

Title	Strategic Action Plan – Council Approval of Recommendations
Staff lead:	Marc Webster, Becca Kenna-Schenk
Position	Senior Fiscal Advisor and Director of Government Relations
Email:	marcw@wsac.wa.gov beccak@wsac.wa.gov
Phone:	360.753.7635
Synopsis:	The Ten-Year Roadmap plan is complemented with a short-term Strategic Action Plan, submitted in December of even-numbered years, establishing budget and legislative priorities necessary to implement the strategies identified in the Ten-Year Roadmap. The Strategic Action Plan will address the needs of high-priority Roadmap action items as well as needs related to other action items expected to emerge during the next biennium. During the August retreat, members will consider program, policy, and budget recommendations for the 2014 Strategic Action Plan. The proposed recommendations stem from the work of three Council committees and stakeholder input. On August 21, members are expected to approve selected recommendations for inclusion into the plan. The Council will adopt the final 2014 Strategic Action Plan at the November Council meeting. The Strategic Action Plan is due to the Governor and Legislature by December 2014.
Guiding questions:	What recommendations should be included in the Strategic Action Plan? Should any of these recommendations be included in the agency's legislative and budget request process?
Possible council action:	□ Information Only □ Approve/Adopt □ Other: □
Documents and attachments:	⊠Brief/Report



917 Lakeridge Way Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504 360.753.7800 wsac.wa.gov

2014 Strategic Action Plan Policy Considerations

Objective: Identify key budget and policy recommendations for the 2015-17 biennium to support the Ten-Year Roadmap for increasing educational attainment in Washington.

August Retreat and Meeting - Steps:

- 1. Council member discussion of options on August 20.
- 2. On the afternoon of August 20, you will have time to identify your top recommendations.
- 3. On August 21st, members will work together to identify and approve priorities for the 2014 Strategic Action Plan.

Context:

The Council is statutorily required to develop a long-term, Ten-Year Roadmap to identify priorities and strategies for meeting the State's educational attainment needs. The first Roadmap was submitted in December 2013.

The Roadmap plan is complemented with a short-term Strategic Action Plan, submitted in December of even-numbered years, establishing budget and legislative priorities necessary to implement the action items identified in the Roadmap. The next Strategic Action Plan is due to the Governor and Legislature by December 2014.

The Strategic Action Plan will address the needs of the high-priority Roadmap action items as well as needs related to other action items expected to emerge during the next biennium. Key recommendations to be included in the Strategic Action Plan must be identified by at the August 2014 Council meeting to inform the state's budget development process for next biennium.

The following recommendations are presented in sequence of the Roadmap action items. These recommendations evolved from the work of the 2013 Roadmap, the Council's three Roadmap Committees, and staff input.

2014 Strategic Action Plan Summary of Recommendation Options

Ensure cost is not a barrier for low-income students—Commit to full funding of all eligible State Need Grant students.

- a.) Fully fund SNG (\$137M)
- b.) b.) Partially fund SNG (\$16M)

Make college affordable—Identify & recommend state funding policy options for postsecondary education to guide legislative appropriations to institutions, financial aid programs and tuition.

- a.) Shared Responsibility
- b.) Baseline + incentive funding
- c.) Tuition limits

Provide greater access to work-based learning opportunities—Increase investments in the State Work Study program.

- a) Small restoration of funding (\$2M)
- b) Moderate restoration (\$5M)

Streamline and expand dual-credit and dual enrollment programs to create a statewide dual-credit system available to all high school students.

Statutory:

- a) Expand high school-based college courses to 9th and 10th grade students.
- b) Develop quality standards for high school-based college courses informed by National Alliance of Concurrent Enrollment Partnerships (NACEP) criteria.

Funding:

- c) Funding through Basic Education Allocation:
 - i. Fund high school-based college courses using an appropriate split of K-12 basic education appropriation between the high school and the college.
 - ii. Recommend an enhancement to K-12 basic education appropriation to ensure K-12 and postsecondary costs are recognized and eliminate or reduce tuition and fees for high school based college courses. Enhancement may also be used to help Running Start students defer expenses for fees, books, and transportation.
- d) Fund high school-based college courses through higher education appropriation and eliminate or reduce tuition and fees. Clarify Running Start is a college based model.

Respond to student, employer, and community needs—Align system-wide programmatic, physical and technological capacity with student, employer, and community needs.

- a) Assess the current status of existing physical capacity in the state's institutions of higher education.
- b) Project student demand for postsecondary education through 2023, and identify primary factors involved in student demand that will affect efforts to reach the state's education attainment goals
- c) Identify additional resources needed to meet the increased educational attainment goals.

Provide increased support and resources to ensure the success of current and prospective students at all levels.

- a) Provide systematic support to CBS students (WSAC, CSF and ESDs).
- b) Expand mentor programs.
- c) Enhance post-secondary retention support.
- d) Develop regional collaborative networks.
- e) Statewide outreach to adult students near completion.
- f) Convene regional equity and diversity task forces.
- g) Offer professional development to K-12 staff.



Roadmap priority	Recommendation Options	Cost	Specific Considerations/Benefits	General Considerations/Benefits	
Ensure cost is not a	a.) Fully fund SNG	\$137	2014 SNG Review could impact program	The SNG is the state's largest aid	
barrier for low-income		million	design. Tuition decisions impact aid	program and thus a critical component	
students—Commit to full			funding.	of any effort to create a more	
funding of all eligible	b.) Partially fund SNG	\$ 16	2014 SNG Review could impact program	affordable system.	
State Need Grant		million	design.		
students.			Tuition decisions impact aid funding.		
Make college	a.) Shared Responsibility - In the	N/A	There is no current funding model for higher		
affordable—Identify &	"Shared Responsibility" model, the		provided to the baccalaureate institutions a		
recommend state	state would determine the amount		are few provisos on those funds. Institution	is must enroll at least as many students	
funding policy options	necessary to ensure that all students		as provided in Sec. 602 of the appropriation	=	
for postsecondary	up to 70 percent Median Family		higher education a priority in the legislature	e, we may need to reframe what that	
education to guide	Income would pay no tuition and		investment is buying.		
legislative appropriations	students from 70-120 percent would				
to institutions, financial	have clear, identifiable contributions		The budget process does not necessarily fo	cus on affordability to students, on	
aid programs and	with the balance paid through a		student progress, or the impact on institution	ons and students. A new model may help	
tuition.	combination of state subsidy and		our advocacy for higher education funding and can help outline what families need		
	other aid (including institutional).		to contribute towards the cost of their edu	cation.	
	b.) Baseline + incentive funding - The	N/A			
	base-plus model would identify		Higher education funding would still be vul	nerable during a recession. Other states	
	detailed costs of operating the		(and Washington) have abandoned similar	commitments when revenue declined.	
	institutions at a given level of				
	enrollment & then add funding for		Current funding model does not make a go	od case for higher education.	
	completion assistance and program				
	mix (STEM, etc.).				
	c.) Tuition limits - Tuition limits would	N/A			
	re-impose caps on annual increases in				
	resident undergraduate tuition, ideally				
	with a commitment of state funding				
	to maintain quality/service level.				

Roadmap priority	Recommendation Options	Cost	Specific Considerations/Benefits	General Considerations/Benefits
Provide greater access to work-based learning opportunities—Increase investments in the State Work Study program	Restoration of the 2009-11 reduction to the program is scalable. a.) Small restoration of funding b.) Moderate restoration	\$2 million \$5 million	Program combines financial aid with work-	based learning opportunities.
Streamline and expand dual-credit and dual enrollment programs to create a statewide dual-credit system available to all high school students.	a.) Statutory: Expand high school based college courses to 9th and 10th grade students. b.) Statutory: Develop quality standards for high school-based college courses informed by National Alliance of Concurrent Enrollment Partnerships (NACEP) criteria. c.i) Funding: Fund high school-based college courses using an appropriate split of K-12 basic education appropriation between the high school and the college. c.ii) Funding: Recommend an enhancement to K-12 basic education appropriation to ensure K-12 and postsecondary costs are recognized and eliminate or reduce tuition and fees for high school-based college courses. Enhancement may also be used to help Running Start students defer expenses for fees, books, and transportation.	\$30 - \$50 million	Adding an enhancement to basic education requires a change to the prototypical school model.	 Develop an integrated dual credit system. Remove barriers, to participation including tuition and other student costs, and institutional disincentives to offer dual credit programs. Increase availability of dual credit programs in high school. Decrease the opportunity gap by increasing the number of low income and underrepresented minority students with access to these programs. Improve high school graduation and college continuation rates. Reduce the time students take to complete a postsecondary degree or certificate.

Roadmap priority	Recommendation Options	Cost	Specific Considerations/Benefits	General Considerations/Benefits
	d.) Funding: Fund high school-based college courses through higher education appropriation and eliminate or reduce tuition and fees. Clarify Running Start is a college based model.	\$9-\$15 million		
Respond to student, employer, and community needs—Align system-wide programmatic, physical and technological capacity with student, employer, and community needs	a.) Assess the current status of existing physical capacity in the state's institutions of higher education. b.) Project student demand for postsecondary education through 2023, and identify primary factors involved in student demand that will affect efforts to reach the state's education attainment goals c.) Identify additional resources needed to meet the increased educational attainment goals.	\$100- 200K	Sectors have expressed some concern that this analysis may not add value if it is simply focused on capacity needs. Better understanding of student demand is a critical element.	Provide a foundation to improve the programmatic, physical, technological, and financial capacity of postsecondary institutions Respond to changes in student and employer needs. Maximize the state's return on investment in postsecondary institutions.
**Provide increased support and resources to ensure the success of current and prospective students at all levels. **NOTE: Options listed for this Roadmap strategy have been prioritized by programs that meet the following criteria: * Statewide impact	Postsecondary support: a.) Provide proven retention support to students most at-risk for completion by expanding or enhancing campus support services on campuses. Examples include: TRiO Student Support Services (on 22 campuses serving 4,900 students at \$400/student year) CAMP programs MESA Community College Programs (MCCP) (6 colleges serving 250 students)	\$500K - \$1 million	Increased investment in strategies proven that targeting services to underrepresented study will take advantage of an existing successful and TRiO program outcomest persistence, full-time enrollment, and compatudents. MCCP provides academic and traunderrepresented students enrolled in STE	dents. Expanding the reach of programs all program. s have shown significant gains in in pletion compared to other low-income ansfer support services to

Roadmap priority	Recommendation Options	Cost	Specific Considerations/Benefits	General Considerations/Benefits
Evidence-based Greatest impact	Postsecondary support: b.) Use statewide data sets to target adult students near completion and re-engage with the institution. Examples include: WWU Destination Graduation and other institutional outreach to stop-outs. Project Win-Win - institutions in 9 states retroactively award students who qualified with AA degrees.	\$500 K	With hundreds of thousands of adults in W without a credential), this strategy provide support students who already have partial	s an efficient method to identify and
	 Collective Impact: c.) Provide state seed funding to develop regional approaches to forge and nourish local partnerships to leverage existing collaborative efforts and nurture the growth of new "collective impact zones" to include education, non-profits/business and civic/municipality partnerships. Examples include: Community Center for Education 	\$150K	Collective impact is a proven effective method (Stanford Review) to engage institutions, community organizations, and municipalities to provide services a support to students in the local community. Providing seed funding to develop expand collective impact zones will support student achievement. Collaboration would include Jobs for Washington's Graduates, YEAR UP and CTC Centers of Excellence.	municipalities to provide services and y. Providing seed funding to develop or t student achievement. Collaborations
	 Results (CCER) Tacoma College Support Network (TCSN) Northwest Futures Alliance in Bellingham Rural Alliance in eastern Washington Washington College Access Network (WCAN) 			
	Collective Impact: d.) Convene statewide equity and diversity collaborative and assist with	\$150K	An increasing share of our student age pop been historically underrepresented in posts represented in the number of students who	secondary education—and over-

Roadmap priority	Recommendation Options	Cost	Specific Considerations/Benefits	General Considerations/Benefits
	the development of regional collaborative. Task force would consist of K-12, higher education, state agency, and non-profit organizations working at the state or local level on equity and diversity issues.		successful increasing educational attainmer on policies that will help us address the cha	
Support all students (continued)	 K-12 Support: e.) Provide systematic College Bound support from 8th grade through degree completion. Examples include: WSAC College Bound system enhancement Regional and ESD support programming CSF outreach and support services 	\$2-5 million	The College Bound Scholarship program is has been identified as a dropout prevention and postsecondary access and completion program. The students need support services throughout their educational career in order to succeed through academic preparation and postsecondary awareness.	
	 K-12 Support: f.) Create opportunities for college students to serve as mentors in middle and high schools not served by support programs targeting services to students most at-risk of academic success. Examples include:	\$500K	Mentoring and tutoring services provide en increase preparedness and engage with role school to college is often challenging, especincome students.	e models. The transition from high
Support all students (continued)	K-12 Support: g.) Offer professional development opportunities statewide to engage and equip all school staff (counselors,	\$150K	Enhancing professional development development to support teaching and learning through decurriculum received through training. Traini Understanding poverty and working	eveloped skills, knowledge, and ings would address:

SAP – Policy Recommendations

Roadmap priority	Recommendation Options	Cost	Specific Considerations/Benefits	General Considerations/Benefits
	school administrators, teachers,		Increasing knowledge of admission and financial aid processes and	
	graduation specialists, graduation		eligibility Career Guidance Washington and AVID training	
	coaches, and access providers) with			
	evidence-based practices regarding			
	student support services,			
	postsecondary options, and			
	requirements. Examples include:			
	GEAR UP and Title I professional development			
	CSF clock hour trainings (FAFSA completion and CBS support)			
	Career Guidance Washington AVID trainings			