



**DRAFT**

**State and Regional Needs Assessment**

**Higher Education Coordinating Board**

**September 2005**

## Workgroup Members

Randy Spaulding, project lead  
Higher Education Coordinating Board

Andi Smith  
Higher Education Coordinating Board

Brent Bahrenburg  
Community Trade and Economic  
Development

Bryan Wilson  
Workforce Training and Education  
Coordinating Board

Cindy Morana  
Council of Presidents

David Szatmary  
University of Washington

Gary Benson  
Higher Education Coordinating Board

George Mills  
University of Puget Sound

Irv Lefberg  
Office of Financial Management

Jane Sherman  
Washington State University

Kris Bulcroft  
Western Washington University

Linda Beath  
Central Washington University

Loretta Seppanen  
State Board for Community and  
Technical Colleges

Madeleine Thompson  
Workforce Training and Education  
Coordinating Board

Pat Tasanasanta  
Office of Financial Management

Paul Sommers  
Seattle University

Ron Dalla  
Eastern Washington University

Terry Teale  
Council of Presidents

Thayne McCulloh  
Gonzaga University

Tom Womeldorff  
The Evergreen State College

Victor Vasquez  
Community, Trade and Economic  
Development

Violet Boyer  
Independent Colleges of Washington

## **Table of Contents**

### **Executive Summary**

- I. Introduction**
- II. Legislative Direction and Related Policy Issues**
- III. Description of Work by the HECB and Other Agencies**
- IV. Background: Trends and Outcomes In Higher Education**
- V. Scope of Analysis**
- VI. Statewide Results**
- VII. Regional Results**
- VIII. Analysis and Recommendations**

### **Appendices**

- Appendix A: Data and Variables**
- Appendix B: Baccalaureate and Beyond Major Fields of Study**
- Appendix C: Regional Definitions**
- Appendix D: Statewide Programs**
- Appendix E: Related Reports and Data Sources**
- Appendix F: Included Colleges and Universities**



**September 2005**

## **DRAFT**

### **Executive Summary**

### **State and Regional Needs Assessment**

#### **Introduction**

In 2004, the Washington Legislature and governor enacted legislation (House Bill 3103) to revise and update the roles and responsibilities of the Higher Education Coordinating Board (HECB). The legislation marked the first substantive revision of HECB statutes since the board was created in the mid-1980s. Among other changes, HB 3103 directed the HECB to undertake a new responsibility to “develop a comprehensive and ongoing process to analyze the need for additional degrees and programs, additional off-campus centers and locations for degree programs, and consolidation or elimination of programs by the (public) four-year institutions.”

In response to this charge, and consistent with the board’s *2004 Strategic Master Plan for Higher Education*, the statewide and regional needs assessment provides a planning tool that, in conjunction with analysis of institutional roles and missions, will guide academic program and facility planning and approval.

The needs assessment will allow for data-driven decisions related to the allocation of student enrollments by providing a comprehensive assessment of regional higher education needs to meet student, employer, and community demand.

The needs assessment will be updated every other year to examine:

- (1) Projections of student, employer, and community demand for higher education and academic degrees, including liberal arts degrees, on a regional and statewide basis;
- (2) Current and projected degree programs and enrollment at public and private institutions of higher education, by location and mode of service delivery; and
- (3) Data from the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board (WTECB) and the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges (SBCTC) on the supply and demand for work force education and certificates and associate degrees.

## **Description of Work by the HECB and Other Agencies**

The needs assessment draws on a variety of reports and data sources produced by several agencies and represents the first comprehensive analysis that draws these resources together on a statewide basis for program and facility planning.

The assessment relies on work by the Higher Education Coordinating Board, the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges, the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board, and the Office of Financial Management (OFM). In addition, key projections and support also come from the Employment Security Department (ESD) and the Department of Community Trade and Economic Development (CTED). The approach used in the needs assessment was developed with input from representatives of these agencies and representatives from the four-year public universities and colleges and the private (“independent”) colleges of Washington. Finally, included within the report are data on the supply of workers required to meet employer demand developed in collaboration with SBCTC and WTECB, as directed by HB 3103.

## **Background: Trends and Outcomes in Higher Education**

Washington is a leader in innovation and technology-based industries, but that leadership position has been earned in large part through the recruitment of highly trained employees from outside the state, especially in fields of computer science, engineering, and health care. This trend is illustrated by the fact that the state ranks 10<sup>th</sup> in the nation in the percentage of adults who hold bachelor’s degrees, while it ranks just 33<sup>rd</sup> among the states in the production of degrees at that level by state colleges.

The higher education system in Washington faces dual pressures to (1) increase enrollments in response to projected population growth and (2) increase participation so that more Washington residents have the opportunity to earn college degrees (and the benefits that derive from them) within the state.

## **Scope of Analysis**

The needs assessment responds to a number of questions that will inform the growth and development of the higher education system in the state. Key among these is an estimate of the total size of the higher education system needed to respond to projected student demand, the number of graduates required to meet employer demand, and the broader community demand for higher education.

The assessment responds to these questions by examining the current and planned capacity of colleges and universities in Washington, the number of degrees awarded annually, and projections of student enrollments and occupational openings in the future. Community needs are identified through a variety of approaches, including interviews with community

representatives and data gleaned from a variety of reports from other agencies and groups, including local workforce development plans and reports in specialized areas such as healthcare and teaching.

## **Statewide Results**

The statewide analysis of higher education needs indicates substantial growth in the state's higher education system will be required to keep pace with student demand. The analysis highlights several areas of special concern due to growth and/or declining numbers of graduates. Here are several statewide highlights:

- The number of graduate and professional degrees awarded over the past three years has increased overall, but the number of degrees awarded in math, physical science, health, and engineering has declined.
- Employment projections indicate approximately 123,000 job openings annually between 2007 and 2012. Of these, 25 percent would require an associate degree (or other mid-level training) and 19 percent would require a bachelor's degree or higher as the entry level requirement. When additional training needs are considered, 25 percent would require a baccalaureate or higher and an additional 6 percent would require an associate degree or other mid-level training.
- Student demand for education is increasing due to population growth and the determination of more students to seek a bachelor's degree. To meet demand based solely on population growth, the public higher education system would need to add approximately 21,000 full-time equivalent students by 2010 beyond 2004 enrollment levels. In order to continue to increase the number of degrees produced at a rate consistent with the growth over the past 14 years, the system would need to add approximately 45,000 public FTE students over 2004 enrollment levels. Private enrollments, which make up about one-third of baccalaureate and graduate enrollments, would need to continue to grow adding 8,200 private FTE students between 2004 and 2010.
- Data used in the community demand measures indicate that all fields are becoming more complex and require workers prepared with higher levels of education than in the past. As a result, workers would ideally develop a mix of technical skills and management, communication, and team work skills

## **Regional Results**

The regional analyses divide the state into the 12 regional workforce development areas (WDAs, see Appendix C) with an additional area of special analysis that includes Snohomish, Island, and

Skagit Counties (SIS). The regional profiles include regional measures of student, community, and workforce needs for higher education.

- Students from each region of the state attend colleges and universities throughout the state, although most attend college relatively close to home.
- The regional analysis demonstrates a need for growth in higher education throughout the state, but there are important differences among the regions and gaps between local and statewide college participation rates.
- Regions facing the greatest enrollment pressure due to population growth include Southwest Washington and King, Snohomish, Island, and Skagit Counties.
- Regions facing the greatest disparity with the state average college participation rate include the Northwest region, Tri-County region, Eastern region, and the Southwest Washington region.

### **Analysis and Recommendations**

Recommendations related to the overall size and shape of the state's public higher education system:

- Growth is required throughout the statewide higher education system.
- Growth at university main campuses may be supplemented by expansion of the research university branch campuses and regional university centers.
- Growth in the community and technical college system is required to address workforce training, basic skills education, and academic transfer needs.
- Alternative approaches to delivery of higher education may need to be considered, especially in rural communities.

Program recommendations:

- State, regional, and community assessments indicate a need for increased capacity in engineering, computer science, health care, and architecture.
- Demand for business, life and physical sciences, and social sciences were identified in at least two of the three measures of demand.

- The state should reverse the trend of the past three years, when the number of graduate degrees declined in math, physical science, health, and engineering, which are all important fields from the perspective of the state's employers.

The analysis highlights a need for better information about needs and/or options to better serve students and employers in several key areas. Additional study is recommended in the following areas:

- An examination of alternative approaches to meet employer and student demand for training in health related occupations.
- A better understating of specific needs in research and science occupations, and options to increase the number of degrees produced to meet those needs.
- An examination of employer and worker's needs for training in the occupations included in the mechanics and laborers and service industries groupings.
- In collaboration with SBCTC and local colleges and universities, assess the factors leading to lower participation in the public colleges and universities and, as necessary, develop or revise state policies and/or jointly prepare enrollment plans to the end of increasing the college participation rates of students in the region.

For the needs assessment to be an effective planning tool, it must continuously improve in its ability to identify student, employer, and community needs. Recommended areas of improvement include:

- Matching institutional data with employment security data to provide better information on student outcomes.
- Improved tracking of individual student enrollment through the use of national clearinghouse data.
- Refinement of the HECB approach to matching training levels with occupations may also be required.
- Improved data on capacity at off-site facilities.
- An examination of alternative approaches to estimate occupational growth and employer demand for degrees.

W A S H I N G T O N  
**H I G H E R**  
**EDUCATION**  
C O O R D I N A T I N G   B O A R D

September 2005

**DRAFT**  
**State and Regional Needs Assessment**

**I. Introduction**

The Higher Education Coordinating Board, in conjunction with other state agencies and institutions, is charged with stewardship of state higher education resources. A critical aspect of this role is planning and coordination of academic programs, teaching sites, and centers. Over the past several years, the state has faced increasing pressure for additional student enrollments at a time of diminishing fiscal resources. In this environment, it is increasingly important that future growth be planned and coordinated such that it will attend to the state economic development needs and the demands and preferences of students as well as the fiscal constraints now facing the state. The *2004 Strategic Master Plan for Higher Education* calls for data-driven decisions related to the allocation of student enrollments (master plan implementation strategy 2) and assessment of regional higher education needs to meet student, employer, and community demand. The needs assessment, in conjunction with analysis of institutional role and mission, will drive academic program and facility planning and approval (master plan implementation strategy 6).

Based on current college participation rates, the Office of Financial Management estimates an additional 18,000 students will enter the public higher education system by 2010<sup>1</sup>. The estimated growth in enrollment derives primarily from a projected increase in the number of high school graduates over the next several years. However, an estimate based on historic participation rates may significantly understate the demand for access to postsecondary education. In many parts of the state, we expect to see increasing participation in college due to increasing returns to additional years of schooling through higher lifetime earnings, higher education levels of parents, improvements in high school preparation and advising, and the success of a variety of programs such as GEAR UP designed to encourage students to pursue college enrollment. As a result, HECB enrollment estimates have been consistently higher than the OFM estimates. In the strategic master plan, the HECB departed from enrollment estimates based on participation rates in favor of an outcomes-based approach that estimates the growth in the number of degrees

---

<sup>1</sup> Washington State Office of Financial Management. Public Higher Education Enrollment Projections – Revised Table 1. November 2004. Estimate is based on 2004-2005 participation rates and enrollments.

produced then considers the enrollments required to meet that goal. Using this approach, the HECB estimates enrollment growth of 45,000 additional FTE students by 2010<sup>2</sup>.

While overall estimates of the size of the system provide a broad overview of the needs in the state, they do not take into account areas of study, geography, or employer needs. With the passage of HB 3103 in 2004, the Legislature has asked the HECB to assess student, employer, and community demand for postsecondary education statewide and regionally. The report includes an assessment, conducted jointly with the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges and Technical Colleges and the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board, of the number of forecasted net job openings at each level of higher education and training and the number of credentials needed to match the forecast of net job openings. The needs assessment will play an important part in moving the higher education system in a direction that will help us meet the challenges ahead. In collaboration with WTECB, SBCTC, the public and private postsecondary institutions in Washington, and other key agencies, the HECB will assess the need for additional degrees and programs at all levels to meet the needs of employers, students, and communities. The needs assessment will become an essential part of the planning and approval process for the public baccalaureate degree granting institutions as we grow and adapt our system of higher education.

## **II. Legislative Direction and Related Policy Issues**

The HECB is required to develop a comprehensive and ongoing needs assessment process to analyze the demand for additional degrees and programs, additional off-campus centers and sites for degree programs, and consolidation or elimination of programs by the four-year institutions [RCW 28B.76.230 (1)].

As part of the needs assessment process, the HECB will examine:

- (1) Projections of student, employer, and community demand for higher education and academic degrees, including liberal arts degrees, on a regional and statewide basis.
- (2) Current and projected degree programs and enrollment at public and private institutions of higher education, by location and mode of service delivery.
- (3) Data from the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board and the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges on the supply and demand for workforce education and certificates and associate degrees.

The HECB is also required to determine whether certain major lines of study or types of degrees, including applied degrees or research-oriented degrees, shall be assigned uniquely to some

---

<sup>2</sup> The number of new FTEs reported in this section includes public two-year and four-year enrollments based on a comparison to 2003-2004 average annual enrollments.

institutions or institutional sectors in order to create centers of excellence that focus resources and expertise [RCW 28B.76.230 (4)]. This determination will rely on the needs assessment, the institutional program review process, and the fit between academic programs and institutional role and mission. Currently, a number of major lines of study are uniquely assigned to specific institutions. These are discussed later in this document.

### **III. Description of Work by the HECB and Other Agencies**

This assessment draws on a variety of reports and data sources currently produced by different agencies within the state. Coordination, research, and planning for postsecondary education occur at the campus level for each institution and within four primary agencies: the Higher Education Coordinating Board, the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges, the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board, and the Office of Financial Management. In addition, key projections and support also come from the Department of Employment Security and the Department of Community Trade and Economic Development. These agencies provide data and reports on a regular basis and periodically produce special reports on a given topic of interest (see appendix E for a listing of selected reports and data sets). For example, the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges recently released a study of the need for additional capacity at baccalaureate institutions within the state to accommodate additional transfer students.


While much of the information presented in the statewide and regional needs assessment is available elsewhere, this report represents the first integrated analysis of statewide and regional supply and demand for postsecondary education in Washington. The assessment provides the HECB and other state policy makers with a critical tool to understand the current size and shape of higher education in the state, anticipated and current gaps in the supply of education programs and prepared workers, and recommendations for programmatic and facility growth to meet anticipated demand. Institutions will use the needs assessment in their academic program planning and facilities planning processes.

The assessment is an ongoing process and involves a workgroup made up of key stakeholders in higher education, including staff from the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges, the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board, the Office of Financial Management, the Employment Security Department, the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development, representatives from the four-year public and private institutions, and HECB staff. The group was assembled to guide the development of an appropriate methodology, including identification of data sources and selection of analytical techniques, for the regional and state assessment of higher education needs and to provide feedback on the model as it is developed and implemented. Following the release of the interim report, the workgroup will continue to evaluate the assessment model and make recommendations for improvements in future editions of the report. The report will be produced on a biennial schedule, with report updates released in July of even-numbered years.

#### IV. Background: Trends and Outcomes in Higher Education

The need for additional capacity in higher education is not unique to Washington. National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) projections indicate that “changes in age-specific enrollment rates and college-age populations will affect enrollment levels between 2000 and 2013. The most important factor is the expected increase in the traditional college-age population of 18- to 24-year-olds” (NCES 2004-013, p. 8). The report projects that the rate of growth will be substantially higher for traditional age college students (22 percent) than for older students (two percent for students over the age of 35). The growth rate for full-time students (22 percent) is estimated to be almost twice that of part-time students (13 percent). Washington can expect an increase in the number of high school graduates of 8.3 percent between 2001-2002 and 2017-2018, with enrollment peaks in 2007-2008 and 2017-2018<sup>3</sup>. NCES estimates an increase of 12.5 percent in the number of graduates in Washington between 2000-01 and 2007-08, then a drop in the number of graduates of 5.7 percent between 2008-09 and 2012-13, for a net growth over the period of six percent.<sup>4</sup>

**Figure 1**  
**Washington Public High School Graduates**



Source: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE), 2003.

<sup>3</sup> (2003) Knocking at the College Door – Washington Profile, Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education.

<sup>4</sup> (2004) Projections of Education Statistics, National Center for Education Statistics 2004-013, US Department of Education.

Access to postsecondary educational opportunities for this new wave of graduates is increasingly important. Washington is unique in that we are a leader in innovation and technology-based industries;<sup>5</sup> however, that leadership position has relied heavily on drawing highly trained workers from outside of Washington, especially in computer science, engineering, and health care occupations. As a result, we rank 10<sup>th</sup> in the nation in the portion of the population over age 25 who hold a bachelor's degree<sup>6</sup> despite the fact that we rank 33<sup>rd</sup> among the states in the production of degrees at that level.<sup>7</sup> Put simply, companies are forced to look outside the state to attract talented workers with the appropriate training to meet their needs, while many Washington residents are being left behind.

Postsecondary education benefits students directly on an individual basis as well as benefiting employers and communities. Additional years of education yield a clear and well-documented benefit to students. As the HECB outlined in the *2005 Strategic Master Plan for Higher Education*, on average, students who complete a postsecondary degree earn more and are less likely to be unemployed than a high school graduate who does not continue his or her education.

Communities also benefit from higher education through a better educated citizenry. Higher levels of education are associated with greater participation in civic life, including voting and community volunteerism. In addition, higher education institutions bring important economic benefits to their communities through direct employment, spending by students and employees, and the development of additional resources through grants and contracts that bring money into the local economy from state, federal, and private sources.

Employers consistently demonstrate a preference for better educated workers and, in many cases, the education level of the workforce in a given region and proximity to a higher education institution are critical factors a firm considers when deciding where to start or expand operations. However, despite increases in the number of students completing postsecondary training, employers continue to report difficulty hiring trained workers at all levels of education. The Washington State Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board conducts a survey of employers every two years. With results that are generally consistent with prior years, the 2004 survey finds that “employers believed skill shortages were hurting their business by limiting output or sales, lowering productivity, and reducing product quality.”<sup>8</sup>

For the assessment to provide effective guidance in the development of new academic programs and teaching sites, it is critical to build some understanding around the relationship between academic field and occupation. Although graduates from the same academic field tend to

---

<sup>5</sup> (July 2005) Innovation and R&D Spillovers by Industry: The Importance of Geographic Proximity and Innovation, Giovanni Peri, Presentation at the University of Washington Economic Policy Research Center conference on Education and Productivity [<http://depts.washington.edu/eprc/education/>].

<sup>6</sup> (December 2004) Higher Education Trends and Highlights, Washington State Office of Financial Management.

<sup>7</sup> (December 2004) Interim Strategic Master Plan, Higher Education Coordinating Board. Ranking is based on the number of baccalaureate degrees awarded per 1,000 residents age 20-29 in the year 2000.

<sup>8</sup> (2004) Washington State Employers' Workforce Training Needs and Practices, Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board.

gravitate toward one or two occupational areas, in most academic fields a substantial portion of graduates are distributed across a broad range of occupations. For this reason, it would be unwise to make 1:1 assessments of supply and demand based on field of study and occupation in most disciplines. Therefore, this report will, instead, focus on aggregate measures of supply and demand, with a more detailed examination of selected high-demand occupations where clear training pathways can be readily identified.

## V. Scope of Analysis

This report will include analysis of student enrollment behavior, employment outlook and training needs, and community needs in an effort to understand the supply and demand for postsecondary education in Washington state. Specifically, the assessment will respond to the criteria laid out in legislation as follows:

*(1) Projections of student, employer, and community demand for education and degrees, including liberal arts degrees, on a regional and statewide basis.*

- How many state funded FTEs and how many opportunities for enrollment in private for-profit and not-for-profit colleges and universities must be available in the higher education system in order to respond to student demand?

*Student demand* is defined as the need for degrees and programs expressed by students. The student demand estimates are based on historic participation rates and population projections using the HECB simulation model. In addition, the HECB projection of degrees awarded will be used to estimate an alternative projection of student demand. Finally, several campuses have provided information to identify programs and major lines of study that experience especially high demand from qualified students for possible inclusion as high-demand programs.

- How many trained workers (by level and field of study) are required to meet employer demand for prepared workers?

*Employer demand* is defined as the annual number of net job openings by occupation. The analysis relies on the Department of Employment Security's long-term occupational projections. Training levels are assigned based on two measures: (1) the collapsed Bureau of Labor Statistics training codes for occupations used in previous reports by WTECB and SBCTC will act as a proxy measure of the minimum qualification to enter an occupation and (2) training requirements of the actual workforce based on HECB analysis of the training level of workers by occupation (based on 2000 census data). Using these measures, HECB staff project the aggregate number and level (e.g., bachelor's, master's, doctorate) of degrees required to meet employer demand.

- What are the community needs for higher education and how can the state be responsive to these needs?

*Community demand* is the demand for institutions, degrees, or programs expressed by communities. Assessment of community demand will allow for consideration of elements not included in the above projections, such as economic development plans in a given region or community, arrival or departure of major industry or employer, new technology, or other developments that may not be readily picked up in the projections described above.

(2) *Current and projected degree programs and enrollment at public and private institutions of higher education, by location and mode of service delivery.*

- What is current and planned capacity in Washington postsecondary institutions?

*Education supply* is defined as the capacity for postsecondary enrollment. Using available data, a finer level of analysis is possible for the public institutions than for the privates. Three measures of supply will be used for different aspects of the analysis. For the system as a whole, an aggregate estimate of capacity will be based on current enrollments in public and private institutions. Second, the HECB will analyze data on planned capacity at public and private four-year institutions. Finally, program level supply will be measured by analyzing the number of degrees produced in major fields of study.

- How many degrees are produced annually in Washington (by field of study, region, and educational sector)?

*Workforce supply* is defined as the number of prepared workers available to take positions in the workforce. The workforce supply is based on the number of graduates with degrees as reported in Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), less students who are enrolled full time in graduate school or are not in the labor force (estimate based on National Center for Education Statistics “Baccalaureate and Beyond” findings).

(3) *Data from the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board and the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges on the supply and demand for workforce education and certificates and associate degrees.*

- How many FTE student spaces must be available in educational programs less than a bachelor’s degree but greater than one year to meet employer demand for prepared workers at this level?

Estimates will be incorporated in measures described above.

## Analytical Approach

Analysis will occur in four parts:

1. First aggregate estimates of the supply and demand of education will be provided. Based on expected student enrollments, the number of graduates will be compared to the number of degrees needed to meet employer demand. Finally, projected enrollments will be compared to planned capacity for the system.
2. The nature of baccalaureate and graduate study often does not allow for one-to-one comparisons between major lines of study and occupations. Rather than produce tables that create a false sense of precision, the analysis of major lines of study and occupations will consist of a matrix that shows the distribution of graduates from given majors in occupational groups. The matrix will be based on data from the “Baccalaureate and Beyond” study; however, with additional data gathering, future reports will use data from Washington graduates.
3. High-demand fields will be identified. Occupational areas that face the greatest challenges in attracting qualified workers will be considered for inclusion as high-demand occupations. These occupations will be identified as those with significant gaps in the supply of workers and the demand for workers with a given level of training.
4. Regional profiles will provide detailed information on postsecondary participation and rapidly growing occupational areas by region of the state.

## VI. Statewide Results

The measures of supply and demand provide a valuable picture of the higher education system in Washington as it exists today and critical areas for growth to meet student, employer, and community demand for postsecondary education into the future.

### *Education Supply*

The current budgeted and actual enrollments for the public colleges and universities and the current enrollments for the private universities are reported in Table 6.1. The table also includes an estimate of the capacity for additional students at public and private colleges and universities. The FTE capacity estimates at the four-year public institutions used in this report are based on the HECB de facto enrollment capacity estimates. These estimates consider existing or planned classrooms, class labs, and faculty offices, and constraints in enrollment growth due to regulatory, geophysical, or cultural factors.

The higher education system in Washington currently serves 273,942 FTE students (2003-2004 FTE enrollments<sup>9</sup>). Roughly one-third of these students attend the public four-year institutions in Washington and about half of the total enrollment is accounted for by enrollments in the public community and technical college system. Just under 12 percent of the total enrollment in the public colleges and universities is nonresident. Out-of-state enrollment is highest at the graduate level with 47 percent of graduate and professional students coming from out-of-state. The four-year public colleges and universities attract 13 percent of their undergraduate students from out-of-state, while the two-year public colleges attract less than five percent of students from out-of-state.

The figures for the public four-year colleges and universities indicate that all institutions have some capacity for additional FTEs, provided appropriate operating and capital funding is allocated. However, the regional colleges and universities are more limited in the number of students they would be able to add than are the research universities and branch campuses. The regional four-year institutions could add a combine total of 7,422 FTEs, or 24 percent, at their main campuses if they grow to full capacity. The research universities could add an additional 11,473, or 23 percent, at their main campuses and 12,821, or 283 percent, at the branch campuses, for a total possible growth in existing four-year institutions of 31,716 FTE, or 37 percent. While the HECB does not have an estimated growth limit for the community and technical college system, the data suggest that the system has been operating well beyond current capacity. For example, based on HECB utilization standards, the community and technical college system currently has classroom space to accommodate 84,122 students, yet the system enrolled 138,241 students in 2003-2004. Throughout the system, additional growth could be accommodated through expansion of off campus centers and teaching sites and increased delivery of coursework and programs through distance education.

Two estimates of possible growth are shown for the subset of private institutions that are members of the Independent Colleges of Washington (ICW). The first estimate is based on responses to a capacity survey conducted by the HECB. The second estimate is possible growth in targeted academic areas at ICW schools, provided state financial aid grows proportionally to fund the additional students. The growth estimates for the remaining private institutions are based on responses to the HECB survey. In total, the private colleges and universities could add between 10,948 to 16,626 additional FTEs (a growth of 26-39 percent) to the state's higher education capacity.

---

<sup>9</sup> Enrollments reported do not include self-support and contract enrollments at the public colleges and universities.

**Table 1**  
**Institutional Funding, Enrollments, and Capacity**

<b>Institution</b>	<b>State Funded FTE (2003–2004)</b>	<b>Actual FTE (2003-2004)*</b>	<b>Capacity (Planned Growth and/or Institutional Growth Limits)</b>
Central Washington University	7,809	U Grad 8,289 Grad 362 Total 8,657	9,819
Eastern Washington University	8,150	U Grad 7,604 Grad 999 Total 8,603	11,175
The Evergreen State College	3,871	U Grad 3,717 Grad 239 Total 3,957	5,000
University of Washington	32,458	U Grad 22,482 Grad 9347 Total 31,829	38,410
University of Washington, Bothell	1,235	U Grad 1,097 Grad 162 Total 1,259	6,000
University of Washington, Tacoma	1,494	U Grad 1,258 Grad 258 Total 1,516	5,901
Washington State University	17,479	U Grad 13,905 Grad 3,437 Total 17,342	23,000
Washington State University, Spokane	616	U Grad 107 Grad 489 Total 597	n/a
Washington State University, Tri-Cities	633	U Grad 426 Grad 224 Total 649	1,799
Washington State University, Vancouver	1,162	U Grad 946 Grad 311 Total 1,257	3,645
Western Washington University	11,242	U Grad 10,312 Grad 587 Total 10,899	12,500
Private Not for Profit (ICW)**	n/a	29,977	33,299** – 38,977***
Private Not for Profit (Other)**	n/a	5,752	8,432
Private For Profit**	n/a	6,597	11,543
Community & Technical Colleges		138,241	n/a
Private Two-Year or Less	n/a	8,001	n/a


\*Enrollments reported do not include self-support and contract enrollments at the public colleges and universities.

\*\*Estimates based on Spring 2004 HECB Survey of Private Institutions in Washington State. FTE Enrollment Estimates for 2002-2003 academic year. Capacity based on Projected FTE in 2009-2010 academic year.

\*\*\*Possible growth in ICW schools between 2004-05 and 2012-13 given increases in state financial aid to fund additional students. Based on ICW Capacity Survey 2004.


The total number of bachelor’s degrees produced in Washington has increased in the past three years, from 24,457 in 2002 to 27,240 in 2004. At the baccalaureate level, the most notable increases occur in the humanities (which includes liberal arts and sciences), education, and computer science, with growth of 18 percent, 25 percent, and 23 percent respectively. Math and health majors also saw double digit increases in the number of degrees awarded over the past three years. Life sciences and social/behavioral sciences were relatively flat; all other majors grew between six percent and eight percent over the three year period, from 2002 to 2004 (see Figure 2 below).

**Figure 2**  
**Degrees Awarded by Broad Academic Area**  
 (See Appendix B-1 for a listing of academic programs included under each heading)



Graduate degrees exhibited greater variation over the three year period. Overall, 981 additional graduate and professional degrees were awarded in 2004 over the 2002 level, an increase of nine percent. Growth was especially robust in humanities (27 percent), computer science (18 percent), education (15 percent), and business (14 percent). Graduate and professional degrees classified in “other technical/professional degrees” increased by 12 percent, which was accounted for primarily by 116 additional professional and masters degrees in law. The number of graduate degrees produced in math, physical science, health, and engineering declined by 21 percent, 15 percent, 10 percent, and 8 percent respectively (see Figure 3).

Figure 3




### ***Workforce Supply***

*Workforce supply* is a measure of the number of prepared workers available to take positions in the workforce. Because not all graduates enter the labor force immediately, the workforce supply is less than the annual number of degrees produced in a given academic field.

Baccalaureate graduates who do not enter the workforce and those who enroll in graduate school full time are excluded from the estimate of workforce supply; the remaining 81 percent of baccalaureate graduates are included in the baccalaureate workforce supply estimate. The number of graduate degree recipients is reduced based on labor force participation rates by degree level. On average, 87 percent of graduate degree recipients are estimated to enter the workforce. The supply of workers does include graduates of Washington institutions who are not residents of Washington, including international students. International students account for 3.1 percent of undergraduate degrees awarded in Washington and 9.3 percent of graduate degrees (see Figure 3).

Workforce supply estimates are summarized by major field of study and degree level in Figure 4. The figure shows that professional degrees are concentrated in health fields and “other technical/professional.” All of the professional degrees in the “other” category are due to the inclusion of law degrees in this category. The majority of master’s degrees (56 percent) are produced in education and business.

Figure 4



**Demand**

Three estimates of demand are used in the assessment. Student demand is an estimate of the number of students who are expected to enter the higher education system. Employer demand is the number of workers, including the training level and major area of study, required to meet employers’ demand for workers. Finally, community demand brings in additional information from a variety of sources to assess the demand for education expressed by community constituents.


**Student Demand**

Two approaches to estimating student demand are used in the statewide estimates. First is the traditional approach used in Washington which is to estimate the total number of FTEs in the system at a future year based on the current level of service. This is done by applying the current college participation rate to state population projections in order to estimate the size of the system if current participation rates were carried forward into the future.

In the *2004 Strategic Master Plan for Higher Education*, the HECB took a new approach to project student enrollments. Rather than base projections on historic participation, the HECB approach is to project the number of degrees awarded based on historic trends then back into an estimate of enrollments based on historic FTE/degree ratios. Finally, the report will include a discussion of impacted majors where projections may underestimate actual demand due to

limited participation resulting from enrollment caps or other structural impediments to student enrollment.

Figure 5




Based on current participation rates, enrollments would be expected to grow to 99,677 FTE in the public four-year system and 149,543 in the public two-year system, for a total of 249,220 students in 2010, an increase of 21,041 students over 2004 actual enrollment levels<sup>10</sup> and 24,836 over 2006-2007 budgeted enrollment levels.

<sup>10</sup> Note: Estimates based on current participation rates are higher than the latest OFM estimates (May 2005) due primarily in a difference in the base year. (HECB estimate uses 2003-2004 while the most recent OFM estimate uses 2004-2005 estimate.) Because enrollment in the community and technical colleges was significantly lower in 2004-2005, the total estimate is also reduced. The enrollment drop was driven in large part by limits in adult basic education; however, the need has not degenerated so the higher estimate based on 2003-2004 service levels is the preferred estimate. Enrollment figures include only state funded FTEs.

The number of degrees awarded has shown an upward trend over the past 14 years. Based on this trend, the HECB projects student demand for degrees of 31,469 by 2010 and 33,511 by 2020. Graduate degree awards have shown a similar upward trend; HECB estimates 11,860 graduate and professional degree awards in 2010 (see Figure 6).

**Figure 6**



Estimates of the number of degree awards are used to estimate the system FTE required to produce those degrees (see Figure 7). The analysis yields an estimated total system size of 326,692 FTE by 2010, an increase of 52,750 over 2004 enrollment levels. Of this total, 44,562 additional FTEs would be in the public sector<sup>11</sup> with 26,889 in the two-year colleges and 17,672 in the four-year colleges<sup>12</sup>. The projected increase over current enrollment would be 8,188 in the private sector. While there is sufficient capacity in the public and private four-year colleges and universities to accommodate estimated demand (provided appropriate capital and operating funding is provided for the four-year public institutions and proportional growth in state financial aid programs for the privates), expansion in the two-year sector is a greater concern as the 2004 enrollment levels were already well beyond capacity. While a portion of the expected growth may be met with greater expansion of the four-year public institutions and/or private institutions, it is important to note that the community and technical colleges provide a range of education and training programs, only about 40 percent of the enrollments are in the “academic transfer” programs with curricula similar to that offered in lower-division coursework at the four-year public institutions. Additionally, statewide capacity does not translate into capacity in the right place so the regional profiles included in the next section will be important in understanding access in regions of the state.

<sup>11</sup> Based on results of the HECB survey of expected growth of the private colleges, the growth in enrollments at the private institutions is expected to keep pace with growth in the public sector; therefore, the ratio of enrollments in public and private institutions is assumed to remain constant over the period of the projections.

<sup>12</sup> Due to over enrollments in the public colleges and universities, the actual increase over 2006-2007 budgeted enrollments would be 48,481.

Figure 7

FTE Estimates based on  
Projected Student Demand for Degrees





Figure 8

### Budgeted and Projected Public College and University FTEs



While budgeted FTEs have been increasing, they are not growing fast enough to catch up with projected enrollments based on the current level of and population growth or demand for degrees (see Figure 8).

Specific majors identified by institutions as “impacted” or “competitive” are those majors in which student demand is consistently greater than space available in the programs. Often these programs have specific pre-requisite coursework required for admission and, in some cases, entry to a major will be based on a competitive admission process. Majors identified by institutions include architecture, business, communications, computer science and informatics, engineering, elementary education, nursing, and psychology.

#### ***Employer Demand***

*Employer demand* is defined as the annual number of net job openings by occupation. Two measures of demand are reported. Entry level demand is based on the standard Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) training levels assigned to all occupations. Ultimate demand is based on HECB analysis of the training levels of the existing workforce (based on 2000 census data). The HECB approach assumes the BLS level is the minimum training level for entry to an occupation and census data is used to assess the degree to which workers in a given occupation hold a degree at a level higher than the minimum. To simplify the discussion, this will be referred to as additional training. However, it is important to note that for many occupations there is not a neat progression or sequence to training. In fact, there are several training pathways for entry into occupations, and/or varying incentives and pathways to receive additional training once

employed in the occupation. The analysis can provide a range of training needs for an occupation, but it cannot distinguish between training before entry and training received while working in the profession. An additional complexity is that in some instances additional training may move a worker from one occupation to another, especially in occupations requiring less training. The HECB analysis accounts for this by assuming a ceiling for the training level of those occupations requiring short-term or little formal training (see appendix A for a more detailed discussion of the HECB analysis).

As shown in Figure 9, the HECB approach estimates fewer workers with lower training levels and more workers with higher levels of training. These differences are a reflection of the factors discussed above. While the BLS estimates assume all positions in a given occupation require a single training level, the HECB approach reflects the actual workforce. Workers may enter with a higher level of training than assigned by BLS or they may gain additional training. For example, a worker may enter with short-term training then move to mid-level over time by completing an associate degree. At the same time, workers with an associate degree may complete a bachelor's and thus move up a category.

Figure 9




Figure 10 shows the number of workers requiring a bachelor's degree for entry to occupations and as an ultimate training requirement. A number of occupations have substantial additional training requirements as measured by the gap between entry requirement and ultimate training

requirement. In many cases, workers will enter the occupation with the higher level of training; in other cases, the workers will need to seek additional education. Healthcare practitioners and technical occupations stand out as an area where a substantial number of workers enter the occupation with a bachelor's or complete a bachelor's while working when less than a bachelor's is required using the BLS training level. Baccalaureate training for nurses accounts for 47 percent of the difference between entry and ultimate training requirements. The training requirement for nursing, according to BLS, is an associate degree; however, a substantial number of nurses go on to receive a bachelor's degree (and in many cases higher degrees) while working and a significant portion of new nurses receive their training and licensure through a baccalaureate level program rather than an associate level program.

Also within the broad area of healthcare practitioners and technical occupations, 79 percent of clinical and medical lab technologists and technicians enter with a bachelor's degree or higher or earn a degree and continue employment in the occupation.

Figure 10

**Projected Annual Openings for Workers with Baccalaureate Degree or Higher, by Occupation: 2007-2012**

Source: HECB Estimate Based on May 2005 Employment Security Projections





Figure 11 provides the same information aggregated in to the groupings used in later analysis. Medical professions again stand out as an area with significant need for higher levels of training. Also evident is a high proportion of openings in agriculture, construction, production, transportation, and sales and service occupations requiring higher levels of training. While these are dispersed across a variety of industries and occupations, most of the positions that require higher levels of training are supervisory and/or highly technical (e.g., pilots, air-traffic controllers, insurance, securities, commodities, and financial services sales agents).

**Figure 11**


**Projected Annual Openings for Workers with a Baccalaureate Degree or Higher, by Occupation: 2007-2012**



**Matching Workforce Supply and Employer Demand**

An aggregate match of workforce supply and employer demand shows that total workforce supply (annual graduates entering the workforce) is roughly equal to employer demand for 2007-2012, at least at the baccalaureate and masters level. However, the aggregate estimate is sensitive to changes in the overall employment forecast and masks shortages in particular occupational areas that will be the focus of this section.

Figure 12



\* Mid-level supply is based on 2002-2003 data.

The supply of workers with a BA or higher in 2004 was 31,163 and estimated demand in 2007-2012 is 30,242. Demand in specific occupations, however, is not met by current supply. Matching with the ultimate demand measure, current degree production only meets 67 percent of the need in engineering, software engineering, and architecture and 56 percent of the need in computer science. Current degree production is sufficient to meet 65 percent of the need for additional training in the medical professions, 75 percent of the need in editing, writing and performing occupations, and protective service occupations, and 89 percent of the need in research, scientific, and technical occupations. Demand for degrees is being met (or exceeded) in administrative, clerical, and legal occupations, agriculture, construction, production, and transportation occupations, and sales and service occupations. It is important to note, however, that these are broad occupational groupings with a range of training needs within each group.


A review of the degree/occupation matrix (see Appendix G) shows the association between academic programs and employment in occupations. Based on the matrix data, demand in engineering, software engineering and architecture would best be met through increased enrollments in engineering. Demand in computer science would best be met through increased enrollments in computer and information systems. Close to half of the need in medical professions was due to training needs for nurses, so increases in nursing programs would be recommended, as would increases in other health related programs. Humanities is the most common area of study of workers entering occupations classified in the editing, writing, and performing category. Humanities graduates are well represented across a number of other occupational areas as well, an indication that these students are well prepared for a range of occupational paths and a reflection of the fact that humanities is the largest of the academic areas included in this analysis. Human and protective service occupations rely most heavily on

graduates of social science programs. Finally, preparation for the research and science occupations is generally met through programs in life sciences, physical sciences, and social sciences. The gap in research and science occupations may be exacerbated over time by flat growth in baccalaureate degrees in life sciences and social sciences and declines in graduate degrees in math, physical science, health, and engineering.

It is important to note that each occupational area may have specific training needs. The analysis above indicates the most common academic training area for occupations that exhibit a gap between the supply and demand for trained workers. However, up to half the training needs for positions in these occupations may occur in academic programs other than those listed. For example, while 58 percent of computer/information systems graduates entering the workforce find employment in computer science, they make up only 26 percent of the entering workforce in that field. At the same time, nine percent of business/management graduates take jobs in computer science and make up 24 percent of the entering workforce (see Appendix G).

**Figure 13**

**Education Supply and Demand**  
**2004 Supply of Workers with BA or higher, and Employer Demand**



**Community Demand**

*Community demand* is the demand for institutions, degrees, or programs expressed by communities. Assessment of community demand allows for consideration of elements not included in the above projections, such as economic development plans in a given region or community, arrival, or departure of major industry or employer, new technology, or other developments that may not be readily picked up in the projections described above.

The Department of Community Trade and Economic Development (CTED) identifies strategic economic development goals for the state. The selection process involves analysis of research on industry developments in Washington, local economic development goals, and an assessment of where CTED resources would be most effective. Local workforce development areas also set goals for economic development within the region. These are discussed in the regional profile section of this report.

The industries identified as the focus of statewide economic development activities include value added agriculture, wood products, technology, aerospace, tourism, biotechnology, and marine services.

The occupations associated with growth in a number of these industries would require training through programs that are in many cases in very short supply. Specifically, the need for workers with training in engineering and computer science would be essential for growth in aerospace and technology occupations. Biotechnology relies heavily on the strength of the research infrastructure which would include research universities and other publicly and privately funded research centers for basic research. In addition, the industry relies heavily on significant numbers of workers with strong background in math and science.

It is important to note that all fields are becoming more complex and require workers prepared with higher levels of education than in the past. For example, in the wood products industry, a key area for growth is in engineered wood products. Development of these products and manufacturing processes requires higher levels of education than traditionally associated with the industry. In addition, there is a continuing trend toward the development of new harvesting techniques to comply with regulatory issues. This, too, has an impact on training needs.

A similar trend exists in value added agriculture where additional training is required to efficiently produce the raw materials for production and to develop ways to add value and effectively market products. A key example in Washington is the development of wineries throughout the state that rely on Washington-grown grapes. The wineries not only add value by providing a much higher economic benefit to the state than would be realized by simply producing and exporting grapes, but wineries also have a spin-off benefit through increased tourism.

While health care is not included as an area of focus for economic development, it is cited as a key area of growth.<sup>13</sup> As discussed in earlier sections, training needs in health care are significant at all levels. For example, nursing education is in high demand at the entry level (predominately provided at the associate degree level, but also substantial numbers of new nurses receive initial training at the baccalaureate level) but there is also need for students to continue on for master's and doctorate degrees in nursing to train the next generation of nurses. A recent

---

<sup>13</sup> Cluster Strategies for Washington: Report for the Office of Trade and Economic Development. Paul Sommers, December 2001. A detailed analysis of needs in health care is provided in "Progress 2004: A Report of the Health Care Personnel Shortage Task Force."

report from the health care personnel shortage task force indicates high levels of need and difficulty hiring qualified workers in a wide range of health care occupations at all educational levels.<sup>14</sup>

The University of Washington, with funding from the Sloan Foundation, conducted a series of surveys and interviews to assess the demand for degrees and programs in Washington state.<sup>15</sup> As part of the study, researchers interviewed community and business leaders around the state about economic development and educational opportunities for Washington colleges and universities. The interviews were designed to provide information on new and emerging areas of statewide economic development, determine the level of education and skills required to support this development, and assess the scope of new employment opportunities that might result.

The interviews indicated a concern that the market is becoming increasingly competitive, resulting in consolidation and increased attention to efficiency. In response, employers report that they have become more selective in the hiring process. Workers with a deeper and more sophisticated skill set are at a distinct advantage in this environment. Ideally, workers would develop a mix of technical skills and management, communication, and team work skills. This is consistent with findings reported in the 2004 employer survey conducted by the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board which finds that employers reporting difficulty finding qualified applicants most often cite lack of occupation specific skills and/or lack of problem-solving and communication skills or positive work habits and attitudes.

According to UW study participants, a number of occupational areas are also facing significant retirements in the coming years. This is a special concern in government, education, health care, and engineering professions.

The study identifies health care and education as two key areas that will experience significant levels of new hiring due to a combination of growth and replacement of departing workers. In education, the need is most pronounced in special education, speech pathology, and school psychologists. Retirements will also significantly increase the need for administrators in the K-12 system.<sup>16</sup>

Real estate, construction, and related finance occupations were also identified as key growth industries. This growth will primarily affect higher education in the need for additional training in architecture, engineering, construction management, economics, and finance. An additional

---

<sup>14</sup> Progress 2004: A Report of the Health Care Personnel Shortage Task Force. Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board.

<sup>15</sup> Private and Public Leader Interviews On Economic Development and Education Opportunities for Washington State Universities and Colleges. Draft report prepared by Ryan Landtroop, University of Washington. July 2005.

<sup>16</sup> The data are consistent with a more complete set of findings related to needs in the K-12 system identified in the “2004 Report on Educator Supply and Demand in Washington State” released by the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction which indicates considerable shortage in special education and in a range of administrative positions, including speech pathology, occupational and physical therapy, and school psychology. Some shortage is indicated in 21/36 teaching areas and most administrative areas.


impact on many of these programs will come from continuing population growth and economic development which will drive additional needs in transportation and urban planning.

Other areas that will impact higher education training needs would be an increased need for training in accounting, resulting from new reporting regulations. Developments in high technology will focus primarily in computer security and technology commercialization, requiring additional training in computer science and business.

### VII. Regional Needs

Regional analysis is based on Workforce Development Areas (WDA) (see appendix C) with an additional area of special analysis which includes the Snohomish WDA and part of the Northwest Washington WDA to include Snohomish, Island, and Skagit counties (SIS). The thirteen regional profiles included in this section provide regional measures of student, community, and workforce needs for higher education.

**Figure 14**  
**Workforce Development Areas**



### ***Regional Student Demand***

Regional education supply will focus on two aspects of supply. First, institutions located within a region are identified. Second, institutions serving an area based on student enrollment patterns are described.

Regional student demand is assessed based on a measure of access to higher education. For this purpose, the participation rate for the region will be compared with the state average participation rate (taking differences in distribution of age by region into account).

### ***Workforce Needs***

Workforce supply is not regionalized because a number of programs are limited to only one or a few institutions in the state; however, because there are significant regional differences in the growth and need for specific occupations by region, the analysis will include data on key occupations in the region requiring mid-term and long-term training.

### ***Regional Community Needs***

Each region has unique needs and developmental goals. The community needs analyses will consider regional development goals for region, industry, or demographic changes not accounted for in other estimates or other information about the region that may impact academic planning.

### **Statewide Programs**

Certain programs and major lines of study are uniquely assigned to one institution or offered by a limited number of institutions in the state (RCW 28B.10.100, RCW 28B.10.120). See Appendix D for a listing of current statewide programs. The HECB may recommend changes to these designations as part of the needs assessment process (RCW 28B.76.230) and its review of institutional role and mission (RCW 28B.76.200).

### **Regional Needs Assessment Summary**

#### ***Student Demand: Growth “Pressure Points”***

Regions in which we anticipate the greatest enrollment pressure due to population increases include Southwest Washington, Skagit, Island, and Snohomish (SIS) Counties, and King County. The first two regions are projected to need at least a 15 percent increase over current enrollments to accommodate greater numbers of students due to population growth. Growth in the SIS region is primarily driven by projected population increases in Snohomish County. It is also of note that there will be a significant need for enrollment increases in King County. Though the percentage increase is only nine percent, the total FTE increase is 3,651, the largest anticipated

increase in the state. In total, projected FTE growth from these three regions resulting from anticipated population growth accounts for roughly 54 percent of total state growth projections.

The Southwest region is already served by a branch campus of WSU and recommended growth in enrollment follows with previous recommendations made by the HECB to expand the WSU-Vancouver campus to include lower-division students. The HECB, NBBJ of Seattle, and MGT of Olympia are currently conducting additional analyses to identify both the unmet higher education needs in Snohomish, Island, and Skagit Counties as well as the most appropriate and cost-effective delivery methods. King County has solid institutional infrastructure in place that will likely need to be expanded to accommodate increased enrollments before 2010. The state's community and technical colleges continue to provide roughly 67 percent of all state funded public enrollments and 84 percent of lower-division enrollments in Southwest Washington, King County, and SIS. Given the high percentage of students who enroll in community and technical colleges, capacity at these institutions must increase to meet future demand.

### ***Student Demand: Room for Growth***

There are several regions that have large disparity between their region's participation in higher education and the state average, including Southwest, Northwest, Tri-County, and Eastern. Each of these areas would need to increase their current enrollments by 30 percent over current levels to match the average participation rate for Washington.

Enrollment patterns from each region suggest that a large percentage of students stay within the region to attend college. For instance, 34 percent of students who call the Tri-County region home attend Central Washington University, 44 percent of students who attend a four-year institution from the Northwest region go the WWU, and over 60 percent of four-year students from the Eastern region attend either WSU or EWU (see appendices for further details). It is also of note that the Eastern and Tri-County regions are the only two in the state in which the majority of students who attend college do so at a four-year school.

The four regions are good targets for increasing the college participation rate and, subsequently, the number of degrees Washington produces. Not only does each of the regions exhibit the greatest gap between regional participation rates and the state average, each is already served by a public four-year institution that attracts high percentages of students from the region. As the state looks for different strategies for increasing the number of four-year degrees produced, both two- and four-year schools in each region could play active roles in encouraging more of their citizens to choose higher education.

### ***Workforce Supply Trends***

As is true with the rest of the nation, most regions within Washington are experiencing a shift away from manufacturing and toward service, technology, and other related industries. In several less densely populated regions of the state, this trend has had an especially large impact on agribusiness and natural resource extraction industries (see regional reports for Olympic

Consortium, Pacific Mountain Consortium, Tri-County, and Eastern). This trend has significant consequences for both two- and four-year higher education institutions.

First, the number of occupations which pay a “family wage” with no postsecondary education is decreasing; production and manufacturing jobs available to citizens with a high school degree are more scarce than they were in 1980 (Employment Security Department, 2005). Many of the jobs in the new regional economies require varying levels of college education and an increased number of people are projected to enter the system. Growth in health care occupations, including nurses and medical technicians (both require either Associate or Baccalaureate training), top almost every region’s list of key growth occupations. Expansion in the government sector is also common to almost every region. Key growth occupations in this category include teachers and educational support personnel as well as social workers and counselors. As the state continues to expand, many regions across the state also project growth in the construction sector and anticipate increased need for carpenters, electricians, and managers for construction trades. This trend is true for both urban and rural areas.

Although counties along the I-5 corridor match the rest of the state regarding projected increases in construction as well as in health care related fields, they differ from most other areas of the state due to the “clustering” of information and biomedical technology occupations. Each of the latter two categories is slated for increased growth, especially in King and Snohomish Counties. Two areas in Eastern Washington, the Tri-Cities area of the Benton-Franklin region and Spokane, also have technology clusters and anticipate significant growth in this sector.

Shifts in industrial patterns combined with the incorporation of high-tech operations into businesses in any sector increase the need for incumbent and displaced worker retraining. Employers in the majority of regions across the state are working with institutions, predominantly community colleges and technical schools, to help workers update their skills to remain competitive. Additionally, workforce boards have identified worker retraining as a key to their regions’ economic stability. In rural areas, planners are targeting distance education (via the World Wide Web or interactive television) to meet the postsecondary training needs of their citizens.

## **Olympic Consortium Regional Needs Assessment**

### ***Regional Student Demand***

The Olympic Consortium includes Clallum, Jefferson, and Kitsap Counties and has a population of 335,327, roughly 71 percent of which lives in Kitsap County. The region has three colleges that provide regional enrollment data; one private-non-profit four-year and two public-two-year institutions providing 7,519 full time equivalent (FTE) enrollments (see Figure 15). Several other institutions operate programs within the region but report enrollment data at a state-level rather than by region; they are included in the “other” category.

**Table 15**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the Olympic Consortium**


Institution Sector	Name	Location	Size (FTE)
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	Northwest College of Art	Poulsbo	324
Public Two-Year	Olympic College	Bremerton	4,724
Public Two-Year	Peninsula College	Port Angeles	2,471
Public and Private Four-Year	Other <sup>17</sup>	Various	***
		subtotal	7,519

Source: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, Peer Analysis System

**Student Preference**

Approximately 9,420 people in the region attend college, 61 percent of whom attend a two-year school while the remaining 39 percent attend a four-year institution. The University of Washington’s Seattle campus is the most popular choice, with nearly one third of students in the region enrolled. Washington State University and Western Washington University are second, attracting roughly the same percentage of students from the region (see Figure 16).

**Figure 16**  
**Olympic Consortium**  
**Total Enrollments by Home Region of Student**  
 2-Year: Public Community/Technical Colleges  
 4-Year: Public and ICW




Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
 Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
 ICW: survey of institutions.  
 NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
 4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.

<sup>17</sup> The “other” category includes City University, Northwest Indian College, Southern Illinois University, as well as limited degree programs from UW, WSU, and WWU.

The population in the region is projected to continue its growth and, as a result, the number of enrollments from the region is also projected to increase if the same proportion of the population chooses to attend college. Based on the HECB simulation model, enrollments in the lower-division are projected to increase from 7,122 FTE in 2003-04 to 7,921 FTE in 2010-11, just to maintain the current regional participation rate. However, if participation rates in the region increased to meet the state average, then lower-division enrollments would reach 8698 FTE by 2010 (see Figure 17).

**Figure 17**

**Olympic Consortium - Lower Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**




Source: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15, Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005

The same trend is true for upper-division enrollments. Based on population growth, enrollments would increase from 1,766 FTE in 2003-04 to 2,255 FTE in 2010-11. However, if a higher percentage of the population decided to go to college and, for instance, if preference matched the state average, enrollments would increase to 2,192 by 2010 (see Figure 18).

**Figure 18**

**Olympic Consortium - Upper Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



Source: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15, Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005

**Regional Workforce Demand**

One of the key challenges facing the region is the decline of the timber, fishing, and military-related industries and the transition to service and construction. Many of the high-wage jobs in the first group of industries, which required little formal education, are being replaced with either low-wage/low-skill jobs in service or construction sectors or high-wage/high-skill openings in government or health care related industries. The latter will require college training and local planners are working with businesses, citizens, and higher education to make sure that tomorrow’s workforce is aware of this need.

Between 2002 and 2012, the counties of the Olympic Consortium are expected to have a diverse set of openings in key fields in the region. As mentioned above, occupations in the government sector, especially as they relate to education and the defense industry, will all be in high demand. Occupations related to health care are also projected to grow rapidly. The following tables produced by the Labor Market and Economic Analysis branch of the Employment Security Department list middle-level and long preparation occupations that they estimate will have the highest number of openings between now and 2012 (see Figures 19 and 20).

**Figure 19  
Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

Occupational Titles	Average	Unemployment **	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
	Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Insurance Ratio 2003	
Registered Nurses	77	1.1%	\$54,250
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers	69	1.3%	\$36,280
Carpenters	62	12.1%	\$35,040
Plumbers, Pipefitters, and Steamfitters	43	4.9%	\$49,990
Electricians	43	10.8%	\$46,780
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers	43	1.2%	\$48,440
Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Workers, All Other	41	0.3%	\$46,710
Supervisors/Managers of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers	39	1.2%	\$60,510
Cooks, Restaurant	39	6.9%	\$20,850
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General	30	2.2%	\$33,540
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics	30	5.8%	\$43,440
Welders, Cutters, Solderers, and Brazers	29	6.5%	\$45,330
Supervisors/Managers of Mechanics, Installers, and Repairers	27	2.3%	\$59,440
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers	26	1.2%	\$31,800
Drafters, Engineering, and Mapping Technicians, All Other	26	*N/A	\$63,580

Source: Occupational Outlook published by the Employment Security Department, Labor Market and Economic Analysis Branch, 2005. Available at [www.workforceexplorer.com](http://www.workforceexplorer.com).

**Figure 20**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Long Preparation**

 <b>Long Preparation</b> (Four years or more of academic work, bachelor's degree or higher; may require additional work experience.)	Average	Unemployment **	Estimated
	Total Openings 2002-2012	Insurance Ratio 2003	Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>			
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education	65	2.0%	\$43,930
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Vocational Education	49	2.0%	\$42,460
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other	40	1.9%	\$28,260
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Vocational Education	37	2.0%	\$44,060
General and Operations Managers	31	1.6%	\$97,890
Accountants and Auditors	22	1.4%	\$53,240
Management Analysts	20	1.6%	\$60,740
Recreation Workers	19	1.4%	\$23,380
Rehabilitation Counselors	18	1.3%	\$30,660
Nuclear Engineers	18	0.7%	\$66,720
Mechanical Engineers	17	1.0%	\$69,790
Insurance Sales Agents	15	2.3%	\$34,860
Electronics Engineers, Except Computer	15	1.1%	\$73,320
Computer Programmers	13	1.7%	\$66,000
Dentists	13	2.3%	\$197,190

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

Source: Occupational Outlook published by the Employment Security Department, Labor Market and Economic Analysis Branch, 2005. Available at [www.workforceexplorer.com](http://www.workforceexplorer.com).

***Regional Community Demand***

As noted earlier in the analysis, the Olympic Consortium is going through some transition in their industry patterns. Decline in the timber, lumber, and fishing industries has been replaced with growth in service and construction. The federal government remains a significant employer (the largest in Kitsap County) which stimulates “spill-over” expansion in the retail and service sectors as well as in engineering and management. Thus, it appears that both workforce preparatory and baccalaureate education will continue to be required by local employers. However, it is also of note that many youth in the region are migrating to the I-5 corridor for education and employment opportunities. Regional planners have therefore made it a goal in their strategic plan to work with employers and higher education institutions to increase access and make youth aware of opportunities within the region.

## Pacific Mountain Consortium Needs Assessment

### *Regional Student Demand*

The Pacific Mountain Consortium includes the five counties of Grays Harbor, Thurston, Mason, Pacific, and Lewis with a population of 434,992. The region has five colleges: one public four-year, one private four-year, and three public two-year institutions that provide 11,909 full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollments (see Figure 21).

**Figure 21**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the Pacific Mountain Consortium**


<b>Institution Sector</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size (FTE)</b>
Public Four-Year	The Evergreen State College	Olympia	3,957
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	Saint Martins College	Lacey	581
Public Two-Year	Centralia College	Centralia	2,129
Public Two-Year	Grays Harbor College	Aberdeen	1,647
Public Two-Year	South Puget Sound Community College	Olympia	3,595
		subtotal	11,909

### *Student Preference*

Roughly 14,671 students from the region attend college and almost 43 percent of them do so at a four-year institution. Of those students, 22 percent prefer to attend private four-year schools, while The Evergreen State College draws the largest number of students who attend a public university. Evergreen is closely followed by the UW and WSU in the number of enrollments from the region (see Figure 22).

Figure 22

**Pacific Mountain Consortium  
Total Enrollments by Home Region of Student  
2-Year: Public Community/Technical Colleges  
4-Year: Public and ICW**




Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
ICW: survey of institutions.

NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.


The Pacific Mountain region continues to experience population growth and the state will need to increase capacity to achieve the current level of service for Pacific Mountain students. Based on HECB lower-division enrollment projections, FTEs will increase from 10,914 in 2003-04 to 12,284 in 2010-11, provided that the same percentage of the population opts to attend college. This percentage, or participation rate, is very close to the state average. However, if the rate were to match the state average in the region, an additional 371 FTEs would be needed, bringing the enrollment projection to 12,655 in 2010-11 (see Figure 23).

Figure 23



The same trend is true for upper-division students, in which enrollments would need to increase from 2,795 FTE in 2003-04 to 3,242 FTE in 2010-11. However, the upper-division participation essentially matches the state average, requiring only 20 additional FTE to exactly match (see Figure 24).

Figure 24



**Regional Workforce Demand**

The five counties that make up the Pacific Mountain region, with the exception of Thurston, have been dependent on the foresting and lumber products industries for the highest share of employment. Despite continued importance, this sector has been in decline for the past several years and new areas of growth have begun to replace some of the timber sector jobs. Above average growth projections in the health care, service, wholesale/retail trade, and tourism sectors have created new jobs, many of which require college education. Government has also provided a high percentage of employment, especially in Thurston County, and need for educational professionals, technology staff, and finance specialists is also projected to grow. Information regarding key middle-level and long preparation occupations is summarized in Tables 2 and 3 below.

**Table 2  
Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

	<b>Middle-Level Preparation</b> (One to four years of training on the job, through an employer or institutional instruction, or a combination, including apprenticeships, certificates, diplomas, or associate degrees.)	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>				
Registered Nurses		100	0.9%	*N/A
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers		80	2.5%	\$41,440
Carpenters		79	15.8%	\$39,110
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers		58	1.3%	\$42,400
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General		50	2.6%	\$35,740
Cooks, Restaurant		44	7.3%	\$21,200
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics		38	7.3%	\$35,010
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers		37	1.2%	\$30,540
Police and Sheriff's Patrol Officers		37	2.3%	\$48,840
Computer Support Specialists		36	3.1%	\$45,920
Electricians		35	14.3%	\$54,900
Cooks, Institution and Cafeteria		34	6.0%	\$23,960
Supervisors/Managers of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers		33	2.5%	\$53,530
Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses		30	2.5%	\$32,450
Medical Secretaries		27	1.7%	\$27,820

**Table 3  
Key Occupations Requiring Long Preparation**

	<b>Long Preparation</b> (Four years or more of academic work, bachelor's degree or higher; may require additional work experience.)	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>				
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education		81	0.4%	\$43,290
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education		75	*N/A	\$42,250
Accountants and Auditors		73	1.9%	\$50,440
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other		54	*N/A	\$31,430
General and Operations Managers		49	1.1%	\$100,470
Computer Programmers		45	1.0%	\$55,820
Rehabilitation Counselors		42	0.6%	\$29,140
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education		37	0.9%	\$42,760
Civil Engineers		28	0.6%	\$62,010
Recreation Workers		25	1.0%	\$22,420
Administrative Law Judges, Adjudicators, and Hearing Officers		24	0.2%	*N/A
Lawyers		23	0.4%	\$78,010
Special Ed. Teachers, Preschool, Kindergarten, and Elementary School		20	0.8%	\$43,450
Construction Managers		19	6.5%	\$74,130
Counselors, Social, and Religious Workers, All Other		19	*N/A	\$46,540

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

***Regional Community Demand***

The Pacific Mountain region has completed significant analysis in identifying current and future labor market and skill needs. Planners are focused on attracting and retaining highly-skilled workers, especially in the health care, boat building, technology support, corrections, retail, and aquaculture industries. As mentioned above, the region has also long been dependent on the foresting and timber-related industries for its economic strength. However, due to its cyclical nature, technological advances, and the overall decline of the industry in the past decades, workers in the area are being forced to gain new training to fill gaps in emerging industries. Thus, an additional focus of regional planners has been training/upgrading for incumbent or displaced workers in partnership with the areas community colleges.

## Northwest Regional Needs Assessment

### *Regional Student Demand*

The Northwest region includes Whatcom, Skagit, Island, and San Juan Counties and has a population of 376,950, nearly 76 percent of which resides in Whatcom or Skagit counties. The region has five colleges: one public four-year college (WWU) and four public two-year institutions. In combination, the five institutions provide 19,980 full time equivalent (FTE) enrollments (see Table 4).

**Table 4**  
**Colleges or Universities located in the Northwest Region**

<b>Institution Sector</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size (FTE)</b>
Public Four-Year	Western Washington University	Bellingham	10,899
Public Two-Year	Bellingham Technical College	Bellingham	1,710
Public Two-Year	Northwest Indian College	Bellingham	254
Public Two-Year	Skagit Valley College	Mt Vernon	4,059
Public Two-Year	Whatcom Community College	Bellingham	3,058
		subtotal	19,980


Source: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, Peer Analysis System

### *Student Preference*

The region is home to 11,565 students who are currently enrolled in college. Roughly 59 percent of these students attend community or technical colleges. One of the region's greatest strengths is the number of two-year and certificate programs being offered. Under the auspices of the Northwest Partnership for Workforce Development, business leaders, educators, and community leaders have worked together to examine how colleges and business can partner to educate and train the future workforce. This initiative includes a special focus on "lifelong learning" for working adults, who need flexible access to retraining, especially given the region's substantial reduction in the aerospace, pulp/paper, and aluminum manufacturing industries.

The remaining 41 percent of students in the region go to four-year institutions (see Figure 25). Of those students who attend four-year schools, 44 percent attend nearby Western Washington University. This percentage is nearly two and a half times the enrollment of the nearest competitor, the University of Washington's Seattle campus.


**Figure 25**  
**Northwest Washington**  
**Total Enrollments by Home Region of Student**  
 2-Year: Public Community/Technical Colleges  
 4-Year: Public and ICW



Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
 Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
 ICW: survey of institutions.  
 NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
 4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.


The Northwest region continues to experience population growth and the state will need to increase capacity to provide the same level of access to Northwest students. Based on HECB lower-division enrollment projections, FTEs will need to increase from 8,492 in 2003-04 to 9,600 in 2010-11. Despite the presence of five higher education institutions, participation rates in the region remain lower than the state average. However, if participation rates in the region were to match the state average, lower-division enrollments would increase to 11,106 FTE by 2010-11. The same trend is true of upper-division, in which enrollments would need to increase from 2,540 FTE in 2003-04 to 2,933 in 2010-11. If upper-division participation rates were to match the state average, enrollments would increase to 3,297 FTE (see Figures 26 and 27).

**Figure 26**  
**North West Washington - Lower Division:**  
**Public Institution Growth based on**  
**Current Participation Rate Projections**



Source: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15, Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.

**Figure 27**  
**Northwest Washington - Upper Division:**  
**Public Institution Growth based on**  
**Current Participation Rate Projections**



Source: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15, Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.

***Regional Workforce Demand***

Between 2002 and 2012, the counties of the Northwest region are expected to have approximately 1,332 annual job openings in middle-level and long preparation occupations. Occupations in government and educational fields continue to be in high demand, while the region is experiencing rapid expansion in health care related occupations, especially for registered nurses (see Tables 5 and 6).

**Table 5**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

 <b>Middle-Level Preparation</b> (One to four years of training on the job, through an employer or institutional instruction, or a combination, including apprenticeships, certificates, diplomas, or associate degrees.)	Average Annual	Unemployment **	Estimated
	Total Openings 2002-2012	Insurance Ratio 2003	Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>			
Carpenters	119	10.3%	\$41,260
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers	95	1.5%	\$39,140
Registered Nurses	91	0.9%	\$45,410
Electricians	62	11.5%	\$48,710
Cooks, Restaurant	60	4.7%	\$19,830
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General	58	2.2%	\$33,400
Supervisors/Managers of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers	52	2.0%	\$59,930
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers	51	1.7%	\$42,180
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers	40	0.8%	\$29,290
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics	38	6.2%	\$38,040
Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses	31	1.6%	\$31,130
Supervisors/Managers of Personal Service Workers	30	0.3%	\$37,220
Cooks, Institution and Cafeteria	30	5.5%	\$21,350
Plumbers, Pipefitters, and Steamfitters	29	21.5%	\$51,640
Police and Sheriff's Patrol Officers	27	1.7%	\$51,050

Source: Occupational Outlook published by the Employment Security Department, Labor Market and Economic Analysis Branch, 2005. Available at [www.workforceexplorer.com](http://www.workforceexplorer.com).

**Table 6**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Long Preparation**

 <b>Long Preparation</b> (Four years or more of academic work, bachelor's degree or higher; may require additional work experience.)	Average Annual	Unemployment **	Estimated
	Total Openings 2002-2012	Insurance Ratio 2003	Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>			
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education	83	0.3%	\$43,430
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education	55	*N/A	\$43,800
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other	52	*N/A	\$27,600
Accountants and Auditors	52	3.0%	\$50,440
General and Operations Managers	46	0.9%	\$101,640
Construction Managers	35	4.4%	\$75,990
Recreation Workers	35	0.7%	\$18,250
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education	34	1.4%	\$42,440
Graphic Designers	26	1.8%	\$28,850
Rehabilitation Counselors	23	0.4%	\$32,590
Lawyers	18	0.4%	\$70,760
Insurance Sales Agents	17	1.9%	\$56,510
Special Ed. Teachers, Preschool, Kindergarten, and Elementary School	15	1.7%	\$41,960
Counselors, Social, and Religious Workers, All Other	14	*N/A	\$35,160
Child, Family, and School Social Workers	14	3.7%	\$33,920

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

Source: Occupational Outlook published by the Employment Security Department, Labor Market and Economic Analysis Branch, 2005. Available at [www.workforceexplorer.com](http://www.workforceexplorer.com).

### ***Regional Community Demand***

Strategic regional planning by local stakeholders utilizes a compilation of information sources to assess the need for a highly qualified workforce. As with any region, the need for higher education is driven by their specific industry patterns. The Northwest region has completed significant analysis in identifying current and future labor market and skills needs. Regional planners indicate that development in important regional industries like boat building, health care, and manufacturing are important to the continued vitality of the economic climate. Regional planners also note that small and medium size firms dominate the business environment and that the diversity provided by the small firms contributes to regional stability through economic recession. Planners also highlight incumbent worker training/upgrading and recruitment/training for construction and manufacturing occupations as workforce development priorities.

### **Snohomish County Needs Assessment**

#### ***Regional Student Demand***

Snohomish County is located on the northern part of the Puget Sound and has a population of 639,409. The area has grown roughly 5.5 percent since 2000 and that trend is projected to continue through 2010. The county has five colleges or universities; three private four-year, one private, for-profit four-year, and two public two-year institutions. In combination, the five institutions provide 12,061 full time equivalent (FTE) enrollments (see Table 7).

**Table 7**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the Snohomish County Region**


<b>Type of Institution</b>	<b>Number in Region</b>	<b>Size (FTEs)</b>
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	3	484
Private For-Profit	1	1,172
Public Two-Year	2	10,405
total		12,061

#### ***Student Preference***

The county is home to 19,731 students who are currently enrolled in college. Roughly 61 percent of these students attend community or technical colleges, while the remaining 39 percent go to four-year institutions (see Figure 28). Of those students who attend four-year schools, 35 percent attend the University of Washington at the main campus in Seattle. Another 317 students also attend UW, but at the Bothell campus. It is of note that this institution is located just outside the county border, but does include Snohomish County in its primary service area. The Lynnwood branch of Central Washington University, another four-year branch campus, serves 195 students from the region.

**Figure 28**

**Snohomish  
Total Enrollments by Home Region of Student  
2-Year: Public Community/Technical Colleges  
4-Year: Public and ICW**




Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
ICW: survey of institutions.  
NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.

Snohomish County has experienced significant population growth in the last decade and that trend is projected to continue. Based on this growth, lower-division enrollments will increase if the same percentage of the population continues to go to college. Based on HECB projections, enrollments would increase from 15,829 FTE in 2003-04 to 18,310 in 2010-11 (see Figure 29). However, if a higher percentage of people in the region elected to pursue higher education, an even larger increase in FTE is anticipated. For instance, if the regional participation rate matched the state average, lower-division enrollments would increase to 19,041 FTE in 2010-11.


**Figure 29**

**Snohomish - Lower Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



The same trend is true for enrollments in the upper-division. If the participation rate in the county remains the same, enrollments would increase from 3,590 FTE in 2003-04 to 4,276 in 2010-11. If the participation rate increased to match the state average, an additional 338 FTE would be projected for 2010-11 (see Figure 30).

**Figure 30**



***Regional Workforce Demand***

The economy of Snohomish County is diverse and requires a highly skilled workforce. The “backbone” of the regional economy continues to be manufacturing, predominantly in the aerospace sector. Roughly 25 percent of jobs in the county are in this sector, compared with five percent for adjacent King County and six percent for the rest of the state. Consequently, growth in several middle-level and long preparation key regional occupations are clustered in this area (see Table 8 and 9). Additionally, the county anticipates growth in the tourism, health care, biotechnology/bio-medical device, and education sectors – employment trends that are also reflected in the figures on the following page.

**Table 8**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

Occupational Titles	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
	 <p><b>Middle-Level Preparation</b> (One to four years of training on the job, through an employer or institutional instruction, or a combination, including apprenticeships, certificates, diplomas, or associate degrees.)</p>		
Carpenters	138	12.4%	\$46,260
Registered Nurses	119	1.2%	\$58,900
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers	89	2.8%	\$46,810
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers	82	2.7%	\$49,480
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General	69	3.4%	\$36,690
Supervisors/Managers of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers	67	3.0%	\$69,390
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers	56	1.9%	\$38,100
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics	54	7.9%	\$38,590
Supervisors/Managers of Production and Operating Workers	53	4.9%	\$54,540
Cooks, Restaurant	53	6.0%	\$23,630
Electricians	46	29.5%	\$59,950
Aircraft Mechanics and Service Technicians	44	8.6%	\$45,960
Machinists	34	21.2%	\$42,830
Police and Sheriff's Patrol Officers	33	1.4%	\$57,000
Supervisors/Managers of Mechanics, Installers, and Repairers	33	6.2%	\$56,670

**Table 9**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Long Preparation**

Occupational Titles	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
	 <p><b>Long Preparation</b> (Four years or more of academic work, bachelor's degree or higher; may require additional work experience.)</p>		
Aerospace Engineers	138 ***	1.7%	*N/A
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education	128	0.2%	\$41,400
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Ed.	75	*N/A	\$43,740
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other	66	*N/A	\$35,820
General and Operations Managers	63	1.8%	\$129,410
Commercial and Industrial Designers	60	0.1%	*N/A
Accountants and Auditors	57	8.8%	\$63,310
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Ed.	50	0.6%	\$42,390
Management Analysts	46	0.6%	\$72,080
Purchasing Agents, Except Wholesale, Retail, and Farm Products	42	5.0%	\$54,960
Construction Managers	42	7.8%	\$82,360
Rehabilitation Counselors	38	0.2%	\$29,850
Counselors, Social, and Religious Workers, All Other	34	*N/A	\$40,440
Medical Scientists, Except Epidemiologists	28	3.1%	\$77,710
Industrial Engineers	27	10.7%	\$69,230

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation  
\*\*\* - Openings are due to replacements

### *Community Demand*

Strategic planning by local stakeholders leverages a number of different information sources to assess the need for a highly qualified workforce. The need for higher education in Snohomish County is especially strong, given the focus the county has on their “Innovation Economy.” Though this type of economy includes high-tech industries like biotechnology, medical devices, telecommunications, high-tech manufacturing, and software; it also refers to new ways of doing business in traditional sectors with rapidly changing technology, processes, and information. Thus, local planners point out that college access is increasingly important, not only to traditional age-college students, but for older incumbent and dislocated workers as well. Planners are also focused on the continued development of economic infrastructure, especially in the areas of education, construction, public service, and health care; all of which will require some college-level training.

### **Seattle-King County Needs Assessment**

#### *Regional Student Demand*

King County includes the urban center of Seattle, has a population of 1.7 million, and is home to one-third of the state’s workforce. The county has 27 colleges or universities, including one public research extensive university, one public university branch campus, eight private non-profit colleges, six for-profit institutions, and eleven community and technical schools. In combination, the institutions provide 103,661 full time equivalent enrollments (see Table 10).

**Table 10**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the Seattle-King County Region**


Type of Institution	Number in Region	Size (FTEs)
Public Four-Year	1	31,829
Public Four-Year Branch Campus	1	1,259
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	8	16,828
Private For-Profit	6	6,843
Public Two-Year	11	46,902
		103,661

#### *Regional Student Preference*

King County is home to 68,196 students who attend college, more than 2.5 times as many students as the next largest region of Pierce County. Of those students who attend college, nearly 53 percent go to a community or technical college (see Figure 31). The remaining 47 percent of students go to four-year schools and enrollments are heavily concentrated at the University of Washington. Between the three UW campuses of Seattle, Bothell, and Tacoma, UW accounts for 44 percent of King County’s four-year enrollments. The second most popular choice for baccalaureate education is private, non-profit institutions which account for 25 percent

of enrollments; followed by an almost equal split between Washington State University and Western Washington University at 10 percent respectively.

**Figure 31**




Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
 Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
 ICW: survey of institutions.  
 NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
 4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.

The population in King County is projected to grow rapidly for the next ten years in all regions of the county. Between 1990 and 2000, Seattle grew 9.1 percent, while North King grew at 9.4 percent, East King at 19.4 percent, and South King grew at 20 percent. As the population continues to increase, so will the demand for higher education. According to HECB projections based on population growth, lower-division enrollments would increase from 48,451 FTE in 2003-04 to 52,102 FTE in 2010-11, if the same percentage of the population choose to go to college (see Figure 32). Given that King County contains a large proportion of the state population, the county’s participation rates weigh heavily in establishing the state average. However, King County does fall slightly short of the average and, if a higher percent of residents choose higher education to match the state average, then an additional 401 enrollments are projected, bringing the total 2010-11 projection to 52,503 FTE.

**Figure 32**


**Seattle-King - Lower Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



The same trend is true for upper-division enrollments, which are projected to increase from 12,950 FTE in 2003-04 to 14,360 FTE in 2010-11, based on population growth. Again, King County closely matches the state average in terms of the percent of people who attend college. Thus, an additional 292 enrollments would be anticipated if the county matched the average state participation rate (see Figure 33). It is of note that this analysis does not include data from private schools (ICW, private for-profits, etc.). Thus, the actual projections regarding participation rate may be higher than those included in this report, pushing the region’s participation rate above the state average.

**Figure 33**

**Seattle-King - Upper Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



**Regional Workforce Demand**

As mentioned above, roughly one-third of the state’s workforce is employed in King County and the past couple of years have been marked by slow but steady economic recovery (except in the manufacturing sector). Growth in key industries like construction and health care services, signals demand for middle-level preparation occupations, while growth in many technology-related industries and education will require baccalaureate preparation (see Tables 11 and 12).

**Table 11  
Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

	<p><b>Middle-Level Preparation</b> (One to four years of training on the job, through an employer or institutional instruction, or a combination, including apprenticeships, certificates, diplomas, or associate degrees.)</p>	<p>Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012</p>	<p>Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003</p>	<p>Estimated Mean Wage 2003</p>
Occupational Titles				
Registered Nurses		786	0.5%	\$58,900
Carpenters		455	5.6%	\$46,260
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers		446	1.4%	\$49,480
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers		434	1.5%	\$46,810
Cooks, Restaurant		324	3.0%	\$23,630
Computer Support Specialists		270	6.1%	\$50,010
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General		267	1.2%	\$36,690
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers		258	1.4%	\$38,100
Supervisors/Managers of Non-Retail Sales Workers		220	0.6%	\$77,550
Computer Specialists, All Other		201	7.0%	\$66,410
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics		196	3.9%	\$38,590
Supervisors/Managers of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers		176	1.3%	\$69,390
Electricians		156	10.3%	\$59,950
Real Estate Sales Agents		143	0.5%	\$47,840
Supervisors/Managers of Mechanics, Installers, and Repairers		131	2.6%	\$56,670

**Table 12**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Long Preparation**

Occupational Titles	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
	Computer Software Engineers, Applications	603	*N/A
Computer Programmers	484	3.5%	\$80,230
Computer Software Engineers, Systems Software	459	*N/A	\$81,750
Accountants and Auditors	407	4.1%	\$63,310
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education	373	0.3%	\$41,400
General and Operations Managers	323	1.2%	\$129,410
Management Analysts	262	0.5%	\$72,080
Civil Engineers	251	0.8%	\$74,940
Market Research Analysts	216	1.2%	\$78,420
Computer Systems Analysts	214	3.1%	\$69,200
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Ed.	196	*N/A	\$43,740
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Others	190	*N/A	\$35,820
Lawyers	177	1.4%	\$100,980
Financial Managers	175	4.2%	\$98,640
Engineers, All Other	165	0.0%	\$75,010

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

***Regional Community Demand***

Seattle/King County is a hub for technological and scientific development. Though the county continues to rely on the Boeing Company for a large share of direct or related employment, planners point out that the local economy is diversifying. Growth in the research base as well as in health care services and construction offers proof of this diversity and requisite resiliency in times of economic downturn. Despite roughly 40 percent of the local population holding a baccalaureate degree or higher, employers report difficulty in finding qualified applicants, especially in health care and high-tech occupations. This is especially problematic for health-related services as future demand greatly outpaces current training capabilities. Local stakeholders are therefore concentrating their economic and educational development efforts in the information technology, health care, manufacturing, construction, and biotechnology/life sciences sectors to help get ahead of workforce demand shortages.

**Pierce County Needs Assessment**

***Regional Student Demand***

Pierce County is located at the southern end of the Puget Sound and has a population of 740,957 (2003 U.S. Census estimate). The county has eleven colleges or universities; one branch campus of a public four-year research institution, four private four-year, one private for-profit, and five

public two-year institutions (see Table 13)<sup>18</sup>. In combination, these colleges provide 34,124 full time equivalent (FTE) enrollments.


**Table 13**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the Pierce County Region**

Type of Institution	Number in Region	Size (FTEs)
Public Four-Year (Branch Campus)	1	1,516
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	4	6,581
Private For-Profit	1	904
Public Two-Year	5	25,123
		34,124

**Student Preference**

The region is home to 25,590 students who are currently enrolled in college. Just over 63 percent of these students attend community or technical schools, while the remaining 37 percent attend four-year institutions. Of those students who attend four-year schools, the largest percentage (29 percent) attend private four-year colleges. However, when both the Tacoma and Seattle campuses of the University of Washington are combined, UW attracts the highest percentage of Pierce County students with 30 percent (see Figure 34).

**Figure 34**  
**Tacoma Pierce**  
**Total Enrollments by Home Region of Student**  
2-Year: Public Community/Technical Colleges  
4-Year: Public and ICW




Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
ICW: survey of institutions.  
NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.

<sup>18</sup> The colleges in the county include Bates Technical College, Clover Park Technical College, Pierce College District, Tacoma Community College, University of Washington-Tacoma, Pacific Lutheran University, University of Puget Sound, The Evergreen State College in Tacoma.


Similar to the rest of Washington, the population of Pierce County is projected to continue its growth between now and 2010. If the same percentage of people elect to go to college, projected enrollments will increase with the population. Based on HECB projections, lower-division enrollments would grow from 19,736 in 2003-04 to 21,492 in 2010-11. However, if participation rates in the county increased, then additional enrollments would be anticipated. For instance, if Pierce County’s participation rate matched the state average, enrollments would increase by 675 FTE, bringing total enrollments to 22,167 FTE in 2010 (see Figure 35).

**Figure 35**



The same trend is expected for enrollments in the upper-division. Enrollments are projected to increase from 3,776 FTE in 2003-04 to 4,164 in 2010-11, if the same percentage of the population continues to choose to go to college. Unlike the lower-division, Pierce County is significantly below state average upper-division participation rates. Thus, if the rate were to increase to meet the average, an additional 1,115 enrollments are projected for 2010 (see Figure 36). It is of note that there is some disparity between the region’s current participation rate and the state average. However, this analysis does not include data from private schools (ICW, private for-profit, etc.). Thus, the actual projections regarding participation rate may be higher than those included in this report.

Figure 36



**Regional Workforce Supply**

Health care and social assistance occupations have historically provided the largest number of jobs and highest wages in the county and this trend is projected to continue. Despite the prevalence of this industry, analysts have predicted critical shortage areas (especially for nursing and other medical technicians), many of which will require middle-level and long preparation (see Table 14). In total, 380,000 jobs will be created for health care personnel, finance personnel, paralegals, educators, and salespeople in Pierce County in the next decade (see Table 15). Again, growth in these positions will most likely require some postsecondary training.

**Table 14  
Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

Occupational Titles	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
	Registered Nurses	185	0.8%
Carpenters	122	13.0%	\$40,690
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers	110	2.3%	\$45,300
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers	105	2.9%	\$41,270
Cooks, Restaurant	103	4.3%	\$22,050
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General	80	1.9%	\$36,130
Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses	79	1.9%	\$35,660
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers	65	1.8%	\$31,970
Supervisors/Managers of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers	56	3.3%	\$61,940
Gaming Dealers	51	3.3%	\$14,910
Plumbers, Pipefitters, and Steamfitters	51	9.9%	\$47,210
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics	49	10.1%	\$36,600
Cooks, Institution and Cafeteria	45	5.8%	\$24,430
Medical Secretaries	45	1.6%	\$32,450
Fire Fighters	42	1.0%	\$53,750



**Middle-Level Preparation**  
(One to four years of training on the job, through an employer or institutional instruction, or a combination, including apprenticeships, certificates, diplomas, or associate degrees.)

**Table 15**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Long Preparation**

 <b>Long Preparation</b> (Four years or more of academic work, bachelor's degree or higher; may require additional work experience.)	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
	Occupational Titles		
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education	141	0.3%	\$44,630
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education	93	0.0%	\$45,730
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other	85	*N/A	\$31,290
General and Operations Managers	71	1.9%	\$111,770
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education	65	0.8%	\$43,790
Accountants and Auditors	58	5.9%	\$61,260
Rehabilitation Counselors	53	0.4%	\$30,940
Counselors, Social, and Religious Workers, All Other	45	*N/A	\$38,010
Construction Managers	29	6.6%	\$101,390
Lawyers	28	1.1%	\$74,920
Insurance Sales Agents	26	2.9%	\$49,230
Multi-Media Artists and Animators	25	*N/A	*N/A
Mental Health and Substance Abuse Social Workers	24	0.6%	\$46,060
Financial Managers	23	8.9%	\$78,150
Educational, Vocational, and School Counselors	22	3.7%	\$45,510

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

**Regional Community Demand**

Pierce County is the second largest county in state containing one-tenth of the population, labor force and job-base. Growth in the labor force is projected to continue over the next decade and shifts in industrial patterns will accompany this growth. Like other areas of the state, Pierce County will continue to experience a shift away from manufacturing to the service industry. The area has experienced layoffs in the aerospace and technology sectors, though the presence of government institutions like the Port of Tacoma, McChord Airforce Base, and Fort Lewis have stabilized the regional economy. Local planners and stakeholders are focusing strategic planning efforts on attracting high-technology firms, providing training for incumbent workers, and increasing access to job training for youth, low-income individuals, and individuals with limited English proficiency so that the region can meet the increased demand for highly-skilled workers.

**Southwest Regional Needs Assessment**

**Regional Student Demand**

The Southwest region includes the four counties of Clark, Skamania, Cowiltz, and Wahkiakum. The total population for the region is 501,600, though roughly 78 percent of the population resides in Clark County (part of the Portland, Oregon metropolitan statistical area (MSA)). The region has four colleges/universities, including a public research university branch campus, two public community colleges and a private institution; providing a combined 10,435 FTE


enrollment (see Table 16). In addition, there are three four-year colleges and one two-year institution located just across the state border in Portland. They include Portland State University, the Oregon Institute of Technology’s metro campus, Oregon Health and Science University, and Portland Community College.

**Table 16  
Colleges or Universities Located in the Southwest Region**

Institution Sector	Name	Location	Size (FTE)
Public Four-Year	Washington State University - Vancouver	Vancouver	1,257
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	Golden Gate Baptist Theological Seminary - Northwest	Vancouver	60
Public Two-Year	Clark College	Vancouver	6,639
Public Two-Year	Lower Columbia College	Longview	2,479
			10,435

One of the most prevalent higher education issues facing the region, as identified by regional planners, is that it is below the state average in the number of residents currently enrolled in college. Regional stakeholders have developed strategic plans to target youth and education to encourage enrollment in college to meet the needs of employers in the region. In the 2004-05 school-year, the Southwest region was home to 12,149 students enrolled in college, 37 percent of whom attend a four-year institution. Roughly 60 percent of these students are equally divided among the campuses of WSU (Pullman and Vancouver) and the UW (see Figure 37).


**Figure 37**



Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
ICW: survey of institutions.  
NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.

As mentioned earlier, student demand in the region falls below the Washington state average as well as that in the Portland statistical area. Roughly 3.6 percent of the total population is currently enrolled in college, though 12.2 percent of 17-19 year olds and 17.3 percent of 20-24 year olds are enrolled in higher education in the state.<sup>19</sup> But despite below average participation rates, the region is increasing in total population and will need to expand lower-division enrollments from 10,316 FTE in 2003-04 to 12,128 FTE in 2010 to maintain the current level of service. If participation rates in the region were to increase (using the state average as an example), then total enrollments would need to increase to 13,645 FTE in 2010-11 to meet student demand (see Figure 38).

**Figure 38**




Enrollment increases of roughly 17 percent can also be expected for upper-division students between 2003-04 and 2010-11. If participation rates remain the same, enrollments will expand from 2,230 to 2,684 during that time period. However, if rates grow to meet state averages, enrollments would increase to 3,342 in 2010-11 (see Figure 39). It is of note that projected lower- and upper-division increases, based both on population increases and increases in the regional participation rate, would require a 35 percent expansion in enrollments over current levels. This percentage of growth is the highest in the state.

<sup>19</sup> Estimates from the Southwest Washington Workforce Development Council (SWWDC) indicate that approximately 21 percent of residents between the ages of 18-15 are currently enrolled in college. The difference between HECB analysis and that of the SWWDC are likely due to the large out-of-state enrollments at Oregon colleges that are not captured in the HECB analysis.

Figure 39

**Southwest Washington - Upper Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



***Regional Workforce Demands***

Between 2002 and 2012, the counties of the Southwest region are expected to have approximately 13,660 job openings in middle-level and long preparation occupations. Despite having above average labor force participation rates, the per capita income for the region is below the state average, which suggests that many of the jobs in the region are in lower preparation, lower-paying fields such as manufacturing, service, and retail. However, occupations in health care, construction, finance and insurance, and education are growing most quickly, many of which require baccalaureate education. This trend is reflected in Tables 17 and 18 which list high demand for registered nurses (training needs could be met with either a two-year or four-year degree), teachers, various types of managers, and accountants/auditors.

**Table 17**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

Occupational Titles	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
	 <p><b>Middle-Level Preparation</b> (One to four years of training on the job, through an employer or institutional instruction, or a combination, including apprenticeships, certificates, diplomas, or associate degrees.)</p>		
Registered Nurses	103	1.1%	\$55,170
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers	95	2.1%	\$38,180
Carpenters	75	12.6%	\$39,060
Cooks, Restaurant	65	4.6%	\$20,130
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers	57	1.9%	\$43,190
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General	56	2.7%	\$33,150
Semiconductor Processors	54	12.9%	\$29,840
Electricians	54	16.1%	\$58,770
Supervisors/Managers of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers	39	2.1%	\$61,400
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics	38	8.1%	\$36,850
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers	37	1.7%	\$28,230
Supervisors/Managers of Production and Operating Workers	36	4.2%	\$50,080
Plumbers, Pipefitters, and Steamfitters	33	22.2%	\$55,800
Welders, Cutters, Solderers, and Brazers	30	19.0%	\$34,690
Barbers	27	0.2%	\$21,840

**Table 18**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Long Preparation**

Occupational Titles	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
 <p><b>Long Preparation</b> (Four years or more of academic work, bachelor's degree or higher; may require additional work experience.)</p>			
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education	108	0.5%	\$44,720
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education	65	*N/A	\$45,820
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other	64	*N/A	\$32,150
General and Operations Managers	54	1.1%	\$97,400
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education	50	0.7%	\$43,720
Accountants and Auditors	34	5.9%	\$55,630
Rehabilitation Counselors	29	0.2%	\$27,370
Construction Managers	28	3.6%	\$75,220
Dentists	25	*N/A	\$177,690
Civil Engineers	18	1.2%	\$62,630
Insurance Sales Agents	18	2.3%	\$60,790
Writers and Authors	17	0.8%	\$49,040
Education Administrators, Elementary and Secondary School	17	*N/A	\$79,490
Lawyers	17	0.7%	\$86,970
Loan Officers	17	2.7%	\$57,270

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

### *Community Demand*

Strategic regional planning by local stakeholders is divided into two sub-areas (Wahkiakum and Cowlitz counties and Clark and Skamania counties) and employs a compilation of information sources to assess the need for a highly qualified workforce. Compared to Washington and the Portland region, workers in Southwest Washington are more likely to be in construction, production, or service jobs and less likely to be in professional, technical, management or sales positions. Thus, regional planners are actively focused on providing workforce preparation education.

However, it is also of note that the Southwest region is actually a net exporter of jobs, meaning that there are more people than there are job openings. Many residents commute outside their region for employment or higher paying positions. Local planners are therefore working to enhance the region's competitiveness by increasing collaborative efforts with baccalaureate institutions, community colleges, technical schools, and local employers to identify key industrial clusters and gear educational efforts toward meeting employer demands in an effort to retain highly qualified workers. Target clusters like health care, professional and technical, as well as finance and insurance already have a significant presence in the region, often require college preparation and offer high-paying wages.

## **North Central Regional Needs Assessment**

### *Regional Student Demand*

The North Central region includes the counties of Okanogan, Chelan, Douglas, Grant, and Adams. The total population of the region based on 2003 Census estimates is 236,153. The region has two colleges, both public community colleges, that serve 4,122 full-time equivalent students (see Table 19).

**Table 19**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the North Central Region**


<b>Institution Sector</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size (FTE)</b>
Public Two-Year	Big Bend Community College	Moses Lake	1,649
Public Two-Year	Wenatchee Valley College	Wenatchee	2,472
		subtotal	4,122

### *Student Preference*

The region is home to 7,285 students who currently attend college, slightly under half of whom attend a four-year institution. Roughly 63 percent of these students are equally divided among

Washington State University, Central Washington University, and Eastern Washington University (see Figure 40).

**Figure 40**




Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
ICW: survey of institutions.  
NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.

Student demand for higher education in the region is slightly below the state average for younger students (17-24 year olds). However, the region has experienced population growth over the past decade and that trend is expected to continue. Despite lower than average participation for traditional-age college students, enrollment capacity must be increased from 5,161 FTE in 2003-04 to 5,777 FTE in 2010-11 for the lower-division, if the same percentage of students from the region continue to attend college (see Figure 41). If the percentage of student attending college increased to the state average, especially enrollments for the 17-19 year old age group, then enrollment capacity would need to expand to accommodate 6642 FTE.

**Figure 41**


**North Central Washington - Lower Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



Enrollments increases of roughly 13 percent can also be expected for upper-division students between 2003-04 and 2010-11 based on population increases. If participation rates remain the same, enrollments will expand from 1,605 FTE to 1,842 FTE in 2010-11. Unlike lower-division, the region’s participation rates for the upper-division are only slightly below the state average, making FTE increases to match the average negligible (see Figure 42).

**Figure 42**

**North Central Washington - Upper Division  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



### *Regional Workforce Demand*

Between 2002 and 2012, the counties of the North Central region are expected to have steady growth in annual job openings in middle-level and long preparation occupations. Like many other regions in Washington, demand for registered nurses, who can be trained either in two-year or four-year settings, continues to grow. Growth in the retail and service industries is also reflected in the middle-level preparation group, with openings for cooks and retail managers/workers on the rise. Increasing demand in the government sector, especially in educationally-related fields is demonstrated in the number of openings for elementary, middle-school, and secondary teachers (see Table 20).

**Table 20**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

Occupational Titles	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
	Registered Nurses	78	0.9%
Graders and Sorters, Agricultural Products	72	16.0%	\$16,970
Cooks, Restaurant	37	6.9%	\$20,060
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers	36	3.1%	\$38,210
Carpenters	33	20.6%	\$41,140
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General	30	1.6%	\$32,510
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers	26	1.2%	\$41,660
Supervisors/Managers of Farming, Fishing, and Forestry Workers	24	4.4%	\$40,340
Electricians	24	11.6%	\$49,320
Supervisors/Managers of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers	23	1.7%	\$49,140
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers	20	1.2%	\$29,120
Cooks, Institution and Cafeteria	20	7.2%	\$22,280
Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses	20	0.6%	\$32,350
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics	19	8.0%	\$31,020
Farm Equipment Mechanics	17	2.1%	\$30,030

\*\* - The percentage of people in the occupation that sought unemployment insurance benefits

Occupational Titles	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
	Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education	46	0.4%
Accountants and Auditors	37	2.3%	\$53,730
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other	37	*N/A	\$28,820
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education	33	*N/A	\$44,060
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education	26	0.7%	\$43,330
General and Operations Managers	21	1.4%	\$92,820
Recreation Workers	13	1.9%	\$21,420
Construction Managers	12	6.6%	\$63,850
Medical and Clinical Laboratory Technologists	11	0.7%	*N/A
Preschool Teachers, Except Special Education	10	9.0%	\$23,600
Educational, Vocational, and School Counselors	10	2.7%	\$46,160
Insurance Sales Agents	9	2.5%	\$44,980
Education Administrators, Elementary and Secondary School	9	*N/A	\$76,460
Lawyers	9	0.4%	\$83,750
Rehabilitation Counselors	8	0.9%	\$25,440

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

**Regional Community Demand**

The North Central region is in many ways recovering from several years of difficult economic times. Given the cyclical nature of the agricultural economic base, local planners are intent to diversify the business environment to help prevent extended periods of economic downturn. Part of this strategy is ensuring that local employers are readily able to access qualified workers by

closing skill gaps in the incumbent population. Thus, regional higher education priorities include increasing postsecondary education and training capacity by strengthening partnerships with business and government. Though nearly one-third of the workforce will remain in agriculture, significant growth is forecasted in “white collar” occupations that are predicted to outpace “blue collar” growth and will require more education. These fields include government and education (as reflected in the tables above), health care, and technical services. The population in the region is also aging, as younger, working-age adults move to different areas of the state for employment opportunities and older adults in retirement or semi-retirement return to the area for its rural geography and decreased cost of living. This demographic shift also impacts job growth in sectors outside agriculture (construction, medical and government services, and retail), and has higher education implications, either at the two-year or four-year level.

### Tri-County Regional Needs Assessment

#### *Regional Student Demand*

The Tri-County region consists of the three counties of Kittitas, Yakima, and Klickitat and has a population of 281,480, nearly 81 percent of which resides in Yakima County. The region has four colleges; one public four-year, one private four-year, one public two-year, and one technical institution. The four institutions provide a combined 14,631 full time equivalent (FTE) enrollments (see Table 21).

**Table 21**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the Tri-County Region**


<b>Institution Sector</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size (FTE)</b>
Public Four-Year	Central Washington University	Ellensburg	8,657
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	Heritage University	Toppenish	985
Public Two-Year	Yakima Valley Community College	Yakima	3,846
other	Perry Technical Institute	Yakima	1,143
			14,631

#### *Student Preference*

The Tri-County region is home to 7,833 students who are currently enrolled in college, 54 percent of whom attend a four-year institution. The Tri-County and Eastern regions are the only two in the state that have more students attending four-year colleges than two-year. Of the 54 percent who attend four-year colleges, roughly 34 percent attend nearby Central Washington University while 26 percent attend a variety of private institutions including Heritage University. The state’s two public research institutions, Washington State University and the University of Washington, draw 15 percent and 11 percent respectively (see Figure 43).

**Figure 43**

**Tri-County  
Total Enrollments by Home Region of Student  
2-Year: Public Community/Technical Colleges  
4-Year: Public and ICW**



Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
ICW: survey of institutions.  
NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.


According to the regional Workforce Development Council’s Updated Strategic Plan, a key issue facing the region is increasing access to colleges and universities. The Tri-County region has the highest high school dropout rate of any region in the state and keeping students engaged in high school so that they may make the transition to higher education is a priority for local education and workforce development planners. The region is experiencing demographic shifts as increased numbers of Hispanic residents move to the region. Yakima County has the highest proportion of Hispanic residents and the greatest percent increase between 1990 and 1999. According to data from the Office of the Superintendent for Public Instruction, Hispanic students fare worse than their Caucasian counterparts regarding issues of English proficiency and high school completion. Further, Census data indicate that a higher proportion of Hispanics live at or below the poverty line when compared with Caucasians. Workforce development staff indicate that these factors are certainly barriers to getting livable-wage jobs and are therefore actively working to increase economic and educational parity for all citizens in the region. The authors of the region’s workforce development strategic plan may have summed up these issues best when they state, “Today’s challenges that are being faced in the educational system have a direct impact on the quality and strength of the future workforce development system.”<sup>20</sup>

Based on HECB projections, the Tri-County region is expected to gain approximately 16,647 people in the next seven years. If the same percentage of that population continues to choose to attend college, there will be an increase in student demand and enrollments. In 2003-2004, roughly 5,757 FTE lower-division students enrolled in college from the region. That number

<sup>20</sup> Quote taken from the Tri-County Workforce Development Council’s 2005-2007 Strategic Plan, p. 3.

would increase to 6,090 FTE in 2010-11. However, if a greater percentage of the population elected to go to a college or university, an even larger increase in enrollments is anticipated. For instance, if the regional participation rate matched the state average, lower-division enrollments would increase to 7,803 FTE in 2010-11 (see Figure 44).


**Figure 44**



The same trend is anticipated at the upper-division. Enrollments are projected to increase from 1,775 FTE in 2003-04 to 1,854 FTE in 2010-11, based on population growth. If the regional participation rate increased to match the state average, an additional 272 enrollments are anticipated; bringing the 2010 enrollment total to 2,126 FTE (see Figure 45). It is of note that there is a fairly large disparity between the region’s current participation rate and the state average. However, this analysis does not include data from private ICW schools. Thus, the actual projections regarding participation rate may be higher than those included in this report.

Figure 45

**Tri-County - Upper Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



***Regional Workforce Demand***

The key occupational growth in the region is projected in the government, health care, and agribusiness sectors, most of which could require some college-level training. The key occupations in the middle-level preparation category focus on health care and service industries (see Table 22). The long preparation category is heavily concentrated in government; particularly education with 47 percent of the total key occupations grouped in this category and 40 percent in social service (see Table 23).

**Table 22**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

	<b>Middle-Level Preparation</b> (One to four years of training on the job, through an employer or institutional instruction, or a combination, including apprenticeships, certificates, diplomas, or associate degrees.)	<b>Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012</b>	<b>Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003</b>	<b>Estimated Mean Wage 2003</b>
<b>Occupational Titles</b>				
Registered Nurses		78	0.6%	\$51,320
Graders and Sorters, Agricultural Products		74	25.9%	\$18,120
Carpenters		48	16.4%	\$37,190
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers		47	2.4%	\$39,250
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General		41	1.5%	\$30,690
Cooks, Institution and Cafeteria		32	5.4%	\$23,080
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers		32	1.4%	\$43,240
Computer Support Specialists		26	4.2%	\$32,140
Cooks, Restaurant		24	9.4%	\$19,380
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers		24	1.1%	\$31,190
Gaming Dealers		23	2.6%	*N/A
Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses		22	1.1%	\$32,410
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics		21	8.1%	\$29,160
Police and Sheriff's Patrol Officers		20	1.6%	\$47,850
Supervisors/Managers of Farming, Fishing, and Forestry Workers		20	3.8%	\$36,270

**Table 23  
Key Occupations Requiring Long Preparation**

	<b>Long Preparation</b> (Four years or more of academic work, bachelor's degree or higher; may require additional work experience.)	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>				
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education		61	0.1%	\$41,500
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other		39	*N/A	\$33,820
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Ed.		39	*N/A	\$43,610
General and Operations Managers		31	0.8%	\$100,140
Rehabilitation Counselors		31	0.7%	\$29,580
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Vocational Education		29	1.8%	\$42,210
Accountants and Auditors		22	3.3%	\$67,590
Computer Programmers		21	2.7%	\$49,710
Educational, Vocational, and School Counselors		14	2.0%	\$46,750
Education Administrators, Elementary and Secondary School		12	*N/A	\$78,600
Recreation Workers		11	1.2%	\$24,170
Mental Health and Substance Abuse Social Workers		11	1.4%	\$43,680
Child, Family, and School Social Workers		11	5.3%	\$30,300
Preschool Teachers, Except Special Education		10	14.2%	\$22,550
Mental Health Counselors		10	0.1%	\$32,630

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

***Regional Community Demand***

The Tri-County region continues to rely on agribusiness-related industry for roughly 48 percent of employment in the region. However, the seasonal nature of agriculture work factors into the region's lower than average wages and salaries. Thus, regional stakeholders have actively engaged in partnerships with local business, education, and labor to develop plans to address the region's current and future workforce needs and create livable wage jobs. Key among the drivers for future economic development in the region are agriculture/food processing, manufacturing (petroleum, coal, & agricultural products), healthcare, and construction. Many occupations in each of these industries will require some post-secondary training, both in terms of new workers entering the workforce and training for incumbent and dislocated employees who are being encouraged to stay.

## Eastern Washington Regional Needs Assessment

### *Regional Student Demand*

The Eastern region includes nine counties on the eastern border of the state: Ferry, Stevens, Pend Oreille, Lincoln, Whitman, Walla Walla, Columbia, Garfield and Asotin. The region is largely rural and contains a sparsely dispersed population of approximately 195,700 (2000 Census) and four colleges or universities. One of the state's two public research institutions, Washington State University, is located in Pullman and provides 72 percent of the region's 23, 815 full-time equivalent enrollments (see Table 24).

**Table 24**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the Eastern Region**

<b>Institution Sector</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size (FTE)</b>
Public Four-Year	WSU-Pullman	Pullman	17,342
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	Walla Walla College	College Place	1,800
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	Whitman College	Walla Walla	1,512
Public Two-Year	Walla Walla Community College	Walla Walla	3,161
		subtotal	23,815


Source: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, Peer Analysis System.

### *Student Preference*

The Eastern region is home to 7,051 students currently attending college, over half of whom attend a four-year institution.<sup>21</sup> The Eastern and Tri-County regions are the only two in the state with over half of their postsecondary enrollments at four-year institutions. Nearly 60 percent of students who attend a four-year college do so in the region (WSU) or in nearby Spokane County at Eastern Washington University (see Figure 46).

<sup>21</sup> This figure does not include students who attend college out-of-state or are categorized as "unknown."

**Figure 46**  
**Eastern Washington**  
**Total Enrollments by Home Region of Student**  
 2-Year: Public Community/Technical Colleges  
 4-Year: Public and ICW




Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
 Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
 ICW: survey of institutions.  
 NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
 4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.

Roughly 4.5 percent of adults living in the Eastern region currently attend a college or university, which matches the state average. Within the total population, about 13 percent of 17-19 year olds and 14 percent of 20-24 year olds attend college. Both of these figures fall below average participation rates for the rest of the state.


Despite lower than average enrollments for traditional-age college students, the region would still need to increase lower-division enrollments from 4,660 FTE in 2003-04 to 4,963 FTE in 2010-11 to accommodate anticipated increases in the population and maintain current levels of service (see Figure 47). Upper-division enrollments would need to increase from 1,421 in 2003-04 to 1,538 in 2010-11 (see Figure 48). Neither of these estimates account for any increase in the percentage of the population who decide to attend college. For instance, if participation rates for lower-division enrollment in the region were to increase to the state average, enrollments in 2010-11 would increase to 6,169 FTE in the lower-division alone.

**Figure 47**



Source: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15, Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.

**Figure 48**



Source: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15, Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.

***Regional Workforce Needs***

A key higher education issue facing the region is how to create a supply of workers for occupations in the large agricultural and service industries, which require little higher education training, while at the same time producing and retaining highly skilled workers to fill positions in teaching, engineering, or health care related occupations. This is especially difficult for the latter group since wages in the region are typically lower than wages for similar positions in urban

areas. Due to the sparse population distribution, easy access to colleges or universities is often difficult, especially for working adults.

Between 2002 and 2012, the Eastern region is expected to have approximately 604 annual job openings in middle-level and long preparation categories. The key occupations in the region requiring at least a BA (long preparation) cluster in education fields. Demand for registered nursing positions will also be high and could be met either by middle-level preparation or long preparation. Anticipated openings for nurses are more than double the number of openings for the second highest-demand occupation (see Tables 25 and 26).

**Table 25**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

	<b>Middle-Level Preparation</b> (One to four years of training on the job, through an employer or institutional instruction, or a combination, including apprenticeships, certificates, diplomas, or associate degrees.)	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>				
Registered Nurses		70	1.8%	\$44,020
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers		30	1.3%	\$35,990
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General		29	1.2%	\$31,020
Carpenters		25	1.4%	\$30,390
Cooks, Institution and Cafeteria		24	1.5%	\$22,340
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers		22	1.4%	\$39,060
Biological Technicians		18	1.7%	\$32,200
Graders and Sorters, Agricultural Products		18	2.0%	\$18,210
Fire Fighters		17	1.1%	\$19,250
Supervisors of Food Preparation and Serving Workers		17	1.6%	\$31,760
Supervisors of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers		16	1.4%	\$51,840
Cooks, Restaurant		15	1.5%	\$19,200
Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses		14	1.5%	\$30,810
Electricians		14	1.2%	\$52,510
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics		13	1.5%	\$37,270

**Table 26**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

	<b>Long Preparation</b> (Four years or more of academic work, bachelor's degree or higher; may require additional work experience.)	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>				
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education	36	1.9%	\$45,710	
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education	32	1.9%	\$45,430	
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other	32	1.9%	\$25,870	
Graduate Teaching Assistants	32	1.9%	*N/A	
Business Teachers, Postsecondary	24	1.9%	*N/A	
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Education	20	1.9%	\$45,330	
Recreation Workers	16	1.3%	\$33,370	
Education Administrators, Postsecondary	15	1.9%	*N/A	
Accountants and Auditors	15	1.3%	\$50,320	
General and Operations Managers	15	1.1%	\$88,280	
Educational, Vocational, and School Counselors	14	1.8%	\$44,210	
Health Specialties Teachers, Postsecondary	13	1.9%	*N/A	
Librarians	12	1.5%	\$45,810	
Construction Managers	9	1.7%	\$63,130	
Agricultural and Food Scientists	9	1.6%	*N/A	

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

Source: Occupational Outlook published by the Employment Security Department, Labor Market and Economic Analysis Branch, 2005. Available at [www.workforceexplorer.com](http://www.workforceexplorer.com).

**Regional Community Needs**

The nine counties of the Eastern region account for 21 percent of the total square mileage in Washington state and are sparsely populated, offering a “rural lifestyle” to their residents. Regional economic development efforts linked with education must be geared specifically to the region, as it contains a series of assets and challenges that differ from more densely populated regions like the Puget Sound. Planners in the region point out that “there is a significant difference between what is occurring on the I-5 corridor and the rural counties of the state.”<sup>22</sup> Employers in the region would like students to be encouraged to explore both workforce preparation and baccalaureate education in an effort to meet the demand for the numerous jobs in the service, agriculture, and natural resource based industries. However, the trend away from the latter two industries has created a greater demand for postsecondary education, especially as it relates to non-traditional, working students. Anticipated growth in health care related fields as well as government occupations like teaching and engineering will require advanced education. The counties are working together to provide or improve the communications systems in the region to provide high-speed internet to facilitate greater access to distance learning and job retraining.

<sup>22</sup> Quotation is from the Eastern Washington Partnership Workforce Development Council’s Strategic Five-Year Plan.

## Benton-Franklin Regional Assessment

### *Regional Student Demand*

The Benton-Franklin region includes Benton and Franklin Counties in southeastern Washington. The population in the region is approximately 145,000 and the region includes two postsecondary institutions: a public two-year community college and a public research university branch campus which currently provide a combined 5,062 FTE enrollment (see Table 27).

**Table 27**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the Benton-Franklin Region**

<b>Institution Sector</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size (FTE)</b>
Public Four-Year	WSU-Tri-Cities (upper division only)	Richland	649
Public Two-Year	Columbia Basin College	Pasco	4,413
		regional total	5,062

Source: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, Peer Analysis System.


One of the key higher education issues in the region regards creating a four-year, residential institution. With the exception of authorization for lower-division enrollments in the biotechnology program at WSU Tri-Cities, the region does not currently have a four-year college. Community leaders are currently “compiling a more compelling case” regarding Benton-Franklin’s higher education needs and are expected to bring that proposal to the HECB for further consideration later in 2005.<sup>23</sup>

### *Student Preference*

The Benton-Franklin region is home to 7,529 students currently attending college, roughly 43 percent of whom attend a four-year institution.<sup>24</sup> Students who call the region home and attend a four-year institution are quite mobile and attend public and private institutions across the state. Students most frequently attend Washington State University, with more than one-third of four-year enrollees attending either the Pullman or Tri-Cities campus (see Figure 49).

<sup>23</sup> The quote is taken from the “Background Information on Higher Education Issue” brief produced by the Tri-City Industrial Development Council.

<sup>24</sup> This figure does not include students who attend college out of state or are categorized as ‘unknown’.




Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
 Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
 ICW: survey of institutions.  
 NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW  
 4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.

Student demand estimates in the region based on historic participations rates indicate that roughly five percent of the total population in the region currently attends a college or university, slightly above the state average. However, the region falls below the state average among traditional college-age students (age 17-19) at 14 percent, compared with the state average of 17 percent.

Despite lower than average participation in the lower-division (based on current participation rates), the population in the region will continue to grow and impact higher education. HECB projections indicate that combined community and technical and four-year enrollments will need to expand from 5,184 FTE in 2003-04 to 5,755 FTE in 2010-11 to maintain the current level of participation. If a higher proportion of the population chooses to attend college, for instance to match the state average, an additional 200 FTE enrollments would be necessary (see Figure 50).


**Figure 50**  
**Benton-Franklin - Lower Division:**  
**Public Institution Growth based on**  
**Current Participation Rate Projections**



Source: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15, Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.

Increases in anticipated enrollments are also projected for upper-division students. The region will need to accommodate an increase in upper-division enrollments of approximately 12 percent, from 1,436 FTE in 2003 to 1,618 FTE in 2011 to maintain the same service level. This increase matches the state average almost exactly with a difference of only 13 FTEs in 2011 (Figure 51).

**Figure 51**  
**Benton-Franklin - Upper Division:**  
**Public Institution Growth based on**  
**Current Participation Rate Projections**



Source: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15, Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.

**Regional Workforce Needs**

Between 2002 and 2012, Benton and Franklin Counties are expected to have approximately 828 annual job openings in middle-level and long preparation categories. The key occupations in the region requiring at least a BA cluster in education and engineering fields, while the mid-level preparation (one to four years of training) are scattered across various domains. Demand for nurses tops the list of mid-level preparation occupations, a trend that is echoed across Washington state. The occupations in key industries are summarized in Tables 28 and 29 below.

**Table 28  
Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

 <b>Middle-Level Preparation</b> (One to four years of training on the job, through an employer or institutional instruction, or a combination, including apprenticeships, certificates, diplomas, or associate degrees.)	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
	Occupational Titles		
Registered Nurses	63	1.1%	\$54,310
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers	44	2.0%	\$35,900
Graders and Sorters, Agricultural Products	37	9.0%	\$17,790
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Administrative Support Workers	34	0.8%	\$49,200
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General	33	2.1%	\$31,690
Carpenters	32	9.8%	\$45,300
Cooks, Restaurant	23	5.9%	\$20,180
Nuclear Technicians	23	2.4%	*N/A
Supervisors/Managers of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers	22	2.5%	\$55,920
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics	21	6.5%	\$33,630
Electricians	21	23.7%	\$50,720
Plumbers, Pipefitters, and Steamfitters	20	16.0%	\$50,320
Cooks, Institution and Cafeteria	17	3.7%	\$21,560
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers	17	1.2%	\$32,440
Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses	14	1.2%	\$33,540

Source: Occupational Outlook published by the Employment Security Department, Labor Market and Economic Analysis Branch, 2005. Available at [www.workforceexplorer.com](http://www.workforceexplorer.com).

**Table 29**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Long Preparation**

	<b>Long Preparation</b> (Four years or more of academic work, bachelor's degree or higher; may require additional work experience.)	Average Annual Total Openings 2002-2012	Unemployment ** Insurance Ratio 2003	Estimated Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>				
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education		50	0.3%	\$44,690
Engineering Managers		38	1.5%	\$111,110
Mechanical Engineers		35	5.8%	\$84,860
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Vocational Education		32	*N/A	\$44,170
General and Operations Managers		30	0.9%	\$114,240
Electrical Engineers		29	2.3%	\$78,870
Industrial Engineers		26	2.2%	\$79,680
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Vocational Education		25	0.4%	\$44,870
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other		23	*N/A	\$32,690
Civil Engineers		22	1.4%	\$69,070
Management Analysts		22	1.2%	\$85,400
Accountants and Auditors		22	3.7%	\$57,840
Environmental Scientists and Specialists, Including Health		21	*N/A	\$71,550
Chemical Engineers		17	3.8%	\$79,800
Cost Estimators		15	1.4%	\$56,930

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

Source: Occupational Outlook published by the Employment Security Department, Labor Market and Economic Analysis Branch, 2005. Available at [www.workforceexplorer.com](http://www.workforceexplorer.com).

**Regional Community Needs**

The future demand for higher education varies depending on each region’s specific industry patterns in relation to the community’s efforts to direct its local economy. The Benton-Franklin region is home to a dense concentration of highly educated citizens, including those based at Hanford and the Department of Energy’s Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL). Thus, local business leaders, educators, and economic development specialists are working to expand postsecondary capacity in the region. Specifically, development specialists are working to grow the high-technology skill base necessary to meet anticipated employer demands in years to come. This strategy is not only geared toward Hanford and PNNL, but also toward enhancing the leading private sector business in the region – agribusiness. Planners indicate that high technology training has applications in value-added processing – bi-engineering – and new crop development as well as in the ancillary manufacturing industries associated with agricultural business.

**Spokane County Needs Assessment**

**Regional Student Demand**

Spokane County spans 1,764 square miles on the state’s eastern border and has a population of 431,027 (2003 U.S. Census estimate). The county has seven colleges or universities including two public four-year schools (one is branch campus), two private four-year institutions, one

for-profit college, and two community colleges (see Table 30). In combination, these schools provide 29,799 full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollments.<sup>25</sup>

**Table 30**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the Spokane County Region**

<b>Institution Sector</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size (FTE)</b>
Public Four-Year	Eastern Washington University	Cheney	8,603
Public Four-Year	Washington State University-Spokane	Spokane	597
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	Gonzaga University	Spokane	5,172
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	Whitworth College	Spokane	2,321
Private For-Profit	University of Phoenix-Spokane Campus	Spokane	Blank
Public Two-Year	Spokane Community College	Spokane	6,631
Public Two-Year	Spokane Falls Community College	Spokane	6,475
			29,799


### *Student Preference*

Spokane County is home to 20,934 students who are currently enrolled in college, split almost equally between two-year and four-year institutions. Nearly 39 percent of students who attend four-year schools go to nearby Eastern Washington University located in Cheney. EWU is followed in total enrollments by private, four-year institutions who garner 31 percent of students in the county. The combined campuses of Washington State University (Pullman, Spokane, and ICN) attract the third largest number of students with 1,617 FTE or 16 percent of total four-year enrollments (see Figure 52).

<sup>25</sup> Enrollment statistics for the University of Phoenix are only available at the state level and cannot be broken out by region. Thus, the enrollment figure for Spokane County does not include students from this institution.

**Figure 52**

**Spokane County  
Total Enrollments by Home Region of Student  
2-Year: Public Community/Technical Colleges  
4-Year: Public and ICW**




Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
ICW: survey of institutions.  
NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.

Population growth is projected for Spokane County between now and 2010-11. If the same percentage of the population chooses to attend college as they do today, enrollments at higher education institutions will also increase. Accordingly, the state will need to increase capacity in future years to achieve the current level of service for Spokane County students. For instance, lower-division enrollments are projected to increase from 2003-04 levels of 13,501 FTE to 14,586 FTE in 2010-11 (see Figure 53). It also is noted that Spokane is the only region in the state that is currently exceeding the state average college participation rate. In most areas, additional capacity would be needed if the regional participation rate were to match state average, the opposite is true of Spokane.


**Figure 53**

**Spokane - Lower Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



Increases in upper-division enrollments are also expected based on population growth. Enrollments would increase from 3,805 FTE in 2003-04 to 4,140 FTE in 2010-11 (see Figure 54). Again, this estimate is based on the regional participation rate, which also exceeds the state's participation rate.

**Figure 54**



***Regional Workforce Demand***

State projections indicate that significant growth will take place in the health care, construction, and service industries. Many of the occupations in these categories will require middle-level preparation (see Table 31). State and federal governments, specifically K-12 school districts, continue to be the dominant employers in the region. As such, long-preparation jobs are concentrated in educational arenas. Projected growth in engineering and computing industries will also create increased demand for long preparation occupations as reflected in Table 32.

**Table 31**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Middle-Level Preparation**

 <b>Middle-Level Preparation</b> (One to four years of training on the job, through an employer or institutional instruction, or a combination, including apprenticeships, certificates, diplomas, or associate degrees.)	Average Annual	Unemployment **	Estimated
	Total Openings 2002-2012	Insurance Ratio 2003	Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>			
Registered Nurses	199	0.6%	\$49,310
Supervisors/Managers of Retail Sales Workers	93	1.7%	\$41,020
Supervisors/Managers of Office and Admin. Support Workers	75	1.8%	\$41,970
Carpenters	70	9.4%	\$38,240
Cooks, Restaurant	50	6.9%	\$19,490
Computer Support Specialists	50	7.7%	\$35,700
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General	48	2.2%	\$31,570
Cooks, Institution and Cafeteria	46	4.2%	\$22,270
Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses	46	1.0%	\$35,890
Electricians	44	13.7%	\$42,770
Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics	44	6.6%	\$35,330
Supervisors/Managers of Food Preparation and Serving Workers	41	2.1%	\$30,880
Supervisors/Managers of Non-Retail Sales Workers	37	1.2%	\$67,050
Supervisors of Construction Trades and Extraction Workers	35	1.9%	\$56,820
Claims Adjusters, Examiners, and Investigators	33	2.0%	\$42,130

**Table 32**  
**Key Occupations Requiring Long Preparation**

 <b>Long Preparation</b> (Four years or more of academic work, bachelor's degree or higher; may require additional work experience.)	Average Annual	Unemployment **	Estimated
	Total Openings 2002-2012	Insurance Ratio 2003	Mean Wage 2003
<b>Occupational Titles</b>			
Elementary School Teachers, Except Special Education	93	0.3%	\$44,330
Teachers, Primary, Secondary, and Adult, All Other	66	*N/A	\$28,910
General and Operations Managers	65	1.1%	\$105,510
Secondary School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Ed.	62	0.0%	\$44,320
Middle School Teachers, Except Special and Voc. Ed.	52	1.2%	\$43,610
Accountants and Auditors	47	3.0%	\$52,410
Counselors, Social, and Religious Workers, All Other	44	*N/A	\$40,590
Rehabilitation Counselors	42	0.2%	\$30,410
Lawyers	37	0.6%	\$72,370
Network Systems and Data Communications Analysts	31	1.1%	\$45,690
Insurance Sales Agents	30	4.5%	\$64,280
Construction Managers	29	4.8%	\$68,250
Computer Systems Analysts	29	2.6%	\$54,460
Family and General Practitioners	27	0.1%	\$113,080
Recreation Workers	27	1.0%	*N/A

\* - Mean Annual Wages are unavailable for occupation

### *Regional Community Demand*

The Spokane area economy is unique in that, with the exception of government, the county does not have one dominant employer. Unlike Snohomish County that relies on the Boeing Company for a significant percentage of employment, nearly 57 percent of firms in Spokane County have 1-4 employees. Local stakeholders point out that diversity of small business is an asset for the region in that it provides a buffer and long-term resiliency from times of economic downturn (especially those that are industry-specific). Planners have therefore focused their workforce and economic development efforts on continued diversification through “small business cluster formation.” Local groups, working in partnership with business, labor, and education have identified five primary areas for growth – health care services, construction, wholesale trade, metal fabrication/machine building, and business services. Within this context, stakeholders are focusing on recruiting and retaining firms that provide increased wages or “family wage” jobs. This strategy is especially relevant to incumbent workers displaced based on shifts in the regional economy (from extraction industry to technology based) and for young people who have historically left the county to pursue higher wage jobs elsewhere in the state.

### **Snohomish-Island-Skagit (SIS) Regional Needs Assessment**

#### *Regional Student Demand*

The Snohomish-Island-Skagit (SIS) region has a population of 825,027 (2003 U.S. Census estimate). The area has seven colleges or universities, including three private non-profit schools, one for-profit college, and three community or technical colleges (see Table 33). It is of note that the only public four-year institution in the region is WWU located in Bellingham, a significant distance away from the region’s population center of Everett. The Everett area is served by the Bothell campus of the UW; however, this institution was just recently given the authority to add lower-division capacity, which will begin with a small group in fall 2005.

**Table 33**  
**Colleges or Universities Located in the SIS Region**


Type of Institution	Number in Region	Size (FTEs)
Private Non-Profit Four-Year	3	484
Private For-Profit	1	1,172
Public Two-Year	3	14,646
total		16,302

#### *Student Preference*

SIS is home to 26,988 students who attend college, 64 percent of whom go to a community or technical college. The remaining 36 percent of students enroll at four-year institutions and

nearly one-third of those students attend the University of Washington’s Seattle campus, with an additional 334 students at the UW’s Bothell campus (three percent). Western Washington University draws the second largest proportion of students with 21 percent, while private four-year colleges and Washington State University draw roughly 13 percent of total four-year college students each (see Figure 55).

**Figure 55**




Source: Public: Higher Education Simulation Model, Version 1.15.  
 Higher Education Coordinating Board, June 2005.  
 ICW: survey of institutions.  
 NOTE: Data reflect 2004-05 for public institutions; 2003-04 for ICW.  
 4-year data include undergraduate, graduate and professional enrollments.

The population within SIS is projected to grow sharply over the next decade, outpacing growth in the rest of the state by 1.5 percent. If the same percentage of citizens in the region continues to enroll in college, then anticipated enrollments will grow as the population does. Based on HECB calculations, lower-division enrollments are projected to increase from 19,841 FTE in 2003-04 to 22,757 FTE in 2010-11. However, if a higher percentage of people choose to go to college, then enrollments would increase further. For instance, if the regional participation rate increased to match the state average, then an additional 1,053 FTE are projected in addition to those projected based on population increase (see Figure 56).

**Figure 56**


**SIS - Lower Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



The same trend is projected for upper-division students. Based on population growth, enrollment capacity would need to increase from 4,567 FTE in 2003-04 to 5,374 FTE in 2010-11 to maintain current levels of service for students from SIS. If a higher percentage of citizens opt for higher education, then enrollments would increase an additional 384 FTE by 2010-11, bringing the total to 5,758 FTE (see Figure 57).

**Figure 57**

**SIS - Upper Division:  
Public Institution Growth based on  
Current Participation Rate Projections**



***Regional Workforce and Community Demand***

According to U.S. Census data, the region is home to 20,276 private, non-farm businesses, over 75 percent of which are located in Snohomish County. As such, much of the region’s employer demand is driven by the key industries in Snohomish; namely aerospace manufacturing, tourism,

health care, biotechnology/bio-medical device, and information technology sectors. However, in contrast to Snohomish County's reliance on the Boeing Corporation for a large share of direct or related employment, the regional economy in Island and Skagit Counties is characterized by a great diversity of small businesses and large government-sector presence. Thus, occupations in service and retail, manufacturing, and education are key to SIS' continued economic prosperity.

## VIII. Analysis and Recommendations

Analysis of the statewide and regional data described in the report will allow HECB staff to make recommendations in four key areas:

***The public colleges and universities must grow to accommodate additional student demand resulting from population pressure and the HECB, in collaboration with local colleges, must assess and, as necessary, develop policies and plans to increase participation among students in selected regions of the state.***

A recommendation on the total size of the system relies on the assessment of statewide capacity as well as employer, student, and community demand for education. The areas in the state with the greatest need for growth and recommendations on the size and shape of the higher education system are dependent on the statewide assessment and on the data from the regional profiles and must be used in conjunction with a review of institutional role and mission before specific recommendations on changes of the "shape" of higher education can be made.

In order to accommodate population growth and provide the same level of access as 2003-2004, the system will need to add 21,041 FTEs by 2010. Due to over-enrollments at the public two-year and four-year institutions, this translates to an increase of 24,836 students over 2006-2007 budgeted enrollment levels. The HECB estimate of demand, based on population growth and student demand for degrees, places the need at 44,562 over 2003-2004 enrollment levels or 48,481 over 2006-2007 budgeted enrollment levels.

The state may accommodate growth through expansion of a number of current strategies. Each college and university serves students from throughout the state; however, a greater proportion of students who reside in a given region tend to enroll in institutions in their region than in any one school in another region. Given this relationship, we might expect growth in the number of students from a region resulting from population growth to follow a pattern of enrollment similar to that of the current population. However, in a number of regions, growth due to population increase is expected to be especially high, while in other regions participation in postsecondary education falls well below the state average. To increase participation in these regions may require a variety of strategies that could include adding additional enrollment capacity to institutions within or near the regions. If it is not possible to add enough additional enrollment capacity to existing institutions to respond to growth associated with either population increases or increased higher education participation, then the creation of new higher education institutions and/or alternative delivery approaches must also be considered.

Based on the statewide and regional results, growth is required throughout the higher education system. Growth at the main campuses may be supplemented by growth of system campuses and university centers. The assessment supports the need for significant expansion of a number of existing campuses in response to pressure from population growth. The greatest impact from growth will occur in Southwest Washington, Snohomish, Island and Skagit Counties (SIS), and King County. While this growth places pressure on institutions throughout the state, it will disproportionately impact community colleges in those regions and University of Washington's Seattle and Bothell campuses, Western Washington University, and Washington State University in Pullman and Vancouver. The anticipated enrollment growth in the SIS region will likely outpace the growth of UW Bothell and other institutions that serve students from the region. The needs assessment data support the work already underway to more closely examine the feasibility of creating a new institution to serve Snohomish, Island, and Skagit Counties.

In addition to enrollment pressure resulting from population growth, a number of regions are faced with college participation rates well below the state average. This disparity is greatest in the Northwest, Tri-County, Eastern and Southwest Washington regions of the state. The challenges associated with increasing enrollment in these areas are great. Increasing participation will require more than simply increasing enrollment capacity in the region. It may entail the creation of new delivery approaches and/or making available different types of degrees or programs to potential students in the area. Therefore, in addition to recommending additional enrollments to serve potential students in these regions, HECB staff recommend that the institutions in the region, in collaboration with the HECB and SBCTC, assess the factors leading to lower participation in the public colleges and universities and, as necessary, develop or revise state policies and/or jointly prepare enrolment plans to the end of increasing the college participation rates of students in the region.

***The higher education system in Washington is not graduating enough students with the skills required to meet the employer needs in a number of key occupational areas. Institutions should develop strategies to increase the numbers of students prepared to fill positions in the high-demand areas of computer science, engineering, software engineering and architecture, and health care occupations. In addition, institutions in the state need to increase the numbers of students enrolled in graduate and professional programs to meet employer needs.***

The needs assessment provides a number of sources to determine demand for programs. An important element that emerged from the community demand data was an indication that the skill set demanded by employers goes beyond technical ability in a particular field. Rather, employers have become increasingly selective and are choosing to hire those workers who present a mix of deep technical knowledge in a given area and a set of more general or transferable skills in the areas of management, communication, and teamwork. The responses are consistent with literature on the demands of the changing economy.<sup>26</sup>

---

<sup>26</sup> (2001) The Future of Success. Robert Reich.

All three approaches to assessing demand indicate a need for increased capacity in architecture and engineering, computer science, and health care. Demand for business, education, life and physical sciences, and social sciences were identified in two of the three measures of demand.

High-demand occupations are those in which the greatest gap exists between the number of prepared workers graduating from Washington institutions and the demand for workers expressed by employers. At the macro level, Washington appears to produce too few professional and doctorate degrees. These degrees are essential in many industries, not the least which is the need for higher education institutions to attract and retain qualified research and teaching faculty in a broad range of areas. Specific fields in which we are under-producing at the baccalaureate level and above are architecture and engineering, computer science, and health care.

***The health care industry faces critical shortages of qualified workers in a number of occupational areas. The largest number of openings are in nursing, but shortages are apparent in a wide range of fields. Expansion of existing strategies in health care and the development of new programs and/or delivery mechanisms is recommended to meet employer and student demand.***

Health professions include a wide range of training needs at all levels. Substantial work has been done through the Health Care Personnel Shortage Task Force. This group has identified critical need for additional workers in a variety of health related occupations. Institutions should seek ways to expand existing programs and develop new programs and delivery mechanisms that will enable them to prepare more graduates with the requisite skills and qualifications to meet the demand for health care workers.

***Key occupational areas exhibit a significant mismatch between supply and demand for trained workers. In order for the needs assessment to be an effective planning tool for higher education, it is critical that the relationship between training and hiring practices in these occupations is well understood.***

Research and science occupations show significant need for higher levels of training, yet many of the key degree programs are flat or declining in the number of graduates. Further analysis of the training needs of employers and issues limiting growth in the number of degrees in this area is recommended.

The supply and demand match approach used with the occupational projections indicates the supply of graduates with a baccalaureate or above is well above the demand in occupations classified under “agriculture, construction, production, and transportation” and “sales and service” occupations. Further analysis of employer needs in these occupational groups is recommended to determine whether employment trends in these occupations are the result of employer preferences and changing expectations or other factors.

***Participation rates in public higher education in a number of regions falls well below the state average. It is important to ensure the higher education system in the state serves all its residents and therefore further analysis of college participation in these regions and, as necessary, plans to improve participation will be important tools in planning the future growth of the public higher education system.***

In addition, staff recommend that the HECB, in collaboration with the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges and institutions in regions identified with low college participation, assess the factors leading to lower participation in the public colleges and universities and, as necessary, develop or revise state policies and/or jointly prepare enrollment plans for increasing the college participation rates of students in the region.

***A number of improvements to the methodology and data elements used in the needs assessment are recommended to ensure that the needs assessment is an effective tool to guide the growth of the higher education system in the state.***

The needs assessment model faces a number of limitations, some of which could be mitigated through access to better information.

By matching institutional data with employment security data, HECB staff can assess workforce outcomes of resident and nonresident graduates of Washington institutions, including information on wages and the industry in which the student is employed. The process would allow for matching of graduates and students who drop-out, allowing for an analysis of returns to enrollment as well as completion.

Improved tracking of individual student enrollment through the use of national clearinghouse data to identify system drop-outs and add information on out-of-state enrollments in the OFM application match would greatly improve our understanding of student enrollment and persistence in Washington.

Further refinement of the HECB approach to matching training levels with occupations may also be required. This may entail the inclusion of multiple years of data and/or using more recent survey data through the state population survey as well as better data on the alignment of skills and abilities developed in education programs and workforce needs.

Additional data is needed on enrollments in private institutions. The private colleges and universities in Washington have been responsive to HECB requests for information. However, through the development of the need assessment, staff have identified additional data elements that would improve the assessment; specifically, regional enrollment data of by class level from all private colleges in Washington (the current analysis includes regional enrollment data provided by the ICW schools).

Improved data on capacity at off-site facilities should be available though the program and facility inventory currently in development.

Finally, an examination of alternatives approaches to estimate occupational growth and employer demand for degrees is recommended. Dr. Sommers, Seattle University, has proposed the use of industry cluster analysis as part of the community demand estimate in order to provide an alternative approach to understanding changes in employer and community needs.

## Appendix A: Data and Variables

### Data and Variables

The needs assessment will rely on five primary measures to assess supply and demand for education. Supply will be addressed using a series of measures termed “workforce supply” which will approximate the annual number of graduates entering the workforce by degree level and major field of study. “Education supply” consists of a series of measures to describe the current and planned capacity of the higher education system in the state to respond to student demand and to prepare students for work.

Three measures of demand will be used in the assessment. “Employer demand” is a measure of the number of net annual job openings projected through 2012 by education level. “Student demand” is a projection of the number of students seeking enrollments in the higher education system. Finally, “community demand” will be assessed through an examination of data not reflected in the aforementioned projections. This will include community development plans, emerging industries, or other factors that may impact the higher education needs of a community.

What follows is a more detailed discussion of the measures and the data sources and methods used in their development.

### Workforce Supply

The assessment of workforce supply will rely on IPEDS data on degree production; however, we cannot assume that all graduates are entering the workforce. Some care must be taken to assess how many graduates are entering the workforce and what proportion of students will not enter the workforce due to continued enrollment or other factors. Therefore, the total degrees awarded must be adjusted to account for graduates who do not choose to enter the workforce either to continue their studies or for other reasons before we can arrive at the number of graduates available to meet employer demand. The net effect of migration into and out of the state will be considered in the final analysis. In general, migration would be expected to fill the gap between supply and demand for educated workers. Because SBCTC has access to student-level enrollment and outcome data, they are able to more precisely track continuing enrollments of associate degree holders and other transfer students and do not count those students who continue to enroll as entering the workforce. Workforce supply for baccalaureate degree holders will be calculated as follows:

Workforce Supply = IPEDS Baccalaureate Degrees – less graduates who do not enter the workforce

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{IPEDS Degrees} - C - (L * (1 - LE)) \\ & \text{IPEDS Degrees} - 14.1\% - (6.4\% * (1 - 23.9\%)). \end{aligned}$$

IPEDS Degrees - 19.035%

Included Variables:

IPEDS Degrees: Degrees awarded in Washington in 2003 (IPEDS).

Benchmark Data from Baccalaureate and Beyond 1999-2000, Spring 2001 (one year after graduation)<sup>27</sup>

C = Currently Enrolled in Grad School Full-Time 14.1%

L = Not in Labor force 6.4%

LE = 23.9% of L Enrolled Full Time

The number of graduate degrees awarded will be adjusted to account for graduates who do not enter the labor force based on benchmark data provided through the NCES National Household Education Survey of 1995 Adult Education that indicates the number of degree holders age (24-39) who report they are “not in the labor force”.

IPEDS Masters Degrees -  $L_m$

IPEDS Professional Degrees -  $L_p$

IPEDS Doctorate Degrees -  $L_d$

$L_m$  = Master Degree Holders not in Labor Force 13.6%

$L_p$  = Professional Degree Holders not in Labor Force 6.2%

$L_d$  = Doctorate Degree Holders not in Labor Force 9.9%

## Education Supply

Education supply may be estimated a number of ways. The most readily available approach is to estimate current enrollment capacity within the system based on current enrollments (funded or actual) and the distribution of students by major, course taking patterns, or degrees earned. Estimates based on current enrollments may mask differences by field of study whereby some programs may be over-subscribed while others may be under-enrolled. Therefore, the public four-year campuses have been asked to provide additional information about impacted programs that will be discussed in the student demand section of the report.

Total enrollments will be based on enrollment data available from the Office of Financial Management for the public institutions and IPEDS enrollment data will be used for the private enrollments. In addition, planned capacity of the four-year public colleges will be used to estimate the maximum size of the existing institutions. The Independent Colleges of Washington (ICW) has provided information on planned growth of their member institutions as well (see

<sup>27</sup> (2003) A Descriptive Summary of 1999-2000 Bachelor’s Degree Recipients 1 Year Later, National Center for Education Statistics 2003-165.

Appendix F for a listing of ICW schools). Capacity by major field of study will be examined based on current degree production and enrollments, but will not be projected forward. Instead, the needs assessment will identify the gaps with the expectation that institutions would provide resources where needed to meet student, employer, and community demand. Both enrollment and degree data will be aggregated based on the groupings used in the NCES Baccalaureate and Beyond Studies, in addition specific fields of study may be pulled out and examined individually. The categories are provided in Appendix B.

## Data

IPEDS enrollment data: Enrollments reported to IPEDS for fall 2003 (the most recent year with complete data).

ICW member enrollments: The independent colleges of Washington have provided data from member institutions on enrollments and growth plans through 2012.

HECB data on capacity: The HECB maintains data on the student capacity at public institutions in the state. For purposes of the needs assessment, the lesser of either physical capacity or capacity limit (due to zoning or other restrictions) will be used.

Education Supply = current enrollment (using OFM for public and IPEDS or ICW for privates).

Planned Capacity = (the lesser of physical capacity or capacity limit for publics and planned growth for ICW). Other privates will be excluded from this measure with the presumption that they would grow to meet a portion of demand not met by other sectors.

## Employer Demand

Several approaches may be used to understand employer demand.

The first is to look at the aggregate demand by level of training as is currently done in the WTECB gap analysis (see Appendix E). The gap analysis estimates additional FTE needed in postsecondary training programs greater than one year but less than a bachelor's degree. This is done by matching the number of "prepared workers" at that education level to the number of anticipated annual openings projected for the period of the assessment. The gap is the number of additional workers multiplied by the average FTE/completion ratio of programs that fit the profile described above.

There are a number of critical decision points in this type of analysis which can impact the estimates of need. First is how we assign the level of training required for a given occupation is critical. BLS uses 11 standard training categories outlined in the BLS Occupational Outlook Handbook. These categories are assigned by BLS staff based on an assessment of the predominate level of training for new entrants into the occupation. This approach does not

necessarily identify the minimum qualification for a given occupation, although it may serve as an adequate proxy for most occupations. More importantly, the training categories do not differentiate training requirements within occupations nor do they allow for an analysis of continuing training needs within the occupation. In 2004, BLS proposed an alternate approach which is described in the Occupational Projections and Training Data, 2004-05 Edition <http://www.bls.gov/emp/optd/home.htm>. The new approach groups occupations into educational clusters that better reflect the diversity of training paths one might take to enter the occupation and the ultimate educational attainment of workers in that occupation. While neither of these approaches provides a perfect picture of the training needed for a given occupation, they do provide a starting point to develop a matching strategy that can provide useful summary information on minimum requirements and continuing education needs.

An important limitation with the long-term occupational projections is that they are based on historical employment data and are limited in the degree to which they can account for structural changes in industries or occupations. A further complicating factor is that the net openings due to growth and replacement relies on national BLS data to calculate attrition in occupations which may or may not accurately reflect the numbers of departures expected in Washington.

After considerable consultation with staff at the Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board and the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges, the determination was made to include two estimates of employer demand. Employer demand will be estimated based on the training and education required to meet projected employment based on employment security long-term employment outlook projections. The outlook projections will be matched with two estimates of training levels for occupational groups, a minimum training requirement based on BLS training codes and an ultimate training level based on HECB analysis of census data – an approach similar to that used in the educational cluster approach described above.

## **Data**

Data: May 2005 Long-term occupational projection published by the Washington State Employment Security Division.

2000 Census PUMS 5% File: Education levels and occupations of adults residing in Washington ages 25-64 who worked during the previous year.

## **Dependent Variables**

Employer Demand - Average Annual Openings 2007 -2012. Statewide Total Net openings are adjusted based on total employment projection (May 2005 Long-term employment projection – Washington State Employment Security) to arrive at a total number of workers required by occupational area.

High Growth –High Wage Occupations. For each region high growth/high wage occupations are identified as those occupations with wages and growth in the highest quartile (e.g., of occupations in highest wage quartile those occupations with the highest growth).

**Independent Variables**

SOC Code: the standard Occupational code is used to classify occupations and to match data sets used in the analysis. SOC code also provides for aggregation of occupations with the first two digits of the code identifying a major grouping and the remaining four digits providing for increasingly specific occupational titles.

2007-2012 Net Job Openings: Employment Security May 2005 long-term occupational projections.

Entry Level Training Requirement: The Workforce Training and Education Coordinating Board uses collapsed (WTECB Training Code) categories to describe the training levels required for occupations. In addition, WTECB and SBCTC re-classify some occupations to better reflect training requirements in Washington.

BLS Training Category	WTECB Training Code	WTECB Training Category
First professional degree	1	Long Preparation
Doctoral degree	1	Long Preparation
Master's degree	1	Long Preparation
Bachelor's plus experience	1	Long Preparation
Bachelor's degree	1	Long Preparation
Associate degree	2	Mid Level Preparation
Postsecondary vocational award	2	Mid Level Preparation
Work experience in a related occupation	2	Mid Level Preparation
Long-term on-the-job training	2	Mid Level Preparation
Moderate-term on-the-job training	3	Short Preparation
Short-term on-the-job training	4	Little Preparation

Ultimate Training Level: Data collected in the 2000 Census are used to measure the actual training level for workers by occupation. The distribution of training levels in occupations is used to estimate the training needs to meet the projected openings for an occupation. The approach builds on the assumption that the BLS code is a proxy for the entry level training requirement for an occupation and that additional training beyond the minimum level may be required for some portion of the workers within that occupation. With these assumptions, the Ultimate Training Level is calculated based on the distribution of workers in the population at or above the entry level training requirement as follows:

Entry Level Training Requirement (WTECB Training Code) is set as minimum for a given occupation.

For Level 4 occupations:

Level 4 projection = projected openings - portion of openings (based on census) at level 3.

Level 3 projection = projected openings - level 4 projection.

For Level 3 occupations:

Level 3 projection = projected openings - portion of openings (based on census) at level 2.

Level 2 projection = projected openings - level 3 projection.

For Level 2 occupations:

Level 2 projection = projected openings - portion of openings (based on census) at level 1.

Level 1 projection = projected openings - level 2 projection. (distributed across BA - Doc proportionally based on census proportions).

For Level 1 occupations:

BA Projection = projected openings - portion of openings (based on census) at graduate level.

Grad Projection = projected openings - BA projection. (distributed across MA-Doc proportionally based on census proportions).

## Student Demand

Typically, student demand has been projected based on historic participation rates plus enhancements based on historic trends and/or policy goals (such as increasing participation of underrepresented minorities, rural students, etc.). This approach is a good starting point; however, it has some important limitations in assessing actual demand when access to educational sectors and majors is limited by structural factors such as enrollment caps. To measure demand for enrollment at four-year colleges and universities, a better measure would be unduplicated (qualified) applicants rather than current enrollments. Similarly, to measure demand for a given program, it would be preferable to measure unduplicated qualified applications to majors rather than the number of students enrolled in a given major or in coursework offered by a given department. OFM conducts an application match study that provides an unduplicated count of applications, admissions, and enrollment to the public institutions within Washington. While this study provides an important starting point in understanding access to the sector students prefer, it does not get us closer on access to specific fields of study nor does it take into account out-of-state enrollments or discouraged students who fail to apply.

In the *2004 Strategic Master Plan for Higher Education*, the HECB took a new approach to project student enrollments. Rather than base projections on historic participation, the HECB approach is to project the number of degrees awarded based on historic trends then back into an estimate of enrollments based on historic FTE/degree ratios. The needs assessment will employ

both approaches. Student demand will be projected based on historic participation rates to arrive at a “status quo” estimate of enrollment demand. The report will also include a forecast of degrees awarded based on historic rates. Finally, the report will include a discussion of impacted majors where projections may underestimate actual demand due to limited participation resulting from enrollment caps or other structural impediments to student enrollment.

## Data

HECB projection of enrollments based on current (2003-2004) participation rates using HECB’s Enrollment Simulation Model (version 1.15).

Degree Projections = HECB analysis of Bachelor degrees earned per 20-29 year-old.  
HECB analysis of Graduate and Professional degrees earned per 25-34 year olds.

Historic Enrollment / Degree ratio = the number of FTEs required to produce one degree.

Current Demand = projection of student demand based on current participation rates.

Degree Demand = the total number of projected degrees (For bachelor’s degrees the number of 20-29 year olds based on population forecast \* Degrees per 20-29 year old, for graduate and professional degrees the number of 25-34 year olds based on population forecast \* Degrees per 25-34 year old).

Student Demand = Enrollment projection based on FTE required to produce the projected number of degrees (degree demand).

Statewide Average Participation: The regional reports will compare the current regional participation rate with the statewide average rate by age.

Public / Private attendance ratio = ratio of enrollments in public and private institutions as reported to IPEDS for the 2003 academic year.

## Community Demand

Community demand will include factors that are not readily picked up in the projections discussed above. We have identified a number of sources for information about community plans and goals for future development. These elements will be largely qualitative in nature. Community demand will include factors such as the seven areas of growth identified by CTED for statewide development. These include value added agriculture, wood products, technology, aerospace, tourism, bio-technology, and marine services. In addition, we have gathered information from the regional development councils and other community groups on regional development goals.

The regional analysis will also consider any additional information about specific trends in the area that may affect higher education needs. These include key industry developments, emerging technologies, or other factors.

Finally, we have partnered with UW on a series of surveys and interviews sponsored by the Sloan foundation that will gather information from business leaders, students, and the community members at large. The questionnaires center on aspirations of these constituents for future economic development and how higher education can support those goals.

### **Data**

Workforce Development Plans: Statewide development goals provided by CTED, regional development plans based on consultation with workforce development councils and other community groups.<sup>28</sup>

State and Regional Economic profiles: Employment security develops regional profiles that include summary information on industries, education, and occupations by region of the state.

UW / Sloan research project. Data from the UW employer interviews and community needs survey will be incorporated in the analysis of community demand.

---

<sup>28</sup> 2005 Miller, J. Sommers, P. Assessing Community Demand: Insights from Washington's Regional Workforce Development Councils. Seattle University Center on Metropolitan Development.

## Appendix B: Academic and Occupational Categories

**Table B-1 Crosswalk of Major Academic Fields of study and CIP titles**

<b>Humanities</b>	05	Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies.
	16	Foreign languages, literatures, and Linguistics.
	23	English Language and Literature/Letters.
	24	Liberal Arts and Sciences, General Studies and Humanities.
	30	Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies.
	38	Philosophy and Religious Studies.
	39	Theology and Religious Vocations.
	50	Visual and Performing Arts.
<b>Social/behavioral sciences</b>	54	History
	42	Psychology.
	44	Public Administration and Social Service Professions.
<b>Life sciences</b>	45	Social Sciences.
	03	Natural Resources and Conservation.
	26	Biological and Biomedical Sciences.
<b>Physical sciences</b>	40	Physical Sciences.
	41	Science Technologies/Technicians.
<b>Math</b>	27	Mathematics and Statistics.
<b>Computer/information science</b>	11	Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services.
<b>Engineering</b>	14	Engineering.
	15	Engineering Technologies/Technicians.
<b>Education</b>	13	Education.
	25	Library Science.
<b>Business/management</b>	52	Business, Management, Marketing, and Related Support Services.
<b>Health</b>	31	Parks, Recreation, Leisure, and Fitness Studies.
	51	Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences.
<b>Vocational/technical</b>	43	Security and Protective Services.
	46	Construction Trades.
	47	Mechanic and Repair Technologies/Technicians.
	48	Precision Production.
	49	Transportation and Materials Moving.
<b>Other Professional or Technical</b>	01	Agriculture, Agriculture Operations, and Related Sciences.
	02	Agricultural Sciences.
	04	Architecture and Related Services.
	08	Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies.
	09	Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs.
	10	Communications Technologies/Technicians and Support Services.
	12	Personal and Culinary Services.
	19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences.
	20	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences.
22	Legal Professions and Studies.	
	29	Military Technologies.

**Table B-2 Occupational Categories and SOC Titles**

<b>Occupational Category</b>	<b>SOC</b>	<b>SOC Title</b>
Business and Management	11	Management Occupations
	13	Business and Financial Operations Occupations
Computer Science	15	Computer and Mathematical Occupations
Engineering/software engineering / architecture	17	Architecture and Engineering Occupations
Research, scientists, technical	19	Life, Physical, and Social Science Occupations
Human/protective service professionals	21	Community and Social Services Occupations
	33	Protective Service Occupations
Administrative/clerical/legal	23	Legal Occupations
	43	Office and Administrative Support Occupations
Educators	25	Education, Training, and Library Occupations
Editors/writers/performers	27	Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media Occupations
Medical professionals	29	Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations
	31	Healthcare Support Occupations
Sales and Service Occupations	35	Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations
	37	Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations
	39	Personal Care and Service Occupations
	41	Sales and Related Occupations
Agriculture and Trades	45	Farming, Fishing, and Forestry Occupations
	47	Construction and Extraction Occupations
	49	Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations
	51	Production Occupations
	53	Transportation and Material Moving Occupations

## Appendix C: Region Definitions

Regional Analysis is based on Workforce Development Areas (see table) with an additional area of special analysis which includes the Snohomish WDA and part of the Northwest Washington WDA to include Snohomish, Island and Skagit counties (SIS).

WDA Number	WDA Name	Counties in WDA
I	Olympic Consortium	Clallam, Jefferson and Kitsap
II	Pacific Mountain Consortium	Grays Harbor, Lewis, Mason, Pacific and Thurston
III	Northwest Washington	Island, San Juan, Skagit and Whatcom
IV	Snohomish County	Snohomish
V	Seattle-King County	King
VI	Pierce County	Pierce
VII	Southwest Washington	Clark, Cowlitz, Skamania and Wahkiakum
VIII	North Central Washington	Adams, Chelan, Douglas, Grant and Okanogan
IX	Tri-County	Kittitas, Klickitat and Yakima
X	Eastern Washington	Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Garfield, Lincoln, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Walla Walla, Whitman
XI	Benton Franklin	Benton and Franklin
XII	Spokane County	Spokane

## **Appendix D: Statewide Programs**

### **Courses exclusive to University of Washington (RCW 28B.20.060):**

- law
- medicine
- forest products
- logging engineering
- library sciences
- aeronautic and astronautic engineering
- fisheries

### **Courses exclusive to Washington State University (RCW 28B.30.060/RCW 28B.30.065):**

- agriculture in all its branches and subdivisions
- veterinary medicine
- economic science in its application to agriculture and rural life

### **Major lines common to University of Washington and Washington State University (RCW 28B.10.115):**

- pharmacy
- architecture
- civil engineering
- mechanical engineering
- chemical engineering
- forest management (as distinguished from forest products and logging engineering which are exclusive to the University of Washington)

### **Teachers' training courses (RCW 28B.10.140):**

The University of Washington, Washington State University, Central Washington University, Eastern Washington University, Western Washington University, and The Evergreen State College are each authorized to train teachers and other personnel for whom teaching certificates or special credentials prescribed by the State Board of Education are required, for any grade, level, department, or position of the public schools of the state.

## Appendix E: Related Reports and Data Sources

Report/ Data Source	Agency	Description
Enrollment Simulation Model	HECB	The HECB enrollment simulation model is a tool that can be used to estimate enrollment demand and budgets based on a variety of factors, including historic or desired participation rates, degree goals, and other factors. The model allows for regional differences as well as differences by age, gender, race, or a host of other variables.
Strategic Master Plan	HECB	The HECB includes enrollment goals for the two year and four year sectors in the 2004 strategic master plan. These goals are based on an estimate of historic participation, student, and employer demand and analysis of net migration of educated workers to the state.
Baccalaureate Capacity Study	HECB	The HECB is developing a study of upper-division capacity within the state. The report is expected to be completed Fall 2005. A study on the same topic, conducted jointly by COP and SBCTC, was released in December 2004.
HECB Branch Campus Report	HECB	The HECB report on the Branch campus self studies provides analysis of statewide and regional participation rates in higher education and estimates of enrollment growth.
Higher Education Cost Study	HECB	The Cost study, conducted every [two years?] provides important information about enrollments, class size, teaching loads, and cost of delivery for public colleges and universities in the state.
Employer Survey	Workforce Board	The State Workforce and Training coordinating Board conducts a bi-annual survey of employers in the state to determine the degree to which they are being served by the state higher education system (primarily the two-year system). The survey provides important information on the degree to which employers are able to recruit and retain workers with the appropriate level of training to fill openings within the organization. In addition, the survey collects data on employer need for training of current workers and any support employers provide for that purpose. WTECB is making changes in the survey to collect data on baccalaureate and graduate educational needs as well.

Gap Analysis	Workforce Board	State Workforce and Training coordinating Board produces an annual report to analyze the need for additional postsecondary degrees and training programs greater than one year but less than a bachelor's degree. This analysis relies on employment security projections and bureau of labor statistics training codes to arrive at the number of trained workers needed to fill projected openings, and from that WTECB staff estimate the number of FTE students needed in worker training programs.
Workforce Training Results	WTECB	The Workforce Board and State Board for Community and Technical Colleges collaborate to produce an annual report that assesses employment outcomes of students who exit the two year system. The report is used to estimate the return to schooling in terms of increased wages. <a href="http://www.wtb.wa.gov/jtr">http://www.wtb.wa.gov/jtr</a>
Baccalaureate Capacity Study	SBCTC	The State Board for Community and Technical Colleges released in December 2004 a study of the need for increased capacity at the upper division undergraduate level to meet projected student demand.
Enrollment Data	OFM	OFM collects data from all the public colleges and universities on current enrollments and makes enrollment projections based on current participation rates and an alternative projection based on 1993 participation rates.
Application Match Study	OFM	OFM conducts an annual study of applications to postsecondary institutions in the state to determine the degree to which students are being served by the system. The study looks at unduplicated applications and enrollments to determine whether students who applied to colleges and universities were offered admission to at least one institution in the state. Students who were qualified (based on AI) but were not offered enrollment within Washington are considered not be served by the system.
Education Highlights Report	OFM	Includes historic and projected data on enrollments, participation rates and costs.
Industry and Occupational Projections	Employment Security Department	Every two years the Employment Security Department produces a set of statewide and regional Short-Term and Long-Term projections of Industry growth which in turn are used to estimate the need for workers by occupation. Current Long-term projections estimate net job openings by occupation through 2012.

Educator Supply and Demand in Washington 2004 Report	Superintendent of Public Instruction	Provides detailed estimates of the supply and demand for teachers at different levels and in different disciplines in Washington State.
IPEDS	NCES	All title IV eligible institutions report enrollments and degrees completed by CIP code to NCES annually. This data is collected by the HECB as part of the IPEDS reporting process.
Measuring Up 2004	National Center for Public Policy and Higher Education	
Net Migration	National Center for Public Policy and Higher Education	
Other Reports:		
	NCES	Variety of reports based on current data though IPEDS as well as longitudinal studies such as Baccalaureate and Beyond
	Washington State Public Policy Institute	Various – including Branch Campus Report
	MGT	NSIS and other regional reports

## Appendix F: Included Colleges and Universities

### *Public Four-Year*

Central Washington University  
Eastern Washington University  
Evergreen State College  
University Of Washington-Bothell Campus  
University Of Washington-Seattle Campus  
University Of Washington-Tacoma Campus  
Washington State University  
Washington State University-Tri Cities  
Washington State University-Vancouver  
Western Washington University

### *Private (Independent Colleges of Washington)*

Gonzaga University  
Heritage University  
Pacific Lutheran University  
Saint Martins College  
Seattle Pacific University  
Seattle University  
University Of Puget Sound  
Walla Walla College  
Whitman College  
Whitworth College

### *Private/Degree Authorized (Other)*

Antioch University-Seattle Branch  
Argosy University- Seattle Campus  
Art Institute Of Seattle  
Bastyr University  
City University  
Cornish College of The Arts  
Crown College  
Devry University-Washington  
Digipen Institute Of Technology  
Faith Evangelical Lutheran Seminary  
Golden Gate Baptist Theological Seminary-Northwest  
Henry Cogswell College  
ITT Technical Institute  
Mars Hill Graduate School  
Northwest Baptist Seminary  
Northwest College Of Art  
Northwest College Of The Assemblies Of God  
Puget Sound Christian College  
Seattle Institute Of Oriental Medicine  
Trinity Lutheran College  
University Of Phoenix-Spokane Campus  
University Of Phoenix-Washington Campus

## Appendix G: Compendium of Tables

**Table G.1 Degrees Awarded (IPEDS)**

Category	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004	Three-Year Average	Total Change	Percent Change
<b>Baccalaureate</b>						
Humanities	5,683	6,802	6,932	6,472	1249	18%
Social/behavioral sciences	4,898	4,618	4,931	4,816	33	1%
Life sciences	1,530	1,528	1,538	1,532	8	1%
Physical sciences	431	477	458	455	27	6%
Math	258	289	299	282	41	14%
Computer/information science	676	804	877	786	201	23%
Engineering	1,297	1,304	1,405	1,335	108	8%
Education	1,462	1,493	1,946	1,634	484	25%
Business/management	4,391	4,579	4,663	4,544	272	6%
Health	1,438	1,540	1,608	1,529	170	11%
Vocational/technical	443	440	484	456	41	8%
Other technical/professional	1,950	2,068	2,099	2,039	149	7%
<b>Total Baccalaureate</b>	<b>24,457</b>	<b>25,942</b>	<b>27,240</b>	<b>25,880</b>	<b>2,783</b>	<b>10%</b>
<b>Masters</b>						
Humanities	432	607	555	531	123	22%
Social/behavioral sciences	1,084	1,173	1,145	1,134	61	5%
Life sciences	240	263	247	250	7	3%
Physical sciences	150	133	103	129	-47	-46%
Math	62	60	53	58	-9	-17%
Computer/information science	155	216	189	187	34	18%
Engineering	367	366	327	353	-40	-12%
Education	2,360	2,764	2,793	2,639	433	16%
Business/management	1,683	1,695	1,963	1,780	280	14%
Health	680	703	714	699	34	5%
Vocational/technical	17	16	10	14	-7	-70%
Other technical/professional	321	317	383	340	62	16%
<b>Total Masters</b>	<b>7,551</b>	<b>8,313</b>	<b>8,482</b>	<b>8,115</b>	<b>931</b>	<b>11%</b>

**Table G.1 Degrees Awarded (IPEDS)**  
(continued)

<b>Professional / Doctorate</b>						
Humanities	94	157	169	140	75	44%
Social/behavioral sciences	105	98	106	103	1	1%
Life sciences	114	120	138	124	24	17%
Physical sciences	55	69	76	67	21	28%
Math	18	15	13	15	-5	-38%
Computer/information science	12	18	14	15	2	14%
Engineering	104	89	108	100	4	4%
Education	56	80	64	67	8	13%
Business/management	16	20	23	20	7	30%
Health	661	646	509	605	-152	-30%
Vocational/technical	0	0	0	-	n/a	n/a
Other technical/professional	622	585	687	631	65	9%
<b>Total Professional / Doctorate</b>	<b>1,857</b>	<b>1,897</b>	<b>1,907</b>	<b>1,887</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>3%</b>

**Table G.2 Degrees and Workforce Supply**

<b>2004 Degrees Awarded and Baccalaureate Supply</b>				
<b>Major Area of Study</b>	<b>Bachelor's Degrees</b>	<b>Baccalaureate Supply</b>	<b>Graduate and Professional Degrees</b>	<b>Graduate and Professional Supply</b>
Humanities	6,932	5,616.97	724	633
Social/behavioral sciences	4,931	3,995.57	1,251	1,085
Life sciences	1,538	1,246.24	385	338
Physical sciences	458	371.12	179	157
Math	299	242.28	66	58
Computer/information science	877	710.63	203	176
Engineering	1,405	1,138.47	435	380
Education	1,946	1,576.84	2,857	2,471
Business/management	4,663	3,778.41	1,986	1,717
Health	1,608	1,302.96	1,223	1,093
Vocational/technical	484	392.18	10	9
Other technical/professional	2,099	1,700.81	1,070	975
<b>Total</b>	<b>27,240</b>	<b>22,072.46</b>	<b>10,389</b>	<b>9,090</b>

**Table G-3 Degrees Awarded to Non-Resident Aliens**

	2003-04 BACHELORS		2003-04 Grad/Pro		2003-04 MASTERS		2003-04 DOCTORATE		2003-04 PROF.	
	TOTAL	NONRES	TOTAL	NONRES	TOTAL	NONRES	TOTAL	NONRES	TOTAL	NONRES
<b>PUBLIC FOUR-YEAR TOTAL</b>										
2001-02	18635	583	5540	681	4285	504	613	167	642	10
2002-03	19661	552	5896	724	4628	570	619	148	649	6
2003-04	20456	538	6003	759	4685	572	670	179	648	8
Average Percentage of degrees awarded to Non-resident Aliens (Public Colleges)	2.8%		12.4%		12.1%		26.0%		1.2%	
<b>PRIVATE FOUR-YEAR TOTAL</b>										
2001-02	5827	276	3868	198	3266	188	41	2	561	8
2002-03	6281	246	4314	280	3685	259	44	1	585	20
2003-04	6784	220	4386	139	3797	128	59	6	530	5
Average Percentage of degrees awarded to Non-resident Aliens (Private Colleges)	3.9%		4.9%		5.3%		6.3%		2.0%	
Average Percentage of degrees awarded to non-resident aliens (all colleges)	3.1%		9.3%		9.1%		24.6%		1.6%	

**Table G.4 Budget and Projected Enrollments (based on 2003-2004 participation)**

Year	Budget	All	CTC	4-Year
2003-04	213338	228,179	137,621	90,558
2004-05	216,469	231,361	139,362	91,999
2005-06	220,162	234,290	140,917	93,373
2006-07	224,394	237,252	142,723	94,528
2007-08	224,394	241,040	144,855	96,184
2008-09	224,394	244,962	147,108	97,854
2009-10	224,394	249,220	149,543	99,677

**Table G.5 Budget and Projected Enrollments  
(2003-2004 participation and HECB degree forecast)**

	<b>Budgeted FTEs</b>	<b>Projected Public FTEs (current participation)</b>	<b>Projected Public FTEs (demand for degrees)</b>
2004	213,338	228,179	228,313
2005	216,469	231,361	221,489
2006	220,162	234,290	244,779
2007	224,394	237,252	251,811
2008	224,394	241,040	258,921
2009	224,394	244,962	266,094
2010	224,394	249,220	272,875

**Table G.6 Projected Enrollments by sector (HECB degree forecast)**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Two-Year Public FTEs</b>	<b>Two- Year Private FTEs</b>	<b>Undergraduate FTEs Public Four-Year</b>	<b>Undergraduate FTEs Private Four-Year</b>	<b>Graduate FTEs Public Four- Year</b>	<b>Graduate FTEs Private Four- Year</b>	<b>Total</b>
2004	138,241	8,001	72,841	24,164	17,232	13,464	273,942
2005	128,885	8,119	75,122	24,920	17,482	13,660	268,188
2006	149,092	8,232	77,833	25,820	17,854	13,950	292,781
2007	153,126	8,372	80,295	26,636	18,390	14,369	301,189
2008	156,960	8,520	82,839	27,480	19,121	14,941	309,862
2009	161,045	8,670	85,163	28,251	19,886	15,538	318,553
2010	165,130	8,824	87,170	28,917	20,575	16,076	326,692

**Table G.7 Training Requirements to Meet Projected Annual Openings 2007-2012**

<b>Summary Training Requirements to meet Annual Openings 2007-2012</b>				
<b>DRAFT 6-22-2005 using May 05 Employment Projections and 2000 Census 5% data for Washington</b>				
	<b>Entry Level Training Requirement</b>		<b>Ultimate Training Level</b>	
Little Training	48,517	39%	43,356	35%
Short-Term Training	20,838	17%	19,580	16%
Mid Level Training (Includes AA)	30,391	25%	29,729	24%
Long Training (BA+)	23,161	19%	30,242	25%
Bachelor's Degree	17,593	14%	20,947	14%
Masters Degree	2,376	2%	6,295	5%
Professional Degree	1,580	1%	1,878	2%
Doctorate Degree	1,612	1%	1,122	1%

**Table G.8 Annual Demand for workers with a BA or Higher by Occupation 2007-2012**

<b>Demand for Workers with BA or Higher</b>		
<b>Occupation</b>	<b>Entry Demand</b>	<b>Ultimate Demand</b>
Educators	5,411	5,762
Business and Management	5,270	6,311
Engineering, Software Engineering and Architecture	1,791	1,908
Computer Science	3,251	3,558
Medical Professionals	1,485	3,322
Editors, Writers, Performers	1,323	1,702
Human, Protective Service Professionals	1,704	2,299
Research, Scientists, Technical	1,513	1,715
Administrative, Clerical, Legal	643	1,095
Mechanics, Laborers	82	851
Service Industries	688	1,719
<b>Total</b>	<b>23,161</b>	<b>30,242</b>

**Table G.9 Demand for Workers with a BA or Higher by SOC category 2007-2012**

<b>Demand for Workers with BA or Higher</b>		
<b>SOC Major Group</b>	<b>Entry Demand</b>	<b>Ultimate Demand</b>
Management Occupations	2,880	3,161
Business and Financial Operations Occupations	2,390	3,150
Computer and Mathematical Occupations	3,251	3,558
Architecture and Engineering Occupations	1,791	1,908
Life, Physical, and Social Science Occupations	1,513	1,715
Community and Social Services Occupations	1,704	1,704
Legal Occupations	643	699
Education, Training, and Library Occupations	5,411	5,762
Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media Occupations	1,323	1,702
Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations	1,485	3,056
Healthcare Support Occupations	-	266
Protective Service Occupations	-	595
Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations	-	125
Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations	-	31
Personal Care and Service Occupations	294	589
Sales and Related Occupations	394	975
Office and Administrative Support Occupations	-	396
Farming, Fishing, and Forestry Occupations	-	24
Construction and Extraction Occupations	-	256
Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations	-	212
Production Occupations	-	140
Transportation and Material Moving Occupations	82	220
	23,161	30,242

**Table G.10 Demand for Workers by Occupation and Education Level**

	Entry Training Level				Ultimate Training Level			
	BA	MA	Pro	Doc	BA	MA	Pro	Doc
Educators	3,917	280	-	1,214	3,273	1,995	81	414
Business and Management	5,270	-	-	-	5,095	1,022	89	105
Engineering, Software Engineering and Architecture	1,791	-	-	-	1,496	337	35	39
Computer Science	3,144	84	-	23	2,795	625	26	112
Medical Professionals	349	233	903	-	1,845	485	891	100
Editors, Writers, Performers	1,323	-	-	-	1,402	237	33	31
Human, Protective Service Professionals	531	1,035	138	-	1,445	754	67	33
Research, Scientists, Technical	394	744	-	375	943	475	60	237
Administrative, Clerical, Legal	104	-	539	-	481	78	509	27
Mechanics, Laborers	82	-	-	-	699	103	35	15
Service Industries	688	-	-	-	1,474	184	52	10

**Table G.11 Occupation and Education Matrix. Workforce supply based on BA or higher degrees awarded in 2004 (percentages in rows)**

Major Course of Study	Occupation										
	Educators	Business and management engineering / software architecture	Computer science	Medical professionals	Editors / writers / performers /	Human / protective service professionals	Research, scientists, technical	Administrative / clerical	Mechanics, laborers	Service industries	Other, uncodeable
Humanities	24%	1%	6%	2%	13%	5%	2%	10%	4%	14%	1%
Social/behavioral sciences	18%	1%	3%	3%	2%	17%	4%	10%	3%	13%	2%
Life sciences	16%	1%	3%	10%	1%	3%	26%	5%	9%	3%	3%
Physical sciences	17%	2%	6%	6%	1%	3%	44%	2%	4%	4%	4%
Math	43%	4%	8%	0%	0%	2%	7%	3%	2%	6%	2%
Computer/information science	1%	15%	58%	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%	2%	3%	1%
Engineering	3%	11%	11%	1%	1%	0%	13%	2%	4%	3%	1%
Education	75%	1%	2%	1%	0%	3%	0%	3%	2%	7%	0%
Business/management	4%	54%	9%	1%	1%	1%	2%	7%	4%	16%	1%
Health	8%	11%	1%	57%	1%	5%	3%	3%	2%	9%	1%
Vocational/technical	9%	25%	2%	3%	0%	33%	4%	9%	4%	7%	1%
Other technical/professional	8%	22%	5%	6%	10%	4%	5%	9%	7%	19%	1%
Total	21%	23%	6%	7%	4%	6%	5%	7%	4%	12%	1%



**Table G-13 Public Higher Education Participation by Age and Region**

Region	Participation by Age Group					
	All Public Colleges and Universities (CTC + Public Four-Year)					
	Age Group					
	17-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-49	50+
<b>Washington State Total</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>
Olympic	13.2%	17.5%	5.7%	3.9%	1.9%	0.5%
Pacific Mountain	13.7%	21.0%	7.2%	4.4%	2.2%	0.5%
Northwest	12.3%	15.7%	7.1%	3.8%	2.0%	0.5%
Snohomish	15.0%	19.9%	5.4%	3.0%	1.9%	0.7%
Seattle-King	17.5%	20.4%	6.9%	3.8%	2.2%	0.6%
Pierce	12.5%	17.4%	6.2%	4.1%	2.6%	0.7%
Southwest	12.2%	17.3%	5.5%	3.1%	1.8%	0.5%
North Central	12.5%	20.1%	5.9%	3.5%	1.9%	0.3%
Tri-County	11.0%	14.7%	5.5%	3.7%	2.1%	0.4%
Eastern	12.7%	13.9%	7.1%	4.7%	2.4%	0.5%
Benton-Franklin	13.7%	22.6%	6.9%	4.1%	2.1%	0.5%
Spokane	15.5%	22.6%	9.5%	5.8%	2.8%	0.7%
SIS*	14.5%	19.3%	5.5%	3.1%	2.0%	0.6%

\*SIS includes data from Snohomish and Northwest regions.

**Table G-14 Higher Education Growth Estimates by Region**

Higher Education Participation by Region Growth Estimate to meet student demand in 2010 All Public Colleges and Universities			
	Total 2003 Enrollment FTE	Percent Increase to meet pop. growth in 2010	Percent Increase to Meet State Average in 2010
State Total	207,051	13%	19%
Olympic	8,888	12%	23%
Pacific Mountain	13,709	13%	16%
Northwest	12,546	18%	35%
Snohomish	11,032	14%	31%
Seattle-King	31,658	16%	20%
Pierce	61,401	8%	9%
Southwest	23,512	9%	17%
North Central	6,766	13%	26%
Tri-County	7,532	5%	32%
Eastern	6,620	11%	15%
Benton-Franklin	6,081	7%	32%
Spokane	17,306	8%	n/a
SIS*	24,408	15%	21%

\*SIS includes data from Snohomish and Northwest regions.