take jobs outside the state or in fields unrelated to their major, despite local demand.

Low-income students face particularly strong headwinds. Of these students, only 40 percent are ready for kindergarten at the traditional age, 25 percent are prepared for and have the opportunity to take STEM coursework in K-12, 12 percent are enrolled in STEM majors in postsecondary education, 6 percent graduate with STEM-related degrees, and 4 percent enter STEM jobs. Moreover, student aid to help low income students is underfunded – 1/3 of the eligible students are not funded. There is a potential to at least triple STEM graduation rates among these students by increasing access to high quality STEM programming which would bring their achievement levels closer to those of all other students. Targeted interventions and access would have the dual effects of reducing the STEM jobs gap in Washington State and helping to break the cycle of poverty for low-income students in the state.

If Washington invests in STEM Education, it could change the lives of thousands of students and fuel economic growth in our state. There is no single solution to this problem. Washington must invest in multiple strategies to improve education and training across the spectrum: early learning, K-12, post-secondary and workforce education and training, and career preparation.

### STEM FRAMEWORK FOR ACTION AND ACCOUNTABILITY

To address the challenges facing our state with respect to STEM education, a state-wide Framework for Action and Accountability (“Framework”) was developed and adopted by the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance. The STEM Framework is a research-based tool developed to support greater coordination, smarter investments, and clear results. Under the Framework, the vision is for all Washingtonians to have the STEM skills necessary to live a life of opportunity and success in the state’s thriving innovation economy and democratic society. Our goal is for Washington State to lead the nation in STEM literacy for all and to create a diverse, world-class workforce. The Framework was developed to help measure and track progress towards meeting our goals.

---

The Framework identifies four key areas to show our progress:

- Early learning through high school students;
- Early learning through high school educators;
- Post-secondary, workforce training, and employers; and
- Aligned systems - Washington STEM stakeholders/partners capacity to establish and accelerate shared STEM education and workforce goals.

A critical component of the Framework is the ability to track and measure short- and long-term progress towards reaching our goals. A measurement system via a web-based STEM Talent Supply and Demand data dashboard is under development to help track our progress over time. The STEM Talent Supply and Demand Dashboard will be publicly available next year.

The Framework is already being used by stakeholders promoting STEM. The Framework is expected to accelerate the impact in our state by:

- Aligning STEM efforts across the state of Washington against a common vision, shared goals, and clear indicators;
- Improving our return on investment;
- Providing for strategic planning and a measurement tool for STEM stakeholders in the state;
- Creating a common research and development agenda to test, identify, and spread promising practices; and,
- Informing policy development and implementation.

**MEASURING OUR PROGRESS**

Our Governor has been a leader in advancing STEM education in the state, for example, in recently issuing Governor Proclamations for Computer Science Week and Environmental Education Week, and challenging local schools and youth serving organizations to participate in activities such as the Hour of Code. In addition, Governor Inslee is the current Chair of the Education and Workforce Committee with the National Governor’s Association. In that role he will help shape federal policy in the areas of early childhood, K-12, and postsecondary education and workforce development. We thank the Governor for his leadership and encourage continued efforts to engage with key stakeholders to communicate the importance of STEM in Washington.

In addition, we believe through a variety of budget and policy initiatives, our state is on track to make excellent progress in STEM in the future. Important work underway we support include career and college readiness efforts such as: kindergarten readiness, Common Core State Standards, Next Generation Science Standards, Smarter Balanced assessments, dual credit/dual enrollment coursework, and computer science education programs. We believe that these reforms move our state toward rigorous expectations and opportunities for all of our students.

We have more progress to make if we are to be successful in reaching our goals. The Framework described above and the measurement system built to track its progress (STEM Talent Supply and Demand Dashboard – see Appendix A for more details) allow us to present data and trends on STEM progress in our state.

Below, we summarize our progress in key areas as well as the challenges that remain:

**Raising awareness about STEM is key to STEM literacy and access to economic opportunities in our state; and, the good news is that knowledge of the term STEM has been growing in the last two years.**

- According to a survey of Washington state residents conducted by the Washington STEM organization, in 2015 about 50% of Washington voters had heard of the term STEM, up from 32% percent in just two years.

**Interest in STEM at early ages, an important key to increasing the number of students pursuing STEM-related fields, has increased slightly since 2010.**
Among Washington SAT test takers (high school-age students), about 28% indicated an intention to pursue a STEM major or field in 2014, up from 25% in 2010.

**Success in education, particularly in STEM subjects such as math, is affected by preparedness, especially in the early stages. Not all Washington students are prepared to meet the next academic challenge and we must make progress to ensure that all students are meeting standards.**

- In 2014-15 only about half (52%) of Washington’s kindergartners met the math standard (from WAKIDS).
- In 2013-2014 about 64% of 5th graders met the standard on the MSP math test (WaKIDS), up from about 54% in 2009-10.
- On the newly instituted Smarter Balanced Assessment, a little less than half (48.1%) of Washington students assessed at 5th grade met the math standard in 2014-2015. And, at 8th grade 46.1% of students assessed met the math standard. For low income populations, the problem is especially acute: Only 31% of low-income children met the standard on kindergarten math readiness in 2014-2015. At 5th grade, about half of low-income children met the math standard on the MSP compared to children who are not low income (76% in 2013-2014).

**Readiness for post-High School training and education is also key to meeting the demand for STEM-trained workers.** In particular, readiness in the field of computer science is of critical importance to meeting future employer demands. Today, only about 27 districts in the state offer Advanced Placement (AP) computer science.

- In 2015, 11% (27) of Washington School Districts (and 47 high schools within those Districts) offer AP Computer science. Less than 1% of students in the high schools where AP computer science is offered take the AP course and receive credit. Among those with students who took the AP test in 2014 (1,048 students), about 66% scored 3 or above. Of students participating in AP computer science state-wide, less than 20% are low income (2015).
- Females and students of color are underrepresented in STEM fields, including computer science. Of all students enrolled in AP Computer Science in the state, only 22% are female (2015). Yet, equal percentages of females and males who take the AP test score 3 or better on it (66% in 2014).
- And, there is limited access to AP course work, particularly AP Computer Science in Washington’s rural areas: AP Computer Science offerings are heavily focused in the Seattle urban area, with limited availability elsewhere in the state.

**Ensuring the supply of graduates from post-secondary institutions with degrees and credentials in STEM fields is critical to our state’s future success.** In our state, less than 20% of college graduates have a STEM degree.

- In 2013-14 about 18% of graduates from post-secondary institutions graduate in a STEM field. Among those graduating in a STEM field, most are male (61%) and not low income (83%).

**Aligning STEM education programs with workforce needs of key economic sectors is necessary for the growth of our economy.** This includes ensuring that we have an adequate supply of STEM-trained workers in Washington to meet the demand of employers and understanding the potential gaps. Today, the answer is clear. There isn’t enough supply of STEM workers to fill employer demand for these skills; and the gap is especially acute for employers seeking individuals with computer science degrees and skills.

- In 2015, there was a shortage of over 20,000 employees that were needed to fill Washington STEM jobs. The vast majority of these unfilled jobs were in computer and mathematical occupations and health care (Employment Security Department).

7 For a score of 3 or above, a student may receive college credit.

8 Unfilled jobs refers to job vacancy to describe positions that were open for more than 3 months.
At the baccalaureate level, degree production in the health, computer science, engineering, and other STEM fields has increased in the last several years. Health sciences degree completions grew -- increasing by nearly 35% from 2007 to 2012. Degree production in the fields of engineering and related technology (27.4%), science and mathematics (28.4%), and computer science and information technology (13%) also grew substantially during this same time period.

Despite progress in recent years, the largest gaps between degree production and employer demand at the baccalaureate and graduate levels are in the fields of computer science and engineering. In computer science, demand exceeds the current rate of degree production by 146%.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

This section outlines the priority recommendations from the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance for improving STEM in our state for the 2017 short legislative session. Important work underway in our state that we support include career and college readiness efforts such as: kindergarten readiness, Common Core State Standards, Next Generation Science Standards, Smarter Balanced assessments, dual credit/dual enrollment coursework, and computer science education programs. We believe that these reforms move our state towards rigorous expectations and opportunities for all of our students. Our recommendations are as follows:

1. **Fund College in the High School courses for more low income students in STEM-related courses**  
   **[BUDGET REQUEST: $5M].**

   Students in our state need to be prepared for college level course work in STEM fields. One mechanism for achieving this is providing for dual credit/dual enrollment coursework. Dual credit allows high school students in our state to enroll in college courses for credit prior to graduation and the credits earned can be applied toward high school and college graduation and can be transferred to other colleges or universities. Students who complete dual credit courses are more likely to complete high school and continue on successfully to college. We support the College in the High School policy passed last year in HB 1546. We are aware of budget constraints, however, so if additional funding is available, we strongly support (in the following order of priority): 1) funding for dual credit for all students in the state; 2) funding for dual credit for all students in STEM-related courses; and 3) funding for students receiving free- and reduced lunch for all dual credit available.

2. **Increase funding for the Mathematics, Engineering and Science Achievement (MESA) program and expand it from 6 Community Colleges to 12 Community and Technical Colleges this session**  
   **[BUDGET REQUEST: $1.5M], then to 34 CTC’s in the following biennium [BUDGET REQUEST: $4.3M].**

   The MESA program has successfully provided community college students with innovative, hands-on opportunities in mathematics, basic and applied science, and engineering in both formal and informal settings. With a STEM focus, MESA successfully targets underrepresented minorities and women and provides this support and enrichment to at-risk and economically disadvantaged students leading to higher rates of enrollment in and completion of STEM courses and degrees. Specifically, we support increasing the amount for MESA college sites to $125,000 (from $58,000) and doubling MESA from 6 Community Colleges to 12 Community and Technical Colleges this session **[BUDGET REQUEST: $1.5M]**. In addition, we endorse The State Board of Community and Technical Colleges’ request to increase the amount for MESA college sites to $125,000 for all 34 community and technical colleges in the following biennium **[BUDGET REQUEST: $4.3M].**

3. **Invest in educators’ endorsements in computer science teaching by providing professional development opportunities so that they can nurture student interest in computational thinking**

---

9 OSPI’s estimate is $7,462,975 to fully fund the college in the high school program authorized in HB 1546 in the current year. $2,864,000 was provided in the budget so the gap in the current year would be approximately $5M if to fund this next year (16-17 academic year).
in preparation for post-secondary programs and good jobs in this high demand field [BUDGET REQUEST: $1M].

Employers in our state know that the demand for computer science graduates is at an all-time high, yet they lack the ability to fill these jobs with graduates from our state’s top programs. Moreover, computer science skills and computational thinking are critical to enabling Washington state citizens to be part of a 21st century STEM capable workforce and to reach our goal of building STEM literacy for all. Meeting this demand will require investments including exposing K-12 students to computer science and computational thinking. Our goal is for every student to experience computer science learning as a part of his/her education. As well, we recommend that EVERY classroom in the state participate in the Hour of Code during Computer Science Education week in December 2016. Previous efforts have made good progress towards this end and we recommend building on these efforts. Recently enacted legislation has included the following advances:

- Two years ago, schools were required to give academic credit for AP computer science.
- Career and technology (CTE) credit equivalencies that earn students math or science credits was also implemented.
- This past session, HB 1813 directed development of computer science learning standards and teacher preparation.

We can build upon these successes by supporting our educators in computer science with professional development opportunities. Teachers with computer science endorsements are key to introducing our students to computer science. We advocate for funding computer science educator grants and scholarships as incentives for teacher preparatory programs in higher education to create courses for pre-service and certificated teachers to learn computer science, with targeted support for teachers who are working in schools serving low income and underrepresented students in STEM. Our specific recommendation is to consider accelerating the path to expand computer science opportunities for all students in Washington by investing more in the computer science endorsement educator scholarships. The Legislature invested $2M in 2015-2017 with the assumption that with a 1:1 match and $2M every biennium, all students would be reached by 2025. We recommend accelerating that path by investing an additional $1M now in this supplemental budget.

4 Endorse the Washington Student Achievement Council’s (WSAC) request to sustain the Governor’s STEM Alliance and the STEM Talent Supply and Demand Data Dashboard [BUDGET REQUEST: $155,000].

To date, the activities of the Governor’s STEM Education Innovation Alliance and the development of the STEM data dashboard have been supported through a National Governors Association grant and contributions from the Washington Student Achievement Council (WSAC) and Washington STEM. A supplemental budget request has been submitted for $155,000. This funding will allow the WSAC team to continue providing necessary guidance for the work of the STEM Alliance, workgroups, and the STEM Talent Supply and Demand Dashboard. The funds will support salary, benefits and expenses for one FTE policy associate ($115,000); and provide for Service Contract Expenses for collaborative work with Washington STEM, a nonprofit organization focused on advancing STEM education in the state, which will continue to develop and refine a STEM data dashboard and foster the creation of robust and sustainable industry-education partnerships ($40,000). We support WSAC’s request to sustain this important work.

CONCLUSIONS

Unless much more is done to address the STEM education pipeline the costs of failing to address the skills gap will only increase in the years ahead. Many students will continue to leave Washington State to pursue higher education elsewhere, and employers will continue to seek out-of-state and international STEM talent to fill their workforce needs. Without improvement, leading companies may be driven to locate more and more jobs outside of the state. But, with focused effort, our state could be a national leader in STEM education. Washington should seize this opportunity to lead its residents into high-paying jobs and economic prosperity
in the future. Action is needed now, and the recommendations set forth in this Benchmark Report Card are critical to making progress on STEM in our state.
## Appendix A: Washington’s STEM Talent Supply and Demand Dashboard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Framework Indicator</th>
<th>Key Questions</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 STEM awareness in Washington State</td>
<td>Are Washington State residents aware of the term and meaning of “STEM?”</td>
<td>[MEASURE 1] STEM Awareness [Definition: Percentage of Washington residents indicating “yes” they have heard of the acronym STEM at the time of the survey, out of a random telephone sample of voters in Washington.</td>
<td>Raising awareness about STEM is key to STEM literacy and access to economic opportunities in our state; and, the good news is that knowledge of the term STEM has been growing in the last two years. According to a survey of Washington state residents conducted by the Washington STEM organization, in 2015 about 50% of Washington voters had heard of the term STEM; up from 32% percent in just two years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Student interest in STEM fields</td>
<td>Are Washington high school students interested in pursuing majors that lead to STEM careers?</td>
<td>[MEASURE 2] Student Interest in STEM [Definition: SAT test-takers indicating intended college major in a STEM field out of all SAT test-takers that indicated an intended college major.</td>
<td>Interest in STEM at early ages, an important key to increasing the number of students pursuing STEM-related fields, has increased slightly since 2010. Among Washington SAT test takers (high school-age students), about 28% indicated an intention to pursue a STEM major or field in 2014, up from 25% in 2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Student STEM achievement among PreK-12</td>
<td>How well are we preparing Washington students academically to pursue STEM-related careers? (Early Learning? K-12?)</td>
<td>[MEASURE 3] Early Learning: Kindergarten Readiness [a] [MEASURE 4] K-12: Passing Grade 5 Math [b] [MEASURE 5] Smarter Balanced Assessment Math (3rd – 8th and 11th grade) [c]</td>
<td>Success in education, particularly in STEM subjects such as math, is affected by preparedness, especially in the early stages, but Washington has a ways to go to ensure that all students are meeting standards. In 2014-15 only about half (52%) of Washington’s kindergartners met the math standard (from WaKIDS). In 2013-2014 about 64% of 5th graders met the standard on the MSP math test (WaKIDS); up from about 54% in 2009-10. On the Smarter Balanced Assessment, a little less than half (48.1%) of Washington students assessed at 5th grade met the math standard in 2014-2015. And, at 8th grade 46.1% of students assessed met the math standard. For low income populations, the problem is especially acute: Only 31% of low-income children met the standard on kindergarten math readiness in 2014-2015. At 5th grade, about half of low-income children met the math standard on the MSP compared to children who are not low income (76% in 2013-2014).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Framework Indicator: Student readiness for college-level study in STEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>[MEASURE 6] AP Computer Science:</strong> Availability in Washington Districts [d]; Availability in Washington High Schools [e]; Completion [f]; Score 3 or Above [g].</td>
<td>Readiness for post-High School training and education is also key to meeting the demand for STEM-trained workers. In particular, readiness in the field of computer science is of critical importance to meeting future employer demands. Today, only about 27 Districts in the state offer Advanced Placement (AP) computer science:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[d]</strong> Number of school districts containing a high school with students receiving credit from an AP Computer Science program, based on having at least one student receiving credit in AP Computer Science in a given year, out of the number of school districts in the state with high schools.</td>
<td>In 2015 about 11% (27) of Washington School Districts (and 47 high schools within those Districts) offer AP Computer science. Less than 1% of students in the high schools where AP computer science is offered take the AP course and receive credit. Among those with students who took the AP test in 2014 (1,048 students), about 66% scored 3 or above. Of students participating in AP computer science state-wide, less than 20% are low income (2015).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[e]</strong> Number of high schools with an AP Computer Science Program, based on having at least one student receiving credit in AP Computer Science in a given year, out of the number of high schools in the state.</td>
<td>Females are underrepresented in STEM fields, including computer science. Of all students enrolled in AP Computer Science in the state, only 22% are female (2015). Yet, equal percentages of females and males who take the AP test score 3 or better on it (66% in 2014).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[f]</strong> Number of students receiving credit for AP Computer Science from OSPI Grade History.</td>
<td>And, there is limited access to AP Computer Science in Washington’s rural areas: AP Computer Science offerings are heavily focused in the Seattle urban area, with limited availability elsewhere in the state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[g]</strong> Number of students passing with a score of 3 or higher in AP Computer Science out of the total number of students taking the AP Computer Science exam.</td>
<td>Source: OSPI and College Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 For a score of 3 or above, a student may receive college credit.

### Framework Indicator: 21st century skills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21st century skills Under Development</td>
<td>Not available at this time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Framework Indicator: PreK-12 STEM classes led by effective educators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PreK-12 STEM classes led by effective educators Under Development</td>
<td>Not available at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framework Indicator</td>
<td>Key Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Teachers and school leaders with STEM-related degrees</td>
<td>Do our educators, teachers and school leaders have the needed degrees and credentials to support student learning in STEM?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Graduates from postsecondary institutions with degrees in STEM fields</td>
<td>What is the supply of STEM graduates from post-secondary institutions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Alignment of STEM education programs with workforce needs of key economic sectors</td>
<td>Do we have an adequate supply of STEM trained workers in Washington State to meet the demand of employers? If not, how large is the gap now and what is it projected to be in the future? What STEM occupations/fields are in highest demand?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framework Indicator</td>
<td>Key Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9</strong> Alignment of STEM education programs with workforce needs of key economic sectors</td>
<td>Geographically, where are the STEM job opportunities in the State?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10</strong> State and local systems to support STEM success&lt;br&gt;Collective IMPACT</td>
<td>What industry sectors should be targeted in order to meet the demand for STEM workers?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Framework Indicator**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Questions</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State and local systems to support STEM success</strong>&lt;br&gt;Collective IMPACT</td>
<td>What progress have we made collectively to enact state-wide policy change, disseminate best practices and share data, and leverage funding opportunities?</td>
<td><strong>State and local systems to support STEM success</strong>&lt;br&gt;Measures (examples) to be developed in the future:&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<strong>Leveraging Funding:</strong> Evidence of increased funding and alignment of existing resources to support a common agenda and goals&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<strong>Progress:</strong> State-wide policy change/enactment; Adoption of and effective implementation of evidence-based STEM policies and practices; Identification and transfer of best practices across the state.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<strong>Systems Change:</strong> Creation and alignment of statewide STEM Network to improve student outcomes; Shared measurement system&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<strong>Stakeholder Value:</strong> Satisfaction with progress and backbone organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>